Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings and Ephemeral Recordings | Docket No. 2005-1 Ephemeral Recordings

(Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding) CRB DTRA

Volume XLVI

Room LM-414 Library of Congress First and Independence Avenue, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

Wednesday,

November 29, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge

THE HONORABLE STAN WISNIEWSKI, Judge

APPEARANCES
On Behalf of SoundExchange
DAVID A. HANDZO, ESQ
CRAIG A. COWIE, ESQ
JARED O. FREEDMAN, ESQ
THOMAS J. PERRELLI, ESQ
PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ
Jenner & Block
601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200 South
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 639-6060
dhandzo@jenner.com

GARY R. GREENSTEIN, ESQ General Counsel SoundExchange 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 330 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 828-0126 greenstein@soundexchange.com

On Behalf of National Public Radio Inc. (NPR), NPR Member Stations, CPB-Qualified Public Radio Stations

> DENISE B. LEARY, ESQ 635 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington DC 20001 202.513.2049 dleary@npr.org (202) 513-2049

On Behalf of Collegiate Broadcasters Inc. (CBI)

SETH D. GREENSTEIN, ESQ TODD ANDERSON, ESQ RICH DUMAS-EYMARD, ESQ Constantine Cannon 1627 Eye Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 240-3514 sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com

WILL ROBEDEE

Page 2

DAVID TAYLOR, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges 1300 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-7024 TODD LARSON, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges 567 5th Avenue New York, New York 10016 (212) 310-8238

ROBERT G. SUGARMAN, ESQ WILLIAM R. CRUSE, ESQ Weil, Gotshal & Manges 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 (212) 310-8184

On Behalf of AccuRadio, Discombobulated LLC, Digitally Imported Inc., mvyradio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Educational Media Foundation
DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQ
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 450
Washington DC 20005
202.508.6566
davidoxenford@dwt.com
On Behalf of The National Religious
Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License

Committee, Bonneville International Corp., Clear Channel Communications Inc., Salem Communications Corp., Susquehanna Radio Corp., The National Religious Broadcasters Music License Committee

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQ KARYN ABLIN, ESQ

MATT ASTLE, ESQ THOMAS W. KIRBY, ESQ MARGARET RYAN, ESQ

Page 3

Page 5

6100 South Main Street
MS-529
Houston TX 77005
(713) 348-2935
willr@ktru.org
On Behalf of Royalty Logic, Inc.
KENNETH D. FREUNDLICI

KENNETH D. FREUNDLICH, ESQ. Schleimer & Freundlich, LLP 9100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 615 - East Tower Beverly Hills, California 90212 (310) 273-9807

kfreundlich@earthlink.com On Behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting System Inc., Harvard Radio Broadcasting Co.

> WILLIAM MALONE, ESQ MATTHEW K. SCHETTENHELM, ESQ Miller & Van Eaton PLLC 1155 Connecticut Ave., NW #1000 Washington DC 20036-4306 202.785.0600 wmalone@millervaneaton.com

On Behalf of Digital Media Assoc. (DiMA), AOL, Live365, Microsoft Corp., Yahoo! Inc., National Public Radio KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQ

GAYLE ROSENSTEIN, ESQ

Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP

201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065

201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 (650) 802-3100 kenneth.steinthal@weil.com SETH WOOD, ESQ
Wiley Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-4913
bjoseph@wrf.com
On Behalf of SBR Creative Media
DAVID RAHN
SBR Creative Media

Boulder, Colorado 80303 (303) 444-7700 dave@sbrcreative.com

7464 Arapahoe Road

Suite B4

On Behalf of the Radio Music License Committee ALAN J. WEINSCHEL, ESQ Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

> 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 (212) 310-8550 alan.weinshcel@weil.com

	Page 6	Page 8
I-N-D-E-X		1 Music Group North America operations.
WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT		2 Q Okay. Now can you briefly
OTTABLES CONTOUT 7 100		3 summarize your educational background?
CHARLES CIONGOLI 7 122 By Ms. Rosenstein 33		4 A I have a bachelor degree focusing
By Mr. Kirby 108 THOMAS ROLAND 130		5 in finance and accounting. I am a certified
By Mr. Steinthal 164		6 public account, past the CPA examine.
By Mr. Kirby 286	ŀ	7 Q Okay. And what are your areas of
EXHIBITS: ID Rec'd Services	l	
R-54 Ciongoli 11/3/06 deposition 54	- 1	8 responsibility in your current position?
R-55 Backup data to Mr. Ciongoli's statement 75 83	- 1	9 A As an Executive VP and CFO for the
R-56 License of James Brown Bates 107310-	- 1	10 Universal Music Group I am responsible for the
312 220 224		11 financial and reporting activities of the
R-57 License of James Brown Bates 107250- 259 221 225		12 record labels, the distribution and
R-58 License of Temptations, Bates 10628-		13 manufacturing music and music publishing
213 221 224 R-59 License of Stevie Wonder, Bates 105528-	i i	14 operations in North America.
533 222 224	Į	15 Q Okay. Can you summarize your work
R-60 License of James Brown, Bates 106105, 106126-129 and 106135-141 222 242	- 1	1
100120-129 and 100133-141 222 242	1	16 experience in the entertainment field?
R-61 Bates stamp 110632 to 110622 250 254	1	17 A I've been involved with
R-62 Bates stamp 110878 through 110880 and 110865 through 110870 251 254		18 entertainment and specifically the recorded
R-63-64 Bates stamp 111331 to 332 and 111320		19 music, music publishing businesses for quite
to 11324 251 254 R-65 Bates stamp 1111443 through 111454 252 254		20 some time. Prior to my employment by the
R-66 Bates stamp REB006809 through 006819 279 282		21 Universal Music Group I was with the
D 67 Dates sterm DED022942 to 022955 270 292	1	22 accounting firm of Pricewaterhouse where I
R-67 Bates stamp REB033843 to 033855 279 282		22 accounting in in or i fice water house where i

Page 7 Page 9 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 focused primarily in health care and 1 2 2 entertainment. In the mid-'80s one of my main 9:34 a.m. 3 MR. SMITH: SoundExchange, Your 3 clients was MCA, Inc., which is the 4 4 Honor, will call then Charles Ciongoli. predecessor company to the Universal Group. 5 5 Whereupon. Okay. And what point did you go 6 6 CHARLES CIONGOLI from Pricewaterhouse to a record company? 7 was called a witness by Counsel for 7 In 19990 I moved from 8 8 SoundExchange, and having been first duly Pricewaterhouse to MCA Music Entertainment or 9 9 sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined MCA, Inc. at the time, which eventually became and testified as follows: 10 the Universal Music Group. So in 1990. 10 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 11 Okay. What are the positions 11 BY MR. SMITH: 12 you've held since 1990 in the record industry 12 13 13 itself? Mr. Ciongoli, why don't you spell your name and say it for the record since it's 14 A When I first came to Universal I 15 a little complicated? 15 was the group controller for the record labels manufacturing and distribution and music 16 Charles Ciongoli, C-I-O-N-G-O-L-I. 16 A 17 publishing. Then I was promoted to a vice 17 Q And where are you employed? 18 president and group comptroller, still 18 I'm employed in Los Angeles by the 19 19 Universal Music Group. performing many of the same duties. And what is your position at UMG? 20 Then I moved to the record label. 20 Q 21 I am the Executive Vice President 21 I was Vice President of Finance for MCA 22 22 and Chief Financial Officer for the Universal Records.

Page 10

And then a few years later I moved over back to the group level which oversaw many of our operations and labels.

Became Senior Vice President and then eventually Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

- Q Okay. Now you mentioned that there's a publishing operation at UMG, can you describe that for us briefly?
- A Along with the record labels the Universal Music Group has its own music publishing operation.
- Q Okay. And is that a separate corporation?
 - A Yes, it is.

- Q Okay. And what is the approximate market share of the sound recording business at UMG and the music publishing business at Universal Music Group?
- A In the US, market share is on the record, the record music side is measures by SoundScan. As of the end of the last year 2005

- A Well, yes I can. While they are somewhat similar in nature, they are fully vastly different. On the recorded music side, the sound recording side, the primary objective is to actually find, cultivate and procure the master recording. Actually find the talent and make the master recording. Where on the publishing side it's really an investment in the musical work or the copyright that is associated with the sound recording.
- Q Okay. And how do the cost of operations generally vary between the two kinds of businesses?

 A The cost structure is vastly
- A The cost structure is vastly different. Clearly on the recorded music side their investment in, you know, if you will A&R is tremendous in terms of the actual advances paid to the artists and/or the actual cost of recording the sound recording along with a whole host of other costs that are incurred.
 - Q And how does that compare with the

Page 11

the record labels or the collective UMG record labels had a 31.71 percent market share. The publishing -- on the publishing side it's about 10 to 12 percent.

Q Okay. I wonder if you could just clarify the relationship between the two. Does the publishing company only deal with songs that are on records that are sold by UMG?

A No, they do not. They administer own and administer copyrights for not only UMG artists and related sound recordings, but also for other record labels.

Q Okay. With that background, Mr. Ciongoli, I'd like to turn to your testimony and written rebuttal statement comparing the levels of investment and risk in the two kinds of businesses, the publishing business and the sound recording business. Can you just generally summarize how the two types of businesses compare in terms of the investments 22 required?

1 music publishing business?

A The music publishing is, you know, a relatively -- I don't mean to minimize it, but it's a relatively simple business in the sense that they collect royalties, they pay royalties out. Their cost structure is relatively simple and it's really just a matter of housing people.

Q Okay. Now let's turn to the issue of risk. How would you compare the two categories of businesses in terms of the riskiness of it?

A I think from my perspective clearly the sound recording business, the record business, is very risky in a sense that there is a, as I said earlier, a host of costs as well as investment that is made in hopes that you actually sell records. There is public acceptance of the music that is developed, whereas on the music publishing side generally speaking there are catalogues or there are copyrights that follow the writer

Page 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

where there is, if you will, an income stream that usually follows the copyrights so that when you're making an investment in a music publishing catalogue or writer, the copyrights tend to come with them. Therefore, there is a history of earnings that minimizes the risk.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. You say over on page 3 of your written rebuttal testimony at about six lines from the bottom "To some extent the music publishing business resembles a bank." Can you tell us what you meant by that?

Yes. As I just mentioned, generally speaking in the music publishing business the writer retains ownership of the musical work, the copyright. And most publishers in today's world invest in that writer or those copyrights by looking at the historical earnings.

So the analogy would be is you have a house, and the house is worth something. I'm now the bank and I want to go loan you some money against that house or you

And is there a rule of thumb about 0 the chances of given a recording will be successful in the marketplace?

Again, most music it's really about consumer taste and consumer demand. If I knew the answer to that, I would go to Vegas once a year. Because I can't tell you what -what is going to be successful and what's not going to be successful. It's a -- you know, it's a very hit driven business. And the hope is is that -- you know, many are successful but from my experience whether it's one in ten, one in nine, one in twelve tend to become successful.

0 Okay. Let's turn then to your discussion of the particular categories of costs or the comparisons you draw. The first one I think is over on pages 5 and 6 on the costs relating to A&R or artists and repertoire.

Q Can you tell us what is that function?

Page 15

have mortgage. So you look at the earnings

2 stream from the -- from the catalogue or from

3 the previous musical works. The music or the

music publishing company will make an advance

5 against that historical earning, maybe a

6 little bit more if there are future copyrights 7 to come.

0 Now, would it be fair to say that the sound recording companies resembles banks as well?

 \mathbf{A} Other than maybe they have deep pockets. But the fact of the matter is is that on the recording music side there is a - I 14 don't think you can use the same analogy because there is a whole host of services as well as risk that is -- you know, incurred with actually finding, developing, creating the sound recording and then ultimately marketing it, manufacturing you know the physical media by which it is sold. All of those costs are incurred prior to potentially

selling one physical unit.

Page 17 A&R is the -- is the term of art that is used in the -- both the record business and the publishing business as artist and repertoire investment. This is the money that is paid to the talent to basically advance them monies and/or costs incurred to record the sound recording.

So on the recording side of the house there are sort of two categories of costs that are included here, is that right?

Yes. There is generally the advances to the artist and then there is the actual recording costs that are incurred.

Okay. And then what are the costs on the publishing side?

Generally speaking on the publishing side it's really just advances against the musical works or the copyrights.

Okay. In talking about this category of costs, are you including here the cost of the personnel who engage in the function of developing the recordings or

Page 21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

developing the group of song writers that they 2 rely on? 3

 \mathbf{A} No, I'm not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

O Where is that going to show up later in your testimony?

I believe that is covered in the overhead expenses.

So these are direct costs exclusive of personnel?

Yes. These would be direct cash expenditures paid to the talent or paid to other -- for other services such as the rental of studios, the rental of equipment, you know cartage fees; all the things incidental to making and recording the sound recording.

Okay. Referring to page 6 of your written rebuttal testimony, and perhaps it's best just to leave the figures in print without reading them into the record. But if you could, just compare by reference to that the kinds of expenditures that are made at UMG on the record side and then the publishing

1 or the permanent investment, if you will, in 2 that year.

Okay. And how do the net A&R 0 expenses of the two businesses compare then?

If you -- if you note, there clearly are tens of millions of dollars incurred as an expense on the record label side as contrasted to the publishing company that actually had a net positive or a recovery in 2005. What that recovery represents is the fact that the publishing company may have written off costs in a previous year for which they actually had income or recouped the cost in 2005.

0 Is that an atypical result for the publishing business in terms of its ability to recoup the costs of A&R?

Generally the net A&R expense for a publishing company is relatively low, again because of the way in which they evaluate their risk and they invest into the catalogue. There is clearly money always coming in

against the historical copyrights.

side for A&R?

If you note at the top of page 6 you can see that clearly there are hundreds of millions of dollars that are incurred as gross A&R expenditure incurred by the record labels as opposed to tens of millions of dollars that are incurred on the publishing side.

Okay. Now you draw an distinction here between those figures of gross expenditures and then net A&R expense later on further down on the same page. Can you tell us what the difference between gross and net is in this context?

Yes. Gross expenditures, the actual cash paid in terms of, you know, advances and recording costs. What the net A&R expenses, that's actually the amount of money that is written off into the P&L whether annually or otherwise. In this case the

20 figure represented here in about the middle of 21 the page is the 2005 A&R monies that were in fact expenses or deemed to be not recoupable

14 15 16 doesn't expressly talk about those years in 17 an elaboration to see whether or not this --18 19 we just picked just one that was atypical. 20

21 exhibit? 22

written off amounts. Okav.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may move to strike that last statement regarding the description of the timing. That was outside of his rebuttal statement.

I believe or at least for our

the last three to five years actually had a

net positive or recovery from previously

company, Universal Music Publishing, I believe

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, those exact figures appear in the exhibit that is incorporated in his statement, Exhibit 30. It the statement, but I was just trying to get us CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What was the

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. Exhibit 30

Page 22 attached. It's Exhibit 30, Your Honor. 2 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's not my 3 question. 4 MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. What is 5 the exhibit cited in the statement? 6 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Where. 7 MR. SMITH: If you could just give 8 me a minute on it, Your Honor. It is cited, 9 for example, on line 4 on page 8. It is the 10 basis of almost all the data relating to the publishing business here. On line 5 on page 9. 11 12 Many other places. It is essentially the 13 summary of the data that he then goes on to 14 describe. 15 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. 16 Rosenstein? 17 MS. ROSENSTEIN: It's the 18 description of the trending and the reasons 19 behind the years which were not explained in 20 his written statement nor during his

see at the top of page 7 figure 1 clearly
there is a relative percentage of the revenues
incurred as the A&R expense verses on the
publishing side, which is to the right, is
actually a negative number which means it's a
positive or an income item because they
actually have positive recoveries in 2005.

Q Now let's turn from A&R to marketing costs. And could you just tell us without getting to the figures yet, what kinds of marketing activities a record business does as opposed to a publishing business?

A Generally speaking the publishing company does not incur marketing expenses. They're not in the business to market and promote the sound recording.

On the record company side clearly there is a significant investment in the making of the videos, the imagining of the artist. Once the sound recording is actually made, then the marketing plan is put together and there's a whole host of costs that are

Page 23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BY MR. SMITH:

deposition and questioning.

Q Now, you also, Mr. Ciongoli, report these net A&R expenses in the form of a percentage of net sales or net revenue, is that right?

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.

A Yes, I do.

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And can you tell us why you did that?

A Really more so to be -- to show pictorially in a graph sort of way the relative size, if you will, as compare and contrasted from the publishing business to the record company.

Also to take out any sort of apple and orange comparison or any sort of scale, if you will. So it's easier to compare and contrast as a percentage of your net revenues the types of costs that are incurred.

Q And is it figure 1 that then shows the comparison in graphic form of the relevant percentages for A&R costs?

A Yes, it does. I believe you can

Page 25

incurred as a result of that.

Q Okay. And I wonder can you tell
us does the music publishing company then
benefit from the marketing efforts of the
record company?

A Yes, they do, Obviously when the

A Yes, they do. Obviously when the record company markets and promotes the sound recording and there ultimately is sales to the extent that there are physical sales, digital sales, any sort of income, obviously the publishing benefit from that because they receive their income from those sales.

Q Okay. And if you could then, just turn to the bottom of 7 and top of page 8. And, again, without giving us the exact figures, sort of draw the comparison between how much is expended at Universal in marketing on the record side as opposed to on the publishing side?

A Again, I think at the lower part of page 7 and the top of page 8 you can see that, again, hundreds of millions of dollars

Page 26

were spent on the recorded music side as opposed to almost nothing on the publishing side. What I tried to do is even pull together as part of -- which is really incurred as part of the overhead, there is a very small amount of money incurred what we would call publicity and promotion, and even travel and entertainment expenses related to publishing. That expenditure really relates to things like trade advertising where, for example, a music publisher may take out an ad in one of the industry magazines complimenting or thanking one of their writers for a successful year or being, you know, songwriter of the year, what have you. It's not the traditional marketing incurred similar to a

17 record company.

18 Q Okay. Just so we're clear here,
19 again, we're not talking in this section about
20 the costs of personnel who may be involved in
21 promoting music records or songs, is that
22 right?

A If you-- I think on page -- on page 9 the top third of the page you again see that there are hundreds of millions of dollars incurred in overhead related to the recorded music side as opposed to only tens of millions of dollars on the music publishing side.

Q And does that distinction go away when you convert it to percentages of net sales?

A No. If you look at figure 3 in the middle of the page you can see that it's thepercentage basis, it's more than doubled the amount as a percentage of the revenues that are spent in the overhead. Again, that's because there's a whole host of services that are incurred and provided on the recorded music side versus the publishing side.

Q Okay. And then the final category is manufacturing the distribution costs. What are we talking about here?

A This is -- clearly, again, another cost category that the music publishing

Page 27

A No, we're not. This is direct costs paid to -- to third parties.

Q Okay. The third category then is where we get to personnel, correct, over here?

A Yes, it is.

Q Can you tell us what's included in the category of overhead in the way you have it laid out here?

A Yes. The overhead consists of the A&R personnel, the marketing personnel, any sort of sales personnel on the label side, executive oversight, finance, royalties, copyright, administration; all of those people associated are considered to be the overhead of the company. And the costs included in that would be the salary and benefits, the facility costs, the T&E, things of that nature.

Q Okay. And can you tell just roughly how the overhead costs of the record company and the music publishing company compare?

Page 29

company does not incur. It is the cost to manufacture and distribute the physical product. Although we are moving more towards a digital world, you know, the world is changing, we still have a substantial portion of our business, that is that we actually sell physical CDs. So this is the cost to manufacture and distribute those CDs.

Q And where are the figures in your testimony that tell us how much UMG on the record side spent on manufacturing and distribution respectively in 2005?

A At the lower part of page 9 you can see that there was again hundreds of millions of dollars incurred in manufacturing and inventory related times. And then, again, another tens of millions of dollars on distribution and selling expenses. And then--

Q What does figure 4 show then?

A Figure 4 on page 11, again, shows as a percentage of the revenues how much is incurred by the record labels. And, again,

Page 33

Page 30

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

there was nothing incurred on the publishing 2 side. But this is not an activity that they 3 incurred.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

0 Okay. Now I want to just have you refer if you could now to the two exhibits, SoundExchange Exhibit 29RR and SoundExchange Exhibit 30RR. And could you tell us what each of these is?

 \mathbf{A} Yes. Exhibit 29 is a historical income statement for the recorded music side from 1999 to 2005 starting with gross sales down to essentially net profit. And on Exhibit 30 it's the similar income statement for the music publishing with revenues down to their net profit.

16 Q And referring to these two 17 documents can you compare the profitability of 18 the two businesses?

A It does have profitability. But I 20 think, again, looking at it from a percentage or I like to look at it as a return on investment --

actually more positive. 1

> Could you just elaborate a little bit more on why it is that the music publishing side is such a markedly higher return on investment?

I think you just -- you know, from again an appearance perspective you can see that the income statement for Exhibit 29 is very complicated. It has a lot of lines because there are lots of services or lots of costs incurred as part of the cost structure of the recorded music side, whereas in contrast to the music publishing income statement it's a very simple cost structure, very simple P&L which is they collect the revenues, they pay out the royalties to the writers. Again, there's a small amount of what we call A&R. The net A&R investment is relatively small. And then there's overhead.

The music publishing business is generally a very scalable business.

What does that mean?

Page 31

Q Okay. Let's look at it that way.

Okay. If you look at just, again, I think about two-thirds of the way down I think probably the best comparative number would be the EBIT number.

> Q You're looking at Exhibit 29?

Yes, I am. Sorry. Exhibit 29. In 2005 the EBIT number as a percentage of saying net sales, which is about a third of the way down on the top page, is you know a little bit more than single digit return on investment.

If you then flip to Exhibit 30 and you were to do the same comparison on the music publishing side, there would be in 2005 as a percentage of their revenues, which is about maybe a third of the way down again, is almost double that.

So clearly you know, again, a relative return on sales the publishing company because their cost structure is so 21 much different than the recorded music side, their actual return on investment is -- is

Scalable in the sense that their overhead is relatively fixed or their cost structure is relatively fixed. And once you, obviously, cover those fixed costs, you then end up having more return on sales.

MR. SMITH: That's all I have, Your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms.

9 Rosenstein, any questions?

10 MS. ROSENSTEIN: Thank you, Your 11 Honor.

> CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

Q Good morning, Mr. Ciongoli.

A Good morning.

I'm Gayle Rosenstein. You recall we met at your deposition on November 3, 2006 in Los Angeles?

A Yes, I recall.

20 Q We're going to go over much the 21 same material we did on that day. 22

Just to further clarify a bit

		Page 34		Page 30
	1	about your background. You first jointed the	1	Q And then in 2003 you were promoted
	1 2	company in 1990?	2	to Executive Vice President and Chief
	3	A That is correct.	3	Financial Officer for Universal Music Group
	4	Q And at that time you were the	4	North America?
	5	comptroller for three different groups: MCA	5	A That sounds correct.
	6	Records, MCA Nashville and MCA Publishing?	6	Q And at that time Universal Music
	7	A MCA Records and MCA Nashville were	7	Publishing Group began to report up through
	8	two of the record labels within the company.	8	you and continues to do so today?
	9	And then there was the distribution and	9	A It actually reported prior to
	10	manufacturing company, which was MCA	10	that. But, yes, that is true.
	11	Distributing. And then Universal Music	11	Q And the North American group now
	12	Publishing.	12	encompasses Canada, Mexico, Central American
	13	Q And you were the comptroller for	13	and U.S. Latino?
	14	those three groups?	14	A Yes.
	15	A That is correct.	15	Q As the Chief Financial Officer for
	16	Q And since that time you've had	16	UMG North America you are ultimately
	17	several jobs at the company, as you testified?	17	responsible for financial reporting for the
	18	A Yes, that's correct.	18	North American organization, is that right?
	19	Q In your testimony you discuss that	19	A For the North American record
	20	you became Senior Vice President Finance for	20	labels as well as music publishing.
	21	MCA Entertainment. This was about n the mid-	21	Q And there's also a CFO for
	22	1990s?	22	Universal Music Group International?
1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	1	Page 35		Page 37
	1	A That's correct.	1	A That is correct.
	2	Q And at that time the company was	2	Q And that person takes care of the
	3	expanding in all of international into Japan,	3	rest of the world that's not in your
	4	Germany, the UK and Canada and you helped	4	territory?
	5	oversee some of those operations beginning at	5	A That's correct.
	6	that time?	6	Q And his name is Lloyd Muir, M-U-I-
	7	A That's correct.	7	R?
	8	Q And therefore in the mid-1990s you	8	A That's correct.
	9	understood financially how MCA Entertainment's	9	Q And you converse quite frequently
	10	music was licensed broadly in Japan, the U.K.	10	with Mr. Muir at least one or two times per
	11	and Canada?	11	week?
	12	A That's correct.	12	A Something like that, yes.
	13	Q And you understood the financial	13	Q In fact, you read the financial
	14	terms of those arrangements at that time?	14	reporting for United Kingdom and other areas
	15	A Just to be clear, in terms of	15	of the world as they roll up into the
	16	licensing from the United States to those	16	financials as a whole?
	17	territories and vice versa?	17	A There is financial information
	18	A Correct.	18	associated with the U.K. and the rest of the
	19	Q Yes, I understood those terms.	19	world that I have access to, that's correct.
	20	Q And then in 1998 your focus became	20	Q And that you do read?

21

22

 \mathbf{A}

Q

Therefore, you know for example

Yes, I do.

21 the North American group?

That is correct.

	1 41	Page 38	i .	Page 40
$\frac{1}{2}$		U.K. company or the German company,	$\frac{1}{2}$	Q Are you familiar with the term
. 2		ilian company and the Japanese company	2	ancillary markets?
3		g financially against their current	3	A Yes, I am.
4		as and other prior years?	4	Q And ancillary markets in your
5	A	Yes, I do.	5	understanding of the word are things that are
6	Q	As a Chief Financial Officer of	6	not "normal and customary markets" in which
7		orth American you review financial	7	Universal normally sells its CDs, correct?
8		ion on a monthly basis, correct?	8	A Yes.
9	A	Yes, that's correct.	9	Q I'd like to discuss now with you
10	Q	And that financial information	10	your rebuttal statement. If you'll turn to
11	includes	sales activity?	11	the first full paragraph on page 3. Here you
12	${f A}$	Yes, it does.	12	say "It is therefore unsurprising that the
13	Q	Marketing activity?	13	risks and rewards and the level of
14	\mathbf{A}	Yes.	14	compensation for sound recordings and musical
15	Q	A&R activity?	15	works differ greatly in markets where music is
16	\mathbf{A}	Yes.	16	disseminated." Did I read that correctly?
17	Q	Revenue information?	17	A Yes, you did.
18	\mathbf{A}	Yes.	18	Q And here when you're talking about
19	Q	Profit information?	19	the market where music is distributed, you're
20	\mathbf{A}	Yes.	20	really talking about the sale and distribution
21	Q	Expenses?	21	of the sound recording, correct?
22	\mathbf{A}	Expenses in the sense of overhead?	22	A That's correct.
F		The state of the s	ļ	
	0	Page 39	,	Page 41
	Q	Correct.	1	Q And it's true that this type of
2	A	Yes.	2	level of compensation would differ greatly if
3	Q	Essentially the whole shebang?	3	it was just the public performance of the
4	A	The whole income statement, yes,	4	composition versus the sound recording, right?
5	that's co		5	A I'm not sure I understand your
6	Q	And there are four divisions that	6	question.
7	•	v oversee, correct? I'm sorry, three	7	Q Currently the record companies do
8		s; labels, manufacturing and	8	not get compensated for public performances of
9		ion and then publishing?	9	the composition, do they?
10	A	That's correct.	10	A To make sure I understand your
11	Q	And you oversee accounting	11	question, to the extent that public
12		g for all of their financial	12	performance monies from terrestrial radio are
13	division		13	paid to the publishing companies and not the
14	\mathbf{A}	That's correct.	14	record companies, I agree with that statement.
15	Q	And that includes being in charge	15	Q And therefore this type of
16	of budge	eting?	16	compensation does differ greatly if it's just
17	\mathbf{A}	Yes.	17	public performance versus something else?
18	Q	And you're in charge also of	18	A Yes. I'm not quite sure of your
19	performa	ance monitoring, which is performance	19	question. You say it "differs greatly."
			20	

20

Meaning the record labels don't

get paid for public performance currently of

22 published works in the --

20 in terms of measuring against a plan or

21 measuring against a prior year?

Yes.

 \mathbf{A}

22

labels to invest money under. And if they 1 From terrestrial radio, that's 1 \mathbf{A} 2 2 exceed those limits or that table of correct. 3 3 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: So as I authority, they must come to a higher level to 4 get approval to spend additional dollars. 4 understand it, in answer to the question 5 public performance means play on broadcasting? 5 And what is that dollar level at 6 6 THE WITNESS: That's my the labels currently? 7 7 understanding, yes. Currently at the labels it's a 8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's an 8 million and a half. 9 9 unusual definition of that phrase, but I And there's also a table of 10 wanted to make sure I understood it. 10 authority for the publishing division with BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: respect to advancements to artists or writers, 11 11 12 Moving on to the next paragraph on 12 correct? 0 13 page 3 of your statement. It says "A record 13 A Yes. 14 company invests large amounts of money before 14 Q And what is that current table of 15 15 final product is created." Did I read that authority? 16 correctly? 16 A For the music publishing company 17 \mathbf{A} 17 it's one million. Yes. 18 Q And here as you said before when 18 Q And, therefore, when the music 19 19 you talk about final product, you mean the publishing company wants to extend an 20 sound recording that will ultimately be sold 20 advancement to an artist or a writer below one or the compact disk? 21 21 million, that publishing company has the 22 That's correct. 22 authority to do so? A Page 43 Page 45 1 Actually if we turn quickly to the 1 A That is correct. 2 bottom of page 2, the last sentence reads: 2 How often does someone from the O 3 "Although they do have to pay a basis against 3 publishing division seek approval over that 4 4 royalties to songwriters or their publishing one million dollar table of authority of you? 5 5 designees. Music publishers are able, to a It -- it -- it depends greatly on 6 large degree, to ride the coattails of the 6 the amount of activity and, you know, what is 7 record company." Did I read that correctly? 7 available at the time. It's -- it's hard to 8 8 Yes, you did. say. A 9 9 It does happen, though, from time-O Now, Mr. Ciongoli, you also play a role in the process of determining advances 10 to-time depending on the transaction they're 10 trying to culminate? 11 that are offered to any particular artist, do 11 12 12 A you not? That's true, yes. 13 13 Okay. How often does someone from A Yes, I do. 14 the label side seek approval over the table of Q And that role is to help approve 14 certain advances over what you have referred 15 authority? 15 16 16 to as the table of authority? \mathbf{A} Far more than the publishing 17 17 \mathbf{A} company. That is correct. 18 18 Would you agree again it depends And can you please explain to the 19 19 on the amount of activity and the deal itself, Court what that table of authority is for the just like with the publishing side? 20 labels with respect to artist advances? 20 21 Like any sort of corporation, we 21 A Yes. have approval limits that we allow our record 22 Keeping on page 2 of your rebuttal 22 Q

statement, I would like to go to the last 2 sentence that trails over to page 3. And this 3 sentence reads: "Unlike record companies, 4 music publishers do not incur significant 5

recording, marketing, promotion, sales, 6 creative services, video or personnel costs.

7 Rather the record companies incur those costs 8 and the publishers are the beneficiaries of

9 the record company's work and investment."

10 Did I read that correctly?

 \mathbf{A} Yes, you did.

0 Isn't this statement that music publishers do not incur significant marketing, promotion and sales, creative services, video or personnel costs somewhat inconsistent with your testimony that from time-to-time the publishers do seek approval to exceed the table of authority from you?

19 \mathbf{A} No.

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

20 Q And why not?

21 \mathbf{A} Because, again, what they -- they 22 don't incur recording costs, they don't incur

Page 46

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21 22 one and a half is solely on A&R related costs.

On A&R related costs, the reason there is a table of authority is one million dollars is a significant expenditure, correct?

> A That is correct.

Q And therefore your statement that music publishers do not incur significant marketing promotion, sales, creative services, video or personnel costs is inconsistent somewhat with your statement that sometimes they do incur one million dollars in artist advances?

 \mathbf{A} I -- I completely disagree with you. I think you're comparing apples and oranges.

Would you agree with me that sometimes the -- from time-to-time the publishing side does incur significant A&R costs?

They incur significant advances from time-to-time.

Let's now turn down to page 4 of

Page 47

marketing costs. Most of the money that 2 they're seeking for approval is really an 3 advance against the catalogue to purchase a catalogue, to give a writer an advance. Their 5 -- their approval is not to seek any of this 6 type of expenditure. 7

Isn't their approval to seek over one million dollars of advance to the artist or the writer?

The table of authority has a whole host of terms in it. There are particular -for example, artist advances and recording costs we were talking about is the one million and the one and a half million. To the extent that there are video costs, that's a lower threshold.

So for example, a label would have to seek approval to spend money over a table of authority or an approved limit for videos. There is no such term in the publishing table of authority because they don't incur videos.

So the comparison of the one to

Page 49 your statement. Halfway down in the paragraph

2 under "comparison of investment risk and

3 operations of sound recording in music

4 publishing business" there's a sentence that

5 reads: "Universal Publishing spends little or

6 nothing to create market, promote, manufacture 7 and distribute copyrighted musical works." Do 8

you see that sentence?

A

Yes, I do. Q Did I read that correctly?

Yes, you did. A

12 Isn't this statement also a bit 13 inconsistent with the fact that you sometimes approve over one million dollars in A&R 14 15 expenditures on the publishing side? 16

Again, I disagree with you.

Q Universal Publishing worldwide is a stand alone company, correct?

 \mathbf{A} Yes, it is.

And your statements on page 4 O you're only referring to the U.S. operations of the worldwide company?

Page 50

A That is correct.

0 Do you have any reason to believe that the international operations regarding the matters you address in your testimony here are fundamentally or materially different than that in the United States?

In terms of the operation of music publishing?

Q Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A They're not materially different.

In the paragraph under comparison of investment risk, operations of sound recording and music publishing business still you discuss generally differences in what you perceive to be the general business models and the way in which these two companies are selling, promoting, creating the sound recording versus the musical work, correct?

A Yes.

And this same type of model that is used in the primary market is not used in ancillary markets, is that right?

than they've ever consumed before in a variety 1

of ways. Whether it is through P to P

2

3 services, legal or illegal, whether it's 4 through download services, whether it's

5 through master tones, what have you. So the

6 notion of ancillary markets I think is getting

7 somewhat blurred.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I think as we move -- as we continue to move towards digital services and started selling product more in the digital space and we take advantage of the newer technologies, I think that there will ultimately -- these ancillary markets will become more mainstream revenue sources for the record company and maybe even the music publishing company.

They're not currently mainstream marketing for the company, correct?

19 Again, currently is a relatively 20 term, I think. We are moving towards in the 21 future, you know, different revenue streams. We are now garnering revenue from a whole host

22

Page 51

Again, I'm not quite sure I understand the parallel you're trying to draw or the question.

Okay. You talk about a type of model that's used on page 4 of your rebuttal statement, correct?

> A Yes.

Q And that model is for the sale and distribution of a CD, correct?

> A Yes, it is.

And that model's not applicable to Q what we referred to as ancillary products or ancillary markets?

I'm -- I'm not quite sure I agree with your analogy in the sense that the business -- the traditional business model is, as I sit here today, is 80 to 85 percent of my business still selling physical product. However, as I mentioned earlier, the business

model is changing. The business model in the sense that we are moving to more of a digital

world. Consumers are consuming more music | 22

1 of revenue streams.

> So to say -- to be finite and say ancillary today may not be ancillary tomorrow or three years from now.

Q But it's ancillary today?

Again, it's just a categorization. I don't think necessarily think it is - it is - again, it's - it's maybe a relatively small amount of income today, but it could become a major source of revenue in the future.

What about the -- talking about again the relative risk in the business model on page 4 of your rebuttal statement. Is this model applicable for ancillary markets when you define it as master use or sync licenses?

Again, ancillary -- I just want to be clear. If I turn to Exhibit 29. If you're referring to ancillary income or ancillary markets; if we're referring to ancillary income on the P&L, which is a very small number, is not referred to as synchronization.

Page 54 That would be more so in licensing income. 2 So to the extent that licensing is 3 a more material part of our business today, I 4 would say that this business model does also 5 cover some of that. Because when we are --6 when we are selling a -- or promoting an 7 artist or a sound recording we know that there 8 will be income from -- derived from a whole 9 host of sources. 10 O Mr. Ciongoli, I've put in front of you your deposition testimony from November 3, 11 2006. If you could please turn with me to page 12 13 60. 14 And we have marked your deposition 15 transcript as Services Exhibit 54. 16 (Whereupon, the document 17 referred to was marked 18 for identification as 19 Services Exhibit R-54.) 20 BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: 21 If you could please read pages 9--Q I'm sorry. Page 60 lines 9 through page 61

Page 56 And you said "Because the 1 2 ancillary markets or the synchronization or 3 the licensing is a direct result of a 4 fundamental investment vis-à-vis creation of 5 the sound recording. The -- you know, the promotion, the marketing the -- you know, just 6 7 the nature of consumer acceptance of the sound 8 recording and the popularity of it that allows 9 it to move into these ancillary markets and be 10 able to be licensed because now it is 11 something that, you know, somebody is willing to say its popular, it's reactive, the 12 13 consumer enjoys it. Therefore, we are willing 14 to go ahead license it in these ancillary 15 markets." Did I read that correctly? 16 Yes, he did. A 17 Q And that was a correct statement on November 3, 2006? 18 19 Yes. A 20 And that's still your testimony Q 21 today? 22 Yes.

Page 55

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay. Is it true that the types of investments that are made for sale and distribution are different than the types of investments that are made for master use and

5 sync licenses?

> Again, I guess I'm -- I'm -- when you say the types of investments made for master uses, generally as I think I said in my deposition the amount of -- you don't necessarily invest for synchronization on the recorded music side. It is part and parcel of the whole, you know, commercial viability of the song or the use of the song after it has been publicly accepted. So there's not a lot of investment made per se in the -- in the synchronization world, if you will, on the sound recording side.

And it's true that the types of investments that are made for sale and distribution are different than the types of investments that are made in ancillary markets, correct?

like 12 to yourself?

This would be the lower -- the lower right?

Q Page 60 would be the upper right hand corner --

> A 60, I'm sorry.

Starting at line 15 on the left hand side all the way down to line 12.

Did you read the statement?

A Yes, I did.

And during your deposition on

November 3, 2006 I asked you whether the same

13 type of market under the comparison of

14 investment, risk and operation of sound

15 recording and music publishing business is the

16 same type of model that would be applicable in

17 ancillary markets such as sync licenses or

18 master use licenses. And your answer to me

19

was "I'm not quite sure I understand the

comparison, but I believe the answer is no."

And I asked you: "And why do you 21

22 believe the answer is no?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

Page 58

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

6

7

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

A Again, defining ancillary markets as synchronization markets?

Q Defining ancillary markets more broadly as you defined them earlier?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

22

Q And that's because Universal usually invests in the primary market, which is to market and promote the artists so that you can sell CDs?

A We -- we invest in the artist so that we can create a sound recording that can be exploited in a variety of ways.

12 13 Yes, it is true to say that in 14 today's world we garner a substantial amount 15 of our income from the sale of CDs, not to say 16 that we are not going to garner income from 17 a variety of revenue streams in the future 18 that are different from today's model because 19 of technological changes or the way our 20 business models are in fact changing. 21 So that if you look at our

business today versus how you looked at it

1 move to strike his answer to my question as2 nonresponsive.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, the

question asked for him to deal with confusing
concepts. He was trying to explain his answer.
It was directly responsive.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I don't see that answer being different than his earlier distinctions that he's raised with the terms that you're using. I'll deny that motion.

BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

Q If you'll turn with me to page 61 of your deposition starting at line 13 and on to line 21. I asked you "So the types of investments that are made for sale and distribution are different than the types of investments that are made in ancillary markets?"

And you responded: "Yes, we generally don't invest in ancillary markets. It's usually a result of the investment in the

Page 59

five years ago, it is completely differently.

Q And the types of investments that you make today include the fact that you don't invest in ancillary markets? You focus your investments in the primary market, which is defined as selling CDs, correct?

6 7 I would not say it's that black 8 and white. Again, the investments made today 9 are going to garner revenue streams from a 10 variety of markets today and in the future. 11 And in those -- in today's world, again, we 12 may have 80 to 85 percent of our revenues 13 flowing from CDs, whereas in the future that 14 number if you look at all the statistics 15 whether it's the Pricewaterhouse, you know, or 16 the Enders or any of these sort of industry 17 surveys, the physical market is decaying. The 18 digital markets are increasing. Ancillary 19 markets are going to become major players in 20 terms of deriving revenue for content 21 companies in the future.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: I would like to

Page 61

1 primary market, which is to market and

2 promote, you know, the artists so we can sell

3 CDs." Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, you did.

Q And was that a true statement on November 3, 2006?

A That is -- yes, that it was.

8 Q And is that still a true statement 9 today?

A Yes, it is.

11 Q I'd like to turn now to page 5 of 12 your rebuttal statement regarding A&R 13 investments.

First, before we go into your specific statements, is it true that there is not an A&R component to licensing?

A In the general sense, no. But there are advances from time-to-time paid or received as a result of licensing.

Q And for the record, I think my 21 question was a little unclear. I said is it 22 true that there is not a component of

Page 62 Page 64 1 licensing, which was a double negative. 1 says--2 Is there to your knowledge an A&R 2 \mathbf{A} I'm sorry. 3 component to licensing? 3 0 The last sentence of the second 4 If I understand your question 4 paragraph on page 5 says "Overall the risk of 5 5 investment falls almost completely on the correctly, A&R defined as advances and 6 recording costs, from time-to-time when there 6 record company." Did I read that correctly? 7 is a license that is procured an advance may 7 A Yes. 8 8 Do you deny that the publishers be paid or received depending if we're Q 9 9 licensing and/or licensing out a track. engage in the best months to find writers 10 JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Ciongoli, A&R 10 prior to them having a sound recording 11 is advances in recording? I thought it was 11 contract? 12 artist and repertoire? 12 \mathbf{A} From time-to-time they do invest 13 THE WITNESS: It is. A&R is artist 13 in unsigned writers that do not have a 14 and repertoire, but from time-to-time when you 14 recorded music deal or a contract. 15 license -- it -- it involves the payment of 15 Sometimes that happens? Q 16 advances and the recording costs. 16 \mathbf{A} Yes, that's correct. 17 So if I understood Ms. 17 Okay. And as we discussed, 18 Rosenstein's question correctly, when we 18 sometimes the publishing division approaches 19 license a track to somebody else or we license 19 you for authority for artist advances over one 20 in a track from somebody else to put on a 20 million dollars? 21 compilation, there are usually advances paid 21 A That's correct. 22 to the repertoire owner, the A&R owner, the 22 0 Okay. With respect to artist and Page 63 Page 65

1 repertoire owner. In case -- and in our case 2 if we owned the song, we will ask another 3 label, okay, you want to license it and you 4 want to sell it or exploit it, then pay us an 5 advance or an advance against the royalties 6 that will be otherwise due us. So that's the 7 advance or the A&R monies. 8

And I guess we're using that term of art somewhat loosely here. But it's really advances that we're -- I believe what we're talking about, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay. If you could in the future make that distinction when you're talking about advances and recordings as opposed to artist and repertoire, that would help.

THE WITNESS: Understood. I apologize.

BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

Going back to page 5 if you look at the last sentence of the second paragraph under artist and repertoire investments, it

1 repertoire investment the labels and 2 publishing companies long have been making 3 these types of investments and making these 4 types of cost investments, right? Let me 5 rephrase that question. 6 With respect to artist and

repertoire investments, this is a type of cost that the sound recording, the record labels have been making for a very long time, correct?

\mathbf{A} Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well before there was ever O webcasting?

A I think that's correct.

And none of these A&R investments are accounted for in Universal books and records as being attributed on a line item to webcasting, correct?

Well, most advances are recoupable from any and all monies due the artist. So to the extent that monies flow in from, again, a variety of revenue sources, the artist shares

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

in those. Therefore, any advances paid or recording costs paid on their behalf are recoupable against any and all monies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

Well, when they're accounted for on the books, they're not broken out by how they're recouped? It's just general revenue flowing in?

A Well, to be specific, on an artist's statement to the extent that there are, again, a variety of revenue sources, those revenue sources on a royalty statement are from the sale of CDs or from, you know, the synchronization or the license of a track, it could be webcasting. All sources of income are denoted on the artist statement and then we show the advances paid to them. And then there's a net amount due the artist or they still owe us money. So those items are denoted on the artist statement.

But when it rolls up, those don't 0 categorize into different areas, do they?

A Not necessarily.

Page 68 enjoying many sources of new revenue streams, 1

2 whether it's from the mobile world, whether

3 it's from the digital world, whether it's from

4 webcasting, whether it's from satellite radio, what have you. So there are a whole host of 5

6 new revenue streams because of technology and

7 because of the decay of the CD.

So it is true to say that potentially webcasting is not necessarily that material to our business today. But all of these revenue sources are ways in which we are recouping the investments that we are making today against, you know, future revenue streams. And, again, people are -- you know, consuming music from a variety of areas. So it is true to say that it is probably not that material today, but I believe in the future it would be material.

And because it's not a material source of revenue for Universal today, it doesn't affect the up front A&R costs that the company expends on an artist to promote or to

Page 67

You noted in your testimony that there is a difference between A&R expenditure and A&R expense, correct?

> Yes. A

O And in fact, if you look on page 6 of your testimony, A&R expenditure on the label side when you adjust for the recoupment is adjusted downward by hundreds of millions of dollars on the profit and loss statement, correct?

> A Yes, that's correct.

Q If webcasting as we know it disappeared tomorrow, is it likely -- it is likely that Universal would incur the very same A&R costs with respect to artists, correct?

17 I - I guess you're asking me a A 18 hypothetical?

> Q Correct.

 \mathbf{A} But again, I - my - my response would be as in today's world our business model is changing. We are -- we are seeing and

1 start a new record, correct?

> That's a very difficult question to answer, you know, from where I sit today. Because I'm not the person making that decision. I'm not the A&R person that looks at the commercial viability of an artist. It is in the eyes and the ears of the A&R person.

> > When they sign an artist what goes

through their head to figure out where they think they're going to sell that -- where they're going to sell that artist, whether they think it's going to be a great digital artist or it's going to be a great urban artist, it's going to sold at the street level, or it's going to be a touring artist I don't know. So I cannot answer that question to say what goes through somebody's mind in terms of where they think they're going to recoup or what the level of investment is going to be.

Do I think that they think, oh, I'm going to get webcasting royalties,

Page 70

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

therefore I can give them, you know, a fraction more? I don't think that goes their head. But I do think that they think about how revenue is going to be derived from the signing of that artist. 6

Can you identify any aspect of A&R costs incurred today that would not be incurred if webcasting stopped today?

9 MR. SMITH: Asked and answered, 10 Your Honor. The exact same question.

11 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. 12 Rosenstein, are you asking if expenses are 13

made without thoughts of income? 14 MS. ROSENSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm 15 trying to demonstrate that expenses are made 16 regardless of the source of income. And, in 17 fact, the primary source of income is the sale

18 and distribution of the CD, and they would be the same expenses regardless of whether or not 19

20 there was webcasting.

5

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

21 They've had A&R expenses long 22 before there ever was webcasting. And they're

And so the vast majority of marketing promotion expenses are incurred in an effort to sell sound recording through a digital download or compact disk, correct?

Or a stream or a master tone, yes.

Q But the vast majority of marketing and promotion expenses are spend on the digital download and compact disk, correct?

Most marketing is to develop consumer awareness. It is the tools by which we are going to, hopefully, get public awareness of an artist or a sound recording.

And you want public awareness to sell the compact disk or digital download, correct?

We -- again, we want to garner A revenue from all sources. And in today's world a substantial portion of our revenues come from either a digital download, a master tone, a ring tone or a sale of a compact disk.

And marketing costs that are incurred before a final product is created

Page 71

going to continue regardless of whether webcasting continues. It's the type --

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If the income sources don't change?

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Well, because they're so primarily focused on the compact disk and the digital download. It doesn't matter whether or not there's webcasting is the point.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection sustained.

BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

Q I would like to move on to marketing costs now. I pages 7/8 of your written statement you discussed types of marketing investments that are made "up front," correct?

> A Yes.

Q And the vast majority of marketing promotion expenses are incurred before the record is even for sale, correct?

> A Generally, yes.

include advances or recording costs associated

2 with the sound recording itself, such as

3 videos, creative services, packaging and photo 4 shoots, correct?

A Yes.

Q And these types of marketing costs have always been incurred by UMG prior to sale and distribution in the consumer market, even prior to the advent of webcasting, correct?

10 A That is the business we're in, 11 yes.

Okay. And if webcasting were to disappear tomorrow, UMG would still incur these same types of marketing costs, correct?

I'm not necessary -- again, I -- I A 16 go back to my previous answer. A lot of the costs incurred, you know, today in marketing

18 is the outlets are so much more than they were 19 many years ago. Our music is streamed over

20 internet. I walk through my office everyday.

21 People have their computers on. And they're

22 streaming from the internet, from webcasters

Page 76 Page 74 And this is a document that you've our music whether it's our music our other 1 O 2 seen before? labels. 3 3 So the internet digital technology A Yes. has given the consumer greater abilities to 4 Q And it was prepared either at your 5 direction or under your supervision? retrieve and consume music in a lot of 6 That is correct. 6 different media. And our marketing efforts MS. ROSENSTEIN: I'd like to offer 7 7 will go towards a lot of those areas to get 8 consumer awareness, whether it's creating the 8 Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 into evidence. 9 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection video to have it streamed on a computer, 10 10 to Exhibit 55? giving the video to an MTV to have it played 11 MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor. It can 11 over, you know, a television station or what be admitted under the protective order, 12 have you. So a lot of these marketing 12 13 13 though. It's confidential material that's not expenses are incurred, but they are to 14 hopefully get consumer awareness in whatever 14 to be available to the competitors and the 15 15 methodology or media by which a consumer will record producers. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Why is it 16 consume our music and hopefully buy a CD, buy 16 17 a download, buy a video, you know, in hopes to 17 confidential? 18 recover some of the investment. 18 MR. SMITH: I'd be happy to ask 19 19 the witness, Your Honor. Can you identify any aspect of 20 marketing costs such as video, creative 20 **VOIR DIRE** 21 21 services, packaging and photo shoots that BY MR. SMITH: 22 would cease if webcasting under statutory 22 Q This document, does this contain Page 75 Page 77

1 license stopped today for any reason? 2 I'm not -- I'm not at the level of 3 developing the market plans. So I could not 4 answer that fully. It would probably be more 5 of a marketing person to ask that question in 6 terms of how they direct their marketing. But 7 I really don't know what would or would not go 8 away. 9 I'd like to discuss with you how 10 you keep track of marketing expenses. 11 (Whereupon, the document 12 referred to was marked 13 for identification as 14 Services Exhibit R-55.) 15 BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: 16 Mr. Ciongoli, I've put in front of 17 you what's been marked as Services Rebuttal 18 Exhibit 55. Can you identify this document, 19 please? 20 A Yes. I believe it is some backup 21 to some of the information that is presented in my - I guess my rebuttal testimony.

Ç. 1.

confidential information that your company 1 2 would seek to keep from being known to our 3 competitors? And if so, why? 4 Yes. This is highly competitive 5 information. We do not share individual 6 category or our marketing expenses with anyone 7

outside of the company.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

And what would be the consequences if that information were known to the other major record companies, for example?

Again, depending on the level of sales and the level of investment that we make in terms of marketing expenditure, I think that that have, you know, a significant or a severe impact from a competitive standpoint.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Why do you think your competitors don't know this information?

19 THE WITNESS: This is not publicly 20 available. Our competitors guess at what they 21 think we spend on marketing. They don't know. 22 This document actually has categories of spend

Page 78

by year as to what we spend on a particular
type of expenditure, for example videos,
packaging, what have you.

4 If you -- you can derive a lot of 5 statistics from this information. And, again, 6 that would be highly detrimental to our company if our competitors knew that we spent, 7 8 for example, more or less on video costs than 9 they do. You know, they may be able to go to 10 an artist and say we know that Universal 11 spends on an average X for a video. We'll go 12 ahead, if you sign to our label, we're going 13 to spend Y on your video. And that, again, 14 would put us at a competitive disadvantage.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Do you know what your competitors are spending in these categories?

THE WITNESS: I do not know.

19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You do not

20 know at all?

15

16

17

18

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

THE WITNESS: I can guess from whatever public documents are available. For

1 U.S. labels spent in 2005. It goes back and

2 supports the previous -- the prior years.

3 That information is not publicly

4 know in any document that I've ever seen from5 a competitor, whether consolidated or

otherwise.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You didn't hear my question. My question is be specific what in this exhibit is not included in public offerings and to the extent that it's consolidated in public offerings, where is it consolidated?

THE WITNESS: The number -- if I understand your question correctly, that would be included in our competitor would be the total number. For example, on the second page of 318 million. That number alone would not be known. It would be consolidated with the worldwide outside of the U.S. rest of world marketing. So there would be even a larger number that would be on a consolidated profit and loss statement attached to a 10K or a 10O

Page 79

example, the Warner Music Group is a public -- a publicly traded company. I can get a 10K and look at consolidated marketing. But I have no

look at consolidated marketing. But I have noidea what they spend on their U.S. labels,

5 which is what, this is an aggregation of our

U.S. labels. They are a worldwide company.

BMI you can probably get publicly the same kind of consolidated information, but necessarily broken out by category for the U.S. labels only.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: To be specific, what is a category and what is -- and where is that consolidated in public information?

THE WITNESS: If you -- for example, if you go to page -- the second page of this document where you look at, it's called P&L Global Report 20 -- '01/2005 to

18 called P&L Global Report 20 -- '01/2005 to
 19 12/2005, this is for the calendar year 2005.

20 These are the consolidated expenditures by

21 category in terms of trade advertising,

22 consumer advertising, so and so forth that the

if it was a public document.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And that consolidated amount then can be analyzed based on the size of the components of the total organization that is reflected in the consolidated public figures?

THE WITNESS: The only -- the only

THE WITNESS: The only -- the onl way you can analyze that is, say, aggregate total marketing as a percentage of your sales must equal X. And, yes, you can draw that statistic or that conclusion. To be able to allow a competitor to say the --

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well let me stop you right there. Do you do that with your competitors?

16 THE WITNESS: We look at aggregate 17 marketing, yes we do.

18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And draw a 19 conclusion based on what the units are based 20 on their market share?

21 THE WITNESS: I don't draw it to 22 units. I just look at it -- from again, from

	Page 82		Page 84
1	an aggregate perspective what they are	1	Q And then the next four pages of
2	spending in terms of aggregate marketing to	2	Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 which are Bates
3	the total revenue that they derive.	3	labeled at the end 6774 through 6778 provide
4	I would love to know what they	4	a breakdown of marketing commitment by
5	spend on each one of their components, but I	5	category, correct?
6	don't have access to that information.	6	A Yes, that's correct.
7	Because, again, that tells me whether I'm too	7	Q And this is commitment as opposed
8	competitive or less competitive than the	8	to an actual spend basis?
9	market. And if I had access to that	9	A That's correct.
10	information, I believe it would give me a	10	Q And commitment is essentially
11	competitive advantage.	11	synonymous with budget?
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And when you	12	A For the most part, yes.
13	say components you'd like to know, you're	13	Q Okay. And therefore if you look
14	referring to each line on page 2 of Exhibit	14	at the total amount at the bottom of page 2 of
15	55?	15	Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55, which is for
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	16	1/2005 through 12/2005, that number is
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	17	actually larger than the 2005 actual year to
18	to the motion?	18	date on page 1 of Services Rebuttal Exhibit
19	MS. ROSENSTEIN: No.	19	55, correct?
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	20	A Yes, that's correct.
21	objection the motion is granted.	21	Q And the reason for that is it was
22	I think Mr. Smith interrupted me.	22	committed but not necessarily expended?
	Page 83		Page 85
1	I don't believe I completed the earlier	1	A There if I recall correctly,
2	process and the exhibit is admitted.	2	there was a request for detail by category to
3	(Whereupon, the document	3	support the number that was in my statement.
4	previously marked for	4	This is a report that is generated in the
5	identification as	5	normal course of business that summarizes
6	Services Exhibit R=55,	6	those commitments and then there would have to
7	was received in	7	be a reconciliation from this number to the
8	evidence.)	8	number that is on page 7 and on the front page
9	MR. SMITH: I apologize, Your	9	that takes into account recoupment, it takes
10	TT .	1 1 1	
	Honor.	10	into account those commitments that weren't
11	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:	11	necessarily spent. So there is a
11 12	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal	11 12	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place,
11 12 13	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details	11 12 13	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget
11 12 13 14	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items	11 12 13 14	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that
11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of	11 12 13 14 15	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year.
11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of your rebuttal statement, correct?	11 12 13 14 15 16	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year. Q And the costs reflected on
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of your rebuttal statement, correct? A Yes, it does.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year. Q And the costs reflected on Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 are associated
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of your rebuttal statement, correct? A Yes, it does. Q And the total at the bottom of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year. Q And the costs reflected on Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 are associated with UMG's U.S. products only, right?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of your rebuttal statement, correct? A Yes, it does. Q And the total at the bottom of page one, Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 matches	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year. Q And the costs reflected on Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 are associated with UMG's U.S. products only, right? A That's correct.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: Q If you look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 with me, page 1 is expanded details that support the market expense line items included on page at the bottom of page 7 of your rebuttal statement, correct? A Yes, it does. Q And the total at the bottom of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	necessarily spent. So there is a reconciliation that has to take — take place, but these represent the commitment, the budget or the actual amounts actually spent in that year. Q And the costs reflected on Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55 are associated with UMG's U.S. products only, right?

Page 86 \mathbf{A} This is all marketing spent in that year by the record labels for a variety of products and services that they may have -they may have spent it on. And the products and services that you believe they spent it on, unless when we

DVDs, ring tones and downloads, correct? MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if we could have a reference to the deposition other than merely a paraphrase to steer the witness?

talked on November 3, 2006, was only CDs,

BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

If you'll turn with me, Mr. Ciongoli, to page 126 of your deposition, which is Services Rebuttal Exhibit 54?

> A I'm sorry, what page?

17 Page 126, which is at the bottom 18 of page numbered 33 -- I'm sorry. It's the top 19 left corner. I'd like to refer you to lines 20 10 through 18.

Have you reviewed the testimony?

Yes, I have. A

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

12

13

16

17

Page 88

1 and promotional tours, although they are 2 associated with a band expenditure for a band

3 to actually go out and tour and promote its

4 CD, it is associated with the CD, but it may

5 have been expenses incurred in moving a band

6 around, not necessarily a direct cost to

7 marketing the CD. So there are, again, it's

8 marketing associated with consumer awareness 9 of the sound recording ultimately sold by, you

10 know -- in today's market by these products.

"These products" being CDs, DVDs, downloads and ring tones?

A Correct.

Okay. Let's move on to overhead costs, which is pages 8 through 9 of your rebuttal statement. And during your direct testimony this morning you discussed that overhead costs includes staffing and employees such as A&R personnel, marketing personnel, executive oversight and finance, correct?

A Yes.

> Q Does overhead also include

Page 87

1 And on November 3, 2006 I asked 2 going back to Exhibit 9, which is actually 3 Services Rebuttal Exhibit 55, which is the marketing detail, Ms. Ryan asked you if the 5 costs reflected on this were associated with 6 UMG U.S. only products and then she listed out 7 as products CDs, DVDs, ring tones and 8 downloads. 9

I then asked you "Are there any 10 other products besides CDs, DVDs, ring tones 11 and downloads for which the market detail reflects expenses?" And your answer was: "Noting that comes to my mind." Do you see 14 that?

15 A Yes.

> Q Was that a correct statement then?

 \mathbf{A} Then, yes it was.

18 And do you dispute that statement Q

19 today?

20 I wouldn't necessarily dispute it. 21 Just, again, maybe more of a clarification. If you look at lines 14 and 15, tour support 1 salaries?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

6

8

11

16

21

A Yes, it does.

> Q Fringe benefits?

4 A Yes, it does.

5 Q Travel and entertainment expenses?

> \mathbf{A} Yes, it does.

7 Q Rent?

> A Yes.

9 Communications? Q

10 \mathbf{A} Yes.

> Q Office supplies?

12 A Yes.

13 And so in overhead is all of the 14 things incidental to housing and employing 15 people, right?

 \mathbf{A} Yes.

17 And it's true that the company Q 18 does not have one group or one person whose 19 particular job is devoted to webcasting, 20 correct?

I don't know that. Again, within -- within the marketing department there may 22

Page 90 1 be a -- there may be a marketing person whose 2 job responsibilities may be to get our videos 3 played on a particular webcaster or what have 4 you. I don't know the particular job duties of 5 all the marketing and all of the people. 6 Okay. If you'll turn with me to 7 page 66 of your deposition starting at line 24 8 and going on to page 67 line 4? I asked you 9 if the company or does UMB have any one person 10 or any group of people who are -- whose jobs 11 are devoted to webcasting? And you answered:

13 I said "What about in general?". 14 And you said "Not specifically." Do you see 15 that?

 \mathbf{A} And again -- oh, sorry.

17 Q Oh, go ahead.

"Not in particular."

12

16

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

22

18 And again, I think it was true 19 then and as I said now, again, I don't know 20 what the specific and/or general job

21 descriptions of a marketing person is today.

22 I do know that our marketing people do focus do any type of allocation, you would have to

2 comb the financials and do some sort of study? 3

A Possibly.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

1

6

13

16

22

Page 91

O And that's because Universal doesn't measure its business in terms of what it spends on webcasting?

Again, I think you're-- you're singling out just one revenue source. We don't allocate on it a variety of levels.

You don't allocate it to that one 0 revenue source?

 \mathbf{A} Nor to other revenue sources. either.

14 0 I'd like to now turn your attention -- well, let me back up for a 15 16 second. You do allocate overhead, though, to 17 A&R?

18 \mathbf{A} Yes, we do.

19 O And you do allocate overhead to 20 certain types of business segments such as 21 finance?

> A Yes, there are departments within

1 on the new technologies, the digital space and 2 what have you. So, you know, as I noted and 3 as I note today there may be somebody's job 4 out there that is or have some portion of 5 their job that focused on webcasting. Clearly there are people who focus on the digital space.

> Q Meaning digital downloads as well?

 \mathbf{A} I refer to it as the digital space, whether it's ring tones, master tones, streaming, what have you.

> Q Digital downloads?

A That's your word, not mine.

14 Q Universal doesn't try to allocate 15 any overhead costs to webcasting development, 16 though, correct?

> \mathbf{A} That is correct.

18 And Universal doesn't try to allocate any overhead costs to webcasting 19 20 administration?

21 \mathbf{A} Not to my knowledge.

> Okay. In fact, if you wanted to Q

the company that we do allocate overhead to.

2 But I believe the question was do I allocate 3 my overhead to revenue sources. And the

4 answer is no we don't allocate our revenue,

5 our overhead to revenue sources.

> Q Is that --

7 We do allocate it to departments. 8 There are many departments. There's the A&R

9 department, there's the marketing department, 10 you know, so and so forth. But, again,

11 overhead is not allocated to specific revenue

12 sources.

> Q There's a sales department?

14 \mathbf{A} Sales cuts across all - a lot of 15

revenue sources.

Q Not all revenue sources, though?

17 A A lot of revenue sources.

18 Q Okay. And you don't have a

19 webcasting department?

20 I think you asked that before, and 21 the answer is, no, we don't.

> Q I'd like to now turn your

attention to Part D of your rebuttal statement 2 on page 9, which is overhead. I'm sorry, which 3 is manufacturing and distribution costs. In 4 the first sentence on page 9 below Part D 5 manufacturing and distribution costs you state 6 "UMG's record labels almost must pay 7 significant manufacturing costs in order to 8 produce the physical product that is sold to 9 the customer." Did I read that correctly? 10 A Yes, you did. 11 Q And my physical product you mean 12 the compact disk, correct? 13 Compact disk, some vinyl product and some still cassettes. Cassettes are still 14 15 sold. 16 Q And all of the manufacturing costs 17 for finished goods are incurred before the 18 sale and distribution of that physical 19 product, correct? 20 A Yes. 21 0 And you can think of no 22 manufacturing costs other -- I'm sorry. You

Page 96 1 stream it. So in that sense, there may be 2 some manufacturing for that particular CD that 3 went to them. But, again, I can't -- that's 4 why it's very difficult to answer your 5 question in a black and white yes or no. 6 And you wouldn't change the number 7 of units that you press based upon the 8 disappearance of webcasting? 9 Possibly, no. 10 Q Let's now turn to the distribution 11 costs. 12 MS. ROSENSTEIN: I believe I have 13 about 10 or 15 minutes left of questioning and 14 I understand that we usually break at 11:00. 15 Would you care to continue on or --CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. 16 17 Please proceed. 18 BY MS. ROSENSTEIN: 19 So turning to distribution costs, 20 in the third sentence below Part D on the page

Page 95

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

can think of no manufacturing that occur after sale and distribution, correct?

Generally, no, because you need the product to sell. So it usually runs in front of -- it is incidental to the sell. You have to have it so you can make the sale.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Are there any manufacturing costs related to webcasting?

A Manufacturing costs? Probably not.

Therefore if webcasting were to disappear tomorrow, there are no manufacturing costs that would change based upon that disappearance of that revenue stream?

Well, again, I guess if you want to take it to the extreme, depending on how a webcaster either streams our videos or gets our physical product, whether it's sent to them digitally or they actually take a physical CD and they rip it onto their services, there may be some manufacturing associated with that CD that they use to rip

it to put it on their servers that they can

Page 97

"Another substantial expense incurred by UMG's

record labels is the cost of distributing their sound recordings to retailers and consumers." Did I read that correctly.

9 of your rebuttal statement you state:

 \mathbf{A} Yes.

And, obviously, none of the 0 distribution costs of the compact disks and DVDs to retailers and consumers is attributable to webcasting, correct?

> A Yes.

The first cost you list on page 10 is digitizing sound recordings, correct?

> A Yes.

And with respect to digitizing the sound recordings, Universal does this for digital downloads, correct?

We are -- we are -- we digitize our -- as, again, as we move to the digital world with all of the new technologies, our products are being, you know, sold in a variety of ways. So in the digital space you have to have a digital sound recording to be able -- whether it's sold as a download, to be

Page 98 1 streamed, to be, you know, played, you know 2 put on people's servers. So this is a 3 precursor to being able to digitize -- or to--4 to exploit your music in the digital world, 5 whether it is downloads or it's master tones or streaming, what have you. So this is, 7 again, a precursor to that activity. 8 And because none of the 9 distribution costs reflected in your written 10 statement relate to webcasting, should

webcasting disappear tomorrow none of these distribution costs would change, correct? MR. SMITH: Objection. I think that mischaracterizes the testimony, Your Honor. The premise of the question

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I believe that the-if I understand your question correctly, the

19 first part of D refers to the manufacturing

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

i 20

21

22

20 and distribution of physical products to, if 21 you will, brick and mortar, you know,

22

retailers. I think what we were now just

1 \mathbf{A} Yes, but again we're hoping that 2 these revenue streams become significant to 3 justify the investment today. 4

And the revenue streams include --

5 A host -- a host of digital activity.

And so even if webcasting were to disappear tomorrow, you would still hope and still make the investments, correct?

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That question has been answered a number of times to disagree with the answer that you're asking for.

> MS. ROSENSTEIN: I'll move along. BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:

I'd like to turn now to the exhibits to the rebuttal statement. Turn with me please to SX Exhibit 29RR. Is this a document that you've seen before?

Q And you discussed earlier the line under EBIT, E-B-I-T?

Page 99

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

talking about was the cost of digitization and the cost of digital distribution, those would

3 continue in the future. You know, if we didn't

4 have physical distribution, we would have

5 digital distribution in all of its forms. So

6 those costs would still be incurred in the 7 future.

Q Because there are other forms of distribution of a work digitally, such as digital radio and digital downloads which you refer to by another name, can you identify any aspect of these digitization costs that would disappear if webcasting were to go away tomorrow?

 \mathbf{A} Again, if we are building systems and we are building libraries for the future, the revenues that we hopefully -- hopefully will garner from the digital -- the digital space so to speak.

And you would still incur those costs even if webcasting weren't part of the digital space, right?

Page 101

A

0 And that stands for earnings before interest and taxes?

A

And you talked about the relative Q return on sales, correct?

> \mathbf{A} Yes.

Q And you said that the relative return on sales is more positive for the income statement that's reflected on Exhibit 29 versus the income statement reflected at SX Exhibit 30RR, correct?

I think that was the reverse.

0 I'm sorry. You're correct. The publishing has a greater return on investment to your mind than the sound recording?

It has a greater return on sales as opposed to the recorded music side?

And if you look -- if you just compare the --

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Just for a second. What year are we talking about here,

r				2
		Page 102	1 -	Page 104
۱	1	Mr. Ciongoli?	1	example if a Now album, which is I'm not
1	2	THE WITNESS: I believe we're	2	sure if you now album is. But the Now
	3	referring to just 2005.	3	compilation where a physical CD is sold, we
1	4	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.	4	receive our participation from the sale of
	5	BY MS. ROSENSTEIN:	5	that CD. That's given to us, you know, as a
	6	Q On Exhibit 29 if you look at the	6	check and that goes into local licensing.
	7	number EBIT for 2005 actuals and you compare	7	So the derivation of that profit
	8	the 2005 EBIT on Exhibit 30RR, the shear	8	came from and is considered to be
	9	dollars reflected on Exhibit 29RR are larger	9	licensing, but it came from the sale of the
	10	than 30, correct?	10	CD.
1	11	A Yes, they are.	11	Q It also includes the sales from
1	12	Q And therefore, if one was to	12	record clubs?
	13	measure profitability by the number of dollars	13	A Yes, it does.
	14	that a business makes, then would you agree	14	Q And master use licensing?
- 1	15	with me that the record labels under that	15	A Yes, it does.
- 1	16	analysis would be more profitable than	16	Q And synchronization licensing?
-	17	publishing?	17	A Yes, it does.
	18	A I think there are many metrics in	18	Q And webcasting?
- 1	19	many ways to look at profitability. I believe,	19	A Yes, it does.
1	20	you know, most most investment people look	20	Q And radio casting?
	21	at the high rate of return as opposed to shear	21	A Yes, it should.
1	22	dollars. Clearly a profit is a profit, and I	22	Q Okay. And so you include
t				
1	1	Page 103 don't disagree with you. But I think that yes	1	Page 105 webcasting and radiocasting in on your line
١	1 2	and yes, the record labels from a dollar	2	item on your P&L with sync licensing and
ı	3	perspective make more money than the	3	master use licensing?
l	4	publishing. But I think from a return on	4	A Yes.
١	5	investment, return on sales I think there is	5	Q You don't distinguish it anywhere
١	6	clearly a higher return from the publishing.	6	else?
۱	7	Again, and that's due to their cost structure	7	A That's correct.
	8	and the way in which that they don't have the	8	Q And you can't tell from this P&L
	9	risk that the record companies have.	9	what percentage or amount of that comes from
	10	Q Would you now look with me on	10	webcasting, correct?
- 1	11	Exhibit 29RR and go down to the line that's	11	A No, you cannot from this
- 1	12	"Local licensing external," which is local	12	statement.
1	13	license income? It's the first entry on, for	13	Q And is there a report that you can
-1	14	lack of a better term, the second paragraph.	14	run that would demonstrate the amount of
-1	15	Do you see that?	15	dollars attributable in local license income
	16	A Yes, I see that.	16	external to webcasting?
-	17	Q Local license income external	17	A Somewhere when I when I receive
1	18	encompasses all revenues that are not from the	18	my checks from SoundExchange it would have you
- 1	19	sale of a compact disk, correct?	19	- those documents are probably resident in
1	20	A I believe that's true. But I also	20	the company someplace that made the entry into
•	21	believe that it includes the just so that	21	the general ledger that ultimately will look
-1	22	we're not it's not semantics here. For	22	to these numbers. So somewhere in the company
1			l	

Page 108 Page 106 there is detail as to how much SoundExchange hear you. I'm Tom Kirby, Your Honor. 1 2 or webcasting money we've received as a result 2 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Kirby, that's 3 of that. 3 correct. That's what I wrote down. 4 4 MR. KIRBY: All right. So you don't keep track of that as 0 5 5 part of your regular business? I was instructed that I shouldn't 6 Again, I would -- from my 6 presume, so I was waiting until I heard my perspective at the 30,000 foot level the 7 7 name. 8 8 CROSS EXAMINATION answer is no. But I have accountants whose 9 9 jobs and responsibility to track these numbers BY MR. KIRBY: 10 that probably have some level of detail. 10 Mr. Ciongoli, I'm Tom Kirby. Glad Q 11 As you sit here today you can't 11 to meet you, sir. 12 tell us what percentage, though, goes to 12 A Likewise. webcasting? Whether it's a small percentage 13 13 If I understood your testimony, 0 or very small percentage? you believe the return on investment to the 14 14 Other than my own gut feel, no. 15 publishing company is higher than the return A 15 16 Q Okay. Is radiocasting on investment for the recording industry, is 16 17 simulcasting? 17 that right, recording company, is that right? 18 I'm not quite sure of the 18 The return on sales as depicted in 19 technical term. 19 the exhibits. 20 I referred to webcasting and to 20 And then you use the term internal 0 21 radiocasting in my previous question. Do you 21 investment to ROI, didn't you, you equated 22 believe those are two separate things? 22 this to? Page 107 Page 109 1 I would -- I would use them one in 1 \mathbf{A} Yes. 2 2 0 Okay. And as a matter of fact, the same. But, you know, again, I'm not the technical person that could tell you what the 3. you suggested an exhibit we were looking at, 4 4 difference is. that it was a 2 for 1 advantage in terms of 5 5 In my mind radiocasting would be the publishing industry, right? Q 6 simulcasting or streaming a set group of 6 For our company, not necessarily 7 7 products, a set group of songs? the publishing industry. 8 8 Through the computer, through the Well, that's something I want to internet, yes, I would then probably consider 9 go to. That's right. 10 them to be synonymous. 10 Now your company all operates 11 11 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ciongoli. under one umbrella, right? 12 Those are the questions that I have for you 12 \mathbf{A} Yes. 13 currently. 13 And you have a fair amount of 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That was a 14 authority, for example, as to how the books good estimate, Ms. Rosenstein. 15 15 are kept? 16 We'll recess for ten minutes. 16 A Yes. All right. And my question is 17 (Whereupon, at 11:11 a.m. a recess 17 until 11:25 a.m.) 18 this -- you also said the recording industry 18 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 19 has less right, right? Excuse me, the publishing industry has less risk than the 20 Mr. Astle? I'm sorry, did I get 20 21 recording industry? 21 your name wrong. 22 22 MR. KIRBY: I'm sorry. I didn't Yes. \mathbf{A}

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

All right. Now if the capital markets believe that they could get twice the return on investment with a fraction of the risk by investing in the publishing business rather than the recording industry, there would be stampede of money into the publishing business, wouldn't there?

 \mathbf{A} Potentially.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

22

Q And if your company really believed that you could get twice the return on investment with less risk by allocating its funds to the publishing part of the business,

13 there would be a massive reallocation of funds 14 going on within your business, wouldn't there? 15

A Possibly.

Q And that's not happening, is it?

17 A There is that happening.

18 O Right now today?

19 Yes, there is. A

Q Could you tell me about that?

21 \mathbf{A} You may have read in the press

22 that the Universal Music Group is potentially

Page 112 1 the money that you're going to be spending on

2 this is going to reflect the kind of valuation

3 you were placing earlier on the publishing

4 business? Two to one return on investment, 5 less risk?

A I don't necessarily -- again, I didn't say two to one. I said it's reflective of that in that one year. But there is a tremendous return on publishing assets.

Q And you weren't suggesting that that same return would be necessarily realized by other publishing companies, were you?

Again, I can't speak for other publishing companies.

Q So you were just giving me your isolated example, is that right?

That's correct. A

0 All right. Now, there is a revenue flow in the form of royalties from recording companies to publishing companies, isn't there?

> \mathbf{A} Yes, there is.

Page 111

-- have approval to acquire the BMG music publishing catalogue, which is a significant investment for the company.

Yes. And is the anticipation that you're going to get twice the return on investment there than if you spent the money in other ways?

I think it's a unique opportunity for the -- not many music publishing catalogues come up for sale from time-to-time. Part of my job and part of the business is to evaluate, obviously, risk and reward.

We would love for many of the copyrights to come up for sale. Unfortunately, they don't because they are very similar to an annuity that comes, you know, from time-totime. When there are these gems, if you will, that do come for sale from time-to-time, there is a flurry of activity to purchase them

20 because publishing assets are very unique and 21 highly sought after.

> All right. And you anticipate that Q

Q Substantial, yes?

A Again, it is a -- it is a -- the royalties if you're referring to the copyright royalties or the mechanical royalties, yes it is.

Q All right. And when those royalties are set both the recording companies and the publishing companies know the historical practice in terms of the promotional activities of the recording companies, is that right?

I'm not quite sure I understand your question.

Let me ask the question in a different way. The various promotional activities and costs that you've described in your testimony here today, those are known to participants in both the publishing industry and the recording industry, right?

Not necessarily. A

Well, I must not be asking my question right, because I think your answer is

Page 113

16 17

Page 117

Page 114

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

going to be yes eventually. People know the recording industries publish, market their records?

Yes, they know that --

5 They know the various things they 6 do to promote their artists and recordings, 7 right?

> Yes. A

2

3

4

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

9 0 It's understood that that's going 10 to happen, right?

It is -- it is -- again, depending on the enthusiasm and level of support of artist there is a variety of money spent. But generally speaking everyone knows that if a record company signs an artist, it will in fact promote them.

Okay. I'm sorry I wasn't getting there neatly, but that's where I wanted to go.

And there's that understanding, that shared understanding at the time these royalty levels are being set between the

recording industry and the publishing

0 And you would think a rational person would take those factors into account in deciding how much the record company had to pay the publishing industry, right?

Okav. I understand your question.

So there is a discount here so O that in effect the publishing industry through a lower royalty than otherwise, is helping to fund the recording industry's promotional activities, isn't that true?

I -- I disagree with that assertion. I don't -- I don't understand how the publishing company is subsidizing, if you will -- I think that's what you're saying, subsidizing the record company for their investment.

There's an adjustment in the royalty rate in favor of the recording companies to reflect the fact that they're engaging in all these promotion activities, isn't that true?

> A I -- I-- don't -- I -- I see that

Page 115

industry, right?

Again, I -- I guess I'm not sure I understand setting of royalties between the two businesses.

What is your understanding of how the royalty gets determined?

Well, under -- under the -- I guess under the current statutory law there is an amount of money that is paid for the use -for the license, if you will, the use of the composition.

And when that amount is being set or that percentage, that per amount --

That per amount, that's correct.

-- is being set, whether it's done 0 with somebody else making the decision or whether it's done by negotiation, the recording industry's point to all of these activities they undertake to increase the shared value of the recording and the copyright, is that right?

 \mathbf{A} Yes. 1 as completely separate. The -- the-- the

2 amount of money that was determined is

3 determined way back when and by a set -- set

4 of circumstances. And those circumstances were

5 such that they are -- they are a cost factor

6 for a record company and it's a revenue item

7 for a publishing company. To now, I think, 8 would draw the conclusion of saying there's a

9 discount that the publishers are subsidizing

10 a record company by virtue of taking this 11 stated rate over here, I -- I don't subscribe

to that theory.

Okay. But do you know what considerations were taken into account in coming to that rate? I thought you told me that you thought it likely the record company would have emphasized all of its promotional activities when that rate was being set.

19 Didn't you tell me that?

20 But, again, I wasn't around 21 however long ago when these rates were set. 22 So I don't know what discussions were had.

Page 118 Page 120 1 Q Right. Now, you testified that 1 Yes. \mathbf{A} 2 you didn't know all of the job duties of all 2 When is the future? Q 3 3 of the people at your company. Do you A It could be as much as tomorrow. 4 remember that testimony? 4 And could it be 50 years from now? Q 5 5 A Yes, I do. \mathbf{A} Based on a lot of the industry 6 0 Okay. But you've been in the 6 analyses that I've seen, I think the -- the 7 recording business for, what, about 16 years 7 convergence, if you will, from physical to 8 now, is that right? 8 digital is going to be a lot more rapid than 9 9 Yes, I have. 50 years. A 10 Q And how long at UMG? 10 Well let me ask, more rapid than Q 11 A Sixteen years. 11 50 you say. Are you certain or is that just 12 your best guess at the moment? And you don't remember ever being 12 13 introduced to someone and being told this 13 Again, nothing is for certain. \mathbf{A} 14 person's job is to take care of webcasting, do 14 O Right. And you're not a 15 futurologist, are you? vou? 15 16 A I've never been introduced to that 16 No, I'm not. A 17 person. 17 Q And you didn't provide any 18 And you've met a lot of people in 18 quantification of the future in your written 0 19 your company over the 16 years, haven't you? 19 testimony, did you? 20 Yes, but I do -- I do know that 20 \mathbf{A} No, I did not. 21 there is a trend in our company now to hire 21 And you didn't provide any in your Q 22 more internet savvy individuals, both previous testimony on cross examination, did 22 Page 119 Page 121 1 marketing and sales people, to do certain 1 you? 2 things as technology is changing. 2 No, I did not. A But you've never met a person and 3 3 And you're not qualified by O 4 been told this person's job is to deal with 4 experience or training to tell us when the 5 webcasting? I think that's what you told me. 5 future is going to come, are you? 6 MR. SMITH: It's been asked about 6 A Well, I can tell you that as part 7 three times. 7 of my --8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. 8 Let me ask a question: Are you 9 He has said that, but then his last answer 9 qualified by experience and training to tell 10 attempted to back away from it. 10 us when the future is going to come? 11 THE WITNESS: Again, has somebody 11 Again, what the -- can I tell you \mathbf{A} 12 -- to use your -- use your question, 12 if tomorrow will ever be here? 13 introduced me as ---13 Well, no, no. Just tell me 14 BY MR. KIRBY: 14 whether you're qualified by experience and 15 Let's use my question on that. 15 0 training to tell us when the future is going 16 \mathbf{A} Okay. Have I been introduced to 16 to come. 17 Mr. Joe Webcaster? No, I have not. 17 A I guess I don't understand your 18 All right. One further question 18 definition of the future. 19 in this area. You said well things may be 19 All right. 20 changing. I lost track. You used the word 20 MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, I think "future" quite a number of times in your 21 21 that makes my point, and I'm done. testimony, didn't you? 22 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.

Page 122 Page 124 Any questions by MPR? And those revenues include 1 0 2 MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor. 2 webcasting revenues as well as other licensing 3 3 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith, revenues? 4 4 any further questions? A This is from all sources.O 5 MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 5 MS. ROSENSTEIN: Objection, Your 6 Thank you. 6 Honor. Leading. 7 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained. 8 BY MR. SMITH: 8 MR. SMITH: I withdraw the 9 Mr. Ciongoli, you were asked by 9 question. 10 Ms. Rosenstein whether marketing expenses are 10 BY MR. SMITH: 11 associated with four specific products; CDs, 11 What categories of revenue are 12 DVDs, downloads and ring tones. Do you recall 12 included in those what you call sales that 13 that testimony? 13 affect the marketing expenses? 14 A Yes, I do. 14 A It's all revenues. 15 Q 15 Now can you tell us whether Now Mr. Kirby asked you about the Q 16 marketing expenses are associated as well with mechanical royalties and whether they reflect 16 17 other sources of revenue other than products 17 the fact that the record companies are the 18 like those four? 18 ones that incur all these costs like marketing 19 \mathbf{A} Again, I think I referenced to 19 costs. Do you recall that question? 20 some of the categories. There are - marketing 20 \mathbf{A} Yes. 21 expenditures are really to promote the artist, 21 0 Let me ask you to assume that that's true and that the mechanicals would be 22 image the artist in hope that you, obviously, 22 Page 123 sell the products that we currently sell 1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

For example if there is commercial viability to a song and the song now wants to be licensed, what have you, you can't directly associate that marketing spend with, say, the license of that track to a television show or to a film or TV. But that marketing was incurred and it is incidental to those -- you know, to those efforts.

0 And those marketing efforts affect the amount of revenue that is earned, for example, from licensing royalties by UMG?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

today.

O Now does the amount of money that UMG receives from webcasting or any other revenue source for that matter affect how much money you have to spend on A&R and marketing and other expenses?

Generally speaking our marketing budgets are set as of percentage of sales, as percentage of revenues.

Page 125

a lot higher if the custom in the industry 2 were for the promotion and marketing all to be 3 done by the publishing company rather than the 4 record company. Got that assumption in your 5 head? 6

\mathbf{A} Yes.

Now can you think of any reason why a similar criterion shouldn't be applied when it comes to setting the royalty rate for webcasting as opposed to mechanical?

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Objection, Your Honor, to the hypothetical question. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: I'm just following up on the cross examination of Mr. Kirby, Your Honor, who asked him exactly the same question.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: He did and you didn't object to it.

The objection is sustained.

MR. SMITH: Nothing further, Your

22 Honor.

	Page 126	1	Page 12:
1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any further	1	Then if we go back to figure 3, in
2	questions, Ms. Rosenstein?	2	fact we would have that same comparison. We
3	MS. ROSENSTEIN: No, Your Honor.	3	would have the label's net sales and the
4	Thank you.	4	public company's total revenues?
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Kirby?	5	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
6	MR. KIRBY: No, Your Honor.	6	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: But if we go
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions	7	back to figure 2 what we have is the record
8	from the bench?	8	label's net sales and the music publishing
9	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Yes. Mr.	9	total publishing income. Should that be the
10	Ciongoli, perhaps you can just help me clean	10	publishing company's total revenue?
11	up a few labels here in your written	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12	testimony.	12	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay.
13	· THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	13	THE WITNESS: That's a mistake. I
14	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: If you look at	14	apologize.
15	figure four on page 11 of your written	15	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And finally if
16	statement, the caption at the top says that	16	we go back to figure 1 we have A&R as a
17	what we're looking at here is percentage of	17	percentage of net revenues for the record
18	the record labels and music publishing total	18	label's and for the music publishing
19	revenues?	1	
		19	companies, which
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	20	THE WITNESS: Well, it should be
21	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: If you look	21	total revenues as well. Total revenues for
22	back on page 10, you'll find that in fact what	22	the music publishing.
	Page 127		Page 129
1	we appear to be looking at here more correctly	1	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And net sales
2	for the record labels is their net sales. If	2	for the
3	you look at the sentence in the first	3	THE WITNESS: Correct.
4	paragraph.	4	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.
5	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm where	5	THE WITNESS: I apologize for
6	again are you looking at? I apologize.	6	those confusions.
7	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Page 10 the	7	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Those are all
8	first paragraph last sentence.	8	the questions I have.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.	9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Judge
10	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Are we looking	10	Wisniewski?
11	at net sales is my question?	11	JUDGE ROBERTS: I have no
12	THE WITNESS: We are I believe	12	questions.
13	the denominator is net sales, that's correct.	13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, thank
14	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay. So that	14	you, sir. That ends your testimony.
15	in fact we should be comparing net sales here	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	for the record labels to total revenues for	16	(Whereupon, the witness was
10 17			
	the publishing companies?	17	excused.)
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
19	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay. And I	19	We'll recess five minutes.
20	understand why that would be the case, but I	20	(Whereupon, at 11:43 a.m. a recess
21	just want to make sure that we've got these	21	until 11:52 a.m.)
22	labels correct here.	22	MR. HANDZO: Good morning.

Page 132 Page 130 you think you've negotiated yourself? 1 SoundExchange calls as its next 1 2 Mr. Roland. 2 A I could only guess in the 3 thousands. 3 Whereupon, 4 And would that include movies and 4 THOMAS ROLAND Q 5 televisions as well as advertising? 5 was called a witness by Counsel for 6 SoundExchange, and having been first duly 6 A Yes. 7 sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined 7 O And of the group that works for 8 you now how many of those people are engaged 8 and testified as follows: 9 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION in these licensing negotiations? 10 Seven including myself. 10 BY MR. HANDZO: A 11 And, sir, for the record would you 11 Q Are there specific O 12 tell the Court your name? 12 responsibilities for those seven people? 13 13 Yes. We have people dedicated to A Thomas Roland. the various different media. We have two 14 And, Mr. Roland, there's a little 14 15 note up there in front of you, right on the 15 television people, two film people, two desk, can you identify that for us? advertising people. Some of the -- one of the 16 16 Yes. This is testimony I gave film persons also does video game licensing. 17 17 18 several months ago in this matter. 18 And I have a dedicated pitch person. 19 How long have you been employed by 19 Q Mr. Roland, where are you Q UMG? 20 employed? 20 21 21 A Universal Music Group, Santa A Coming on six years, and 16 years 22 Monica, California. 22 this February. Page 131 Page 133 What is your title there? 1 Q 1 And have you always been involved 2 A I'm the Senior Vice President of 2 in the licensing of rights for movie and 3 3 television and advertising? Film and Television Music. 4 Q Could you tell the Court what your 4 \mathbf{A} Yes. 5 responsibilities are? 5 Does your division license all O 6 Universal music content or is it only part of My responsibilities are to manage 6 7 7 the department that licenses our reported it? 8 assets to film, television, advertising, video A The entire catalogue. 9 9 games, new media. How many license agreements do you 10 How many people are there in that 10 think that your group completes in the course department who work for you? 11 11 of a year? 12 Twelve people. 12 A We complete approximately 12 to A 15,000 licenses a year. 13 Mr. Roland, do you yourself engage 13 in licensing negotiations for the use of 14 That's 12,000 to 15,000? 14 Q 15 Universal music for movies and television and 15 A Somewhere in that range. Okay. Now in your written 16 advertising? 16 17 testimony, Mr. Roland, you also indicated that Exclusively advertising now. Most 17 A you've worked as a music supervisor on various of my duties are administrative and marketing. 18 19 But I do engage in the actual licensing of 19 projects? 20 20 recordings to the ad world. A Yes. 21 And over the course of your career 21 Can you explain what's involved in how many license agreements in this market do 22 being a music supervisor?

1 Music supervisor has several roles 2 on a film project or television project. They 3 are basically the quarterback for all the 4 musical elements that go into a film. They may 5 hire a composer. They'll work directly with 6 the director or producers to choose the 7 musical direction of the project. Often times 8 they'll also clear the music for the project. 9 How many projects have you worked 10 on as a music supervisor? 11 Two projects and one additional

projects as a clearance person, above and beyond what I do in my day-to-day at

14 Universal.

12

13

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And those two projects that you worked on, what were those?

17 One was a small independent film 18 entitled "East of A" that I think never saw 19 the light of day. The second was a feature 20 film directed by one of our -- our recording 21 artists, Rob Zombie. It was his last --22 "Devil's Rejects" was the name of that

an album. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

How the artist views himself. He may not be a hit artist, but he may prize himself in that category. That will drive the fee.

In the context of the use itself, how much of the recording is used, what type of scene is the recording being used. If it's just background music in a bar scene, we might get less money than if it were an end title in the film or a main title or if the actors were dancing to it or singing along with the recording.

Territory. Is it a worldwide deal, United States only.

Term. Is it a perpetual deal, is it a five year deal.

Those are the main factors.

What, the size and scope of the project, does that matter?

The budget of the project, if it's a TV show, we'll get a different amount of

Page 135

project.

Q Okay. Now just so that we all have our terminology straight, and am I right that the license for the reproduction of a sound recording and a movie or television or advertising is referred to as master use license?

 \mathbf{A} Yes, it is.

0 And a sync license would be the license for the use of the musical work in that context?

> \mathbf{A} Yes.

0 Okay. Now, could you tell the judges generally what kinds of considerations go into a decision about how much Universal will charge for the use of a sound recording and a movie or television or advertising?

We -- we -- we weigh many variables when determining our fees for each individual project. Stature of the artist.

Prominence of the recording, if it was a hit recording, if it was a deep track on Page 137

1 money than a film. Advertising gets a different amount of money from a TV show, et 2 3 cetera.

4 Is it fair to say that there's no 5 such thing as a standard deal in your 6 business?

> \mathbf{A} That's a very fair statement.

I'm sorry, I didn't catch your --Q

9 A I'm sorry. I missed -- is there a 10 standard deal in my business? 11

Q Yes.

 \mathbf{A} There's no such thing as a standard deal in my business.

Has it ever happened that you've licensed the very same sound recording at different prices for different uses?

A In the same project?

Q Or in different projects?

 \mathbf{A} Oh, absolutely.

Okay. Now, you indicated earlier O

21 that your group completes about 12,000 to 22

15,000 license agreements per year. How many

times is Universal approached about potential recorded all their hits. Captain and Tenille. 1 2 2 licenses in the course of a year? Steve Winwood is in the process. And we're 3 Anywhere from 75 to 10,000 times. 3 also competing with those re-records. 4 4 Does it happen that there are re-Okay. And of the --Q 5 5 record by different artists? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Seventy-five? 6 THE WITNESS: Hundred, I'm sorry. 6 \mathbf{A} Yes. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm confused 7 Seventy-five hundred. 7 8 8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. by your questions, Mr. Handzo. You're 9 9 BY MR. HANDZO: switching back and forth between so many 10 So most of the approaches actually 10 different licenses. When you refer to others Q 11 don't result in licenses? 11 singing a song, you're talking about the 12 12 master use license and when you're referring A Correct. 13 Q Now, when a -- let's say a movie 13 to the re-recording by the original artist producer approaches Universal about a 14 you're referring to no existing copyright but 14 a new copyright being created. 15 potential license, what alternatives to the 15 Universal sound recording does the producer MR. HANDZO: Let me see if I can 16 16 17 have? 17 clarify that with the witness, Your Honor, so 18 that the record is clear. 18 \mathbf{A} They could re-record the track 19 19 using the song but using a different version BY MR. HANDZO: 20 20 of the song. In all of the situations that 21 21 you've been talking about, Mr. Roland, whether They could merely have the actors 22 sing the song in the scene, as happens on 22 there's a re-recording of a Universal sound Page 139 Page 141 1 occasion. 1 recording by the original artist or a re-2 2 recording of the Universal sound recording by They could use a piece of library 3 3 a different artist, or whether the actor sings score. 4 And what happens quite frequently 4 the song; in any of those circumstances does 5 in television that they could have the 5 Universal get paid for the use of its sound 6 composer just simply compose a cue for that 6 recording? 7 7 scene. No, because there is no use of our 8 8 Okay. Well, let me ask you about, sound recordings in those contexts. O 9 you said that one of the things they can do is Q Okay. 10 have the actor just sing the song. Can you 10 MR. HANDZO: I don't know if that 11 think of an example where that's happened 11 helps the Court. 12 recently? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, Thank 12 "Walk the Line", Johnny Cash. 13 13 you. That's what I wasn't hearing, but I 14 Joaquin Phoenix. They sang all of the popular 14 didn't hear that to be what your question was. 15 Johnny Cash recording in that -- in that film. 15 BY MR. HANDZO: 16 You also mentioned re-recording. 16 Mr. Roland, are you familiar with 17 Does it happen that there may be a rethe term cover band? 17 recording of a song recording by the original 18 18 A Yes.

19

20

21

22

Yes. We've encountered quite a bit

of the practice of re-recording by hits by the

original artists. Village People have

Q

What is a cover band?

other -- other people's material, other

people's popular material. Usually bar bands

Cover band is a band that records

19

20

21

22

artist?

A

Page 142 1 · Q Is that another option for reto discuss with producers and directors why 1 2 recording? 2 they use the music that they do? 3 3 Yes. Producers have the option to \mathbf{A} Yes. 4 4 hire a local band or one of their friend's Q And do you have an understanding 5 bands to re-record a popular piece of music. 5 from those discussions of what their choices are and what kind of music they select? 6 And, again, if a cover band comes 6 7 in and re-records a Universal sound recording, 7 Yes. A 8 is there any sound recording license fee that 8 MR. STEINTHAL: I think we're 9 gets paid to Universal? 9 going to get now into hearsay if the next 10 A No. 10 question is what --11 0 Well, why is it that it might work 11 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What's wrong 12 for a producer of movie or television or 12 with hearsay? 13 advertising to use a re-record or have the 13 MR. STEINTHAL: Well, certainly I 14 actors sing rather than use the original sound 14 don't think it adds to the substance of his --15 recording? 15 he's not an expert witness who can testify 16 MR. STEINTHAL: I'm going to 16 about what he's heard from others and express 17 object on foundation grounds. 17 an opinion about it. We're talking about what 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo? 18 he knows or doesn't know. 19 MR. HANDZO: Well, for one thing, 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well not 20 Your Honor, he's already testified that he has 20 withstanding the strong training of lawyers 21 acted as the, you know, the person who 21 and judges, didn't Congress specifically 22 acquires the sound recording on several permit this use of evidence that may be very 22 Page 143 Page 145 1 projects. But I can expand on that background 1 foreign to us? 2 if you want. 2 MR. STEINTHAL: I'll withdraw the 3 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I thought 3 objection. 4 MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, could I

5

6

7

8

9

22

4 that the question referred to something that 5 just what is job is. 6 MR. STEINTHAL: The question 7 referred to what the person on the other side 8 of the table, why he or she might do something 9 as opposed to why he might does something. 10 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Is that 10 11 correct, Mr. Handzo? 11 12 MR. HANDZO: Well, it is except 12 13 that he is negotiating with these people. This 13 14 is what he does for a living is he negotiates 14 15 with people. So he, obviously, has some 15 16 understanding of what's going on on the other 16 17 side of the table. 17 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection 19 sustained. 20 MR. HANDZO: All right. 20 21 BY MR. HANDZO: 21

Mr. Roland, do you have occasion

22

Q

18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. 19 BY MR. HANDZO:

of the written testimony.

make an objection?

Q Mr. Roland, based on your years in this business of negotiating licenses and the contacts and conversations that you have with

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir.

MR. KIRBY: I object that this is

beyond the scope of the written testimony. He

wasn't proffered as somebody capable of

entering the minds of other people and

There's certainly nothing in the

testifying as to what they were thinking.

qualifications in his direct testimony to

suggest that he has that kind of ability. So

if they're now trying to qualify him as that

kind of witness, I think it's beyond the scope

Page 146

procedures and directors regarding their choices of what music to use, do you have an understanding of why it is that producers may be able to use re-records or have actors sing a sound recording rather than acquiring the original popular sound recording?

A Well, for the most part music is an ancillary part of these projects. I don't go see movies to hear music, generally, unless it's a musical.

So they have the luxury of swapping out less expensive options or less popular options to -- to fit the constraints of what is usually a small music budget in the context of the overall production budget.

Q And when they use music, are they necessarily using a whole song or could they just be using part of a song?

A Well, it depends on the type of project. Usually in advertising it's just a snip-it of the recording, 30 or 60 seconds. Because of the precious real estate in

they don't license Universal music, would you necessarily know in every instance where you lost out to a re-record?

A Not in every instance. But, you know, we're viewers ourselves and we'll see a scene, perhaps, that we lost to some other reason.

Q Are you able to sort of put a number on how often that happens?

A It's hard to say. I can't -- I can't put a hard percentage on it. But regardless--

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Kirby? MR. KIRBY: Well, in light of the answer, I think I'm going to withdraw my objection.

BY MR. HANDZO:

Q Okay. Regardless of whether or not a study or a producer actually used a rerecord, does the potential for them to use a re-record affect your negotiations?

A It's entirely affects our

Page 147

television of the 20 minute sitcom, they'll generally use a truncated version of a recording. They can't afford to use the 4 minute song in 20 minute episode.

You'll find full length uses of recordings more in film. But even then, it's still a long time for a viewer to sit through a scene with the music playing unless it's a very prominent use like a major montage scene or, quite frankly, over the end titles where they're going to let the song play through the crawl of the credit.

Q Now you indicated earlier that where there is a re-record of a Universal sound recording or the actors sing it,
Universal doesn't get paid a license fee for the use of a sound recording. Do you have an understanding of whether the owners of the musical work get a fee in that situation?

A They would get a sync license.

Q Mr. Roland, when you're negotiating a license and it turns out that

negotiations because the threat of a re-record is always present as part of the negotiation.

Q And how does it affect the price that you're able to negotiate?

A We don't all the cards in these negotiations. We're subject to, basically, a pie for this scene, which is part of the overall budget of the film. And we're not in the driver's seat for the most part in these negotiations.

Q Now you're referred in your testimony to production music and production libraries. Do you recall that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Can you tell the Judges what a production library is, what production music is?

A A production library is -- it's a production house that creates a library of music anywhere from jingles or little clips that can be licensed to regional ad campaigns all the way up to re-records of popular music

Page 149

or sound alikes. These are offered usually in libraries in volumes delineated by genre, year so that a film producer can quickly go through the library and find a cue that might satisfy a particular scene.

Q So, for example, if I'm a movie

Q So, for example, if I'm a movie producer and I went to a production library and I sid I want something that sounds like '60s music, could I get that.

A Yes, you could.

Q Or if I wanted something that sounded like a jazz riff by a particular jazz artist, would I probably be able to get that?

A Yes, you could.

Q Now is production music generally something that sounds a lot like popular sound recordings or might it be something entirely different?

A It depends on — on — in the context. The sound alike portion of a music library would sound like popular music, and that's the goal of the — of that particular

Page 13

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo. MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I've already asked him and he has already said that in the course of his career and his negotiations he has discussions with the producers about why they use the music they use and what their options. I think that foundation has already been laid.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes. And your question is do they want, and that question, objection's sustained.

BY MR. HANDZO:

Q Do you have an understanding, Mr. Roland, about what the advantages or disadvantages might be for a producer of using production music?

A There are several advantages in terms of time. It's usually all precleared, pre -- there's only one party that has to be negotiated; that's the owner. Prices are usually very, very low so there's an economic advantage.

Page 151

type of library.

Q Now, do you know who generally owns the rights to those production libraries, production music?

A They're usually wholly owned by the entity. The biggest production houses in Hollywood are owned by some of the biggest music publishers.

Q That is the people who own the musical works?

A Or the new works that are created for -- in these libraries.

Q Okay. Now are there reasons why producers might prefer to use production music as does the licensing from Universal?

MR. STEINTHAL: Again, I mean I've let things go by. But he's specifically asking about what the producers do. I don't mind him testifying about what he's interacted with producers about. But now we're getting into the mind of producers. And that, to me, is objectionable without foundation.

Page 153

So time and money basically.

Q Does the availability of production music affect your negotiations for universal sound recordings?

A Yes, it does. It's always a possibility for one of our clients to use production music.

Q And if production music gets used, is there any sound recording payment to Universal?

A No.

Q Mr. Roland, on page 9 of your testimony you state that one of the things that influences the negotiations of master use and sync rights is participation by the artist. And can you explain to the Court why the artist has a role? Let's say with respect to the master use license first.

19 A They may have a contractual clause 20 that it gives them the right of refusal for 21 these types of deals. And in cases where they 22 don't have that in their contract, we as a

Page 154 Page 156 splits with the artists or the songwriter, in courtesy grant that to them if they're 1 2 currently signed to the label. 2 this context --3 Where the artist is both the 3 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. 4 performer and a songwriter, does the artist 4 Steinthal? 5 have a say in the licensing of both the sound 5 MR. STEINTHAL: I move to strike 6 recording and the musical work? 6 the latter part of his answer that relates to 7 7 the publishing side for lack of foundation. A Generally, yes. 8 8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It is beyond And where that is the case, what 9 does the artist's incentives with respect to 9 the question. I did not address the 10 which right gets paid more? 10 objection, but it's beyond the question asked. 11 MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I 11 BY MR. HANDZO: 12 object on foundation grounds. We're now 12 Mr. Roland, again, in the course Q 13 talking about artists. We're on the verge of 13 of your career and your negotiations over these kinds of rights, have you had occasion 14 getting to a point where I was going to object 14 to talk with artists and managers about 15 relating to any of the testimony in here about 15 what publishers do and don't do and what whether they'd prefer to get their money 16 16 publishers' incentives are. There's no through the sound recording license or through 17 17 18 foundation from this witness that he's got any 18 the musical work list? 19 experience in music publishing. 19 Yes. A 20 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Question on 20 Q Can you give us an example of 21 what are the artist's incentives. The 21 that?

Page 155

22

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

A

Page 157 often insists on a much larger percentage of

Peter Gabriel is an artist who

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

BY MR. HANDZO:

objection is overrule.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Sure. Where the artist is both the performer and the songwriter, what are the artist's incentives with respect to which right gets paid more?

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I just pressed the objection on the grounds that he's not an artist. And the question is about now what is an artist's incentive. So, again, I don't think there's a foundation on that level either.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Purely economical. In our deals the splits are 50/50 generally. In our circumstance there is a large advance that may or may not be recouped. The artist won't see any income derived from film or TV licensing until that advance is recouped.

On the publishing side the advances are generally much smaller and the 1 2 the pie for the publishing side than the 3 master use side. These are often marked as a 4 contingent of their approval for our master 5 use.

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I move to strike as being beyond the scope of his written statement. There's no mention of Mr. Gabriel and his incentives.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Handzo? MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I'm responding to objections from Mr. Steinthal about foundation, and I'm laying the foundation that he's wanted me to lay. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The objection

is that the answer is beyond the scope of his statement. MR. HANDZO: Well, Your Honor, in his statement he does talk about the specific incentives of the artists with respect to where they get their money. So that certainly is in his statement.

Page 160 Page 158 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Where. And what is the license split for 1 1 Q 2 MR. HANDZO: I believe beginning 2 the musical work? 3 at page 9, Your Honor. 3 It can vary greatly depending on 4 JUDGE ROBERTS: Is it this 4 the type of publishing deal. If this artist is 5 sentence, Mr. Handzo, in the second paragraph 5 a self-publisher, it could be 100 percent. If 6 "The artist has a strong incentive to make the 6 it's an admin deal or a subpublishing deal, it 7 publishing as high as possible"? 7 could be 80 percent. It fluctuates, but it's 8 MR. HANDZO: Yes, Your Honor. And 8 much higher than 50 percent. 9 then, of course, it goes on from there to 9 And I think you also were at least 10 10 describe why. starting to talk about --11 JUDGE ROBERTS: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I rise 11 12 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. 12 again. I mean now not only is outside the 13 MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, if I 13 scope in the specific numbers, but 14 may make a different objection then outside 14 particularly now we're not talking about what somebody said to him in a negotiation. What 15 the scope, which is if the question is as to 15 16 the incentive of an artist, that goes directly 16 foundation is there for him to be testifying 17 to the mind-set of an artist. 17 now about the subject matter of the publishing 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No, sir. It 18 splits? 19 goes to the terms of a contract. 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Publishing 20 MR. STEINTHAL: I really question 20 splits. the relevance of having this witness testify 21 21 MR. STEINTHAL: I mean the 22 about artist's incentives and believe it is 22 gentleman has been ---Page 159 Page 161 1 without foundation. But I will, of course, 1 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo? 2 2 abide by the Court. MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, again, 3 3 this is a man who makes his living negotiating CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: he's the one 4 who negotiates the contracts or a person who 4 these deals. He obviously needs to understand 5 5 what the incentives are of all of the players negotiates the contracts and the terms of those contracts are within his statement. 6 in the negotiations. And he's already 6 7 7 testified that the artists are players in the BY MR. HANDZO: 8 8 negotiations because they do get the right to Mr. Roland, I apologize because I've sort of now lost a little bit the thread 9 have a say in what deal is done. 10 10 And I also was beginning to lay a of where we are. But, I think you indicated, foundation before Mr. Steinthal objected again but correct me if I'm wrong, that a performer 11 11 who is also the songwriter may have an 12 to the fact that he actually has had 12 13 conversations with the artists in precisely 13 incentive to get more money for the musical work than the sound recording, am I --14 this subject. 14 15 A 15 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. Yes. 16 BY MR. HANDZO: 16 Yes. Okay. And I think you also indicated that one of the reasons for that may 17 Q I'm not sure whether you finished 17 18 18 be the way license fees are split? telling us what the splits were for the --19 19 I believe I covered it. That's one way, yes. \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} 20 Did you cover it? Okay. 20 Okay. And the license split for Q Q Did you also finish talking about 21 21 the sound recording is what? the recoupment issue? 22

Fifty/fifty, generally.

22

A

In our case, record companies pay high advances which we recoup by various means including film and TV licenses. Our advances are generally much higher than a publishing advance. So often the songwriter who happens

6 to be the artist in this case, may see the 7 synchronization side of the deal and not the 8 master side because of the recruit issue.

9 Now you've also begin to tell us 10 about a specific example of this situation I 11 think with Peter Gabriel.

12 Well in that context he controls his own publishing and enjoys 100 percent of 13 14 that deal. And as part of our approval 15 approach to him, since contractually we need 16 his consent, he can withhold his consent based 17 on our agreeing to accept a less -- a lessor 18 fee than he's receiving on the publishing end.

19 Q Has that happened? 20

A It has happened. 21 Mr. Roland, are there situations

where Universal chooses not to extract the

Page 162

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 lower fee or waive it's fee?

> A Yes.

Do you see the owners of musical Q works making the same concessions?

> \mathbf{A} No.

MR. HANDZO: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I have.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal, do you want to start your cross or do you want to go ahead and break now?

MR. STEINTHAL: I wouldn't mind starting and then -- I think I have something to do in the first ten minutes, and then we can take a break.

> CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Okay. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINTHAL:

Mr. Roland, you've never been a Q webcaster, right?

20 No. sir. A 21

You never issued licenses to Q webcasters?

Page 163

highest prices it can for its sound recordings because it has other goals it wants to

accomplish?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19

120

2

3

4

5

Yes. Often we view some of these \mathbf{A} uses as promotional for our emerging artists.

Okay. What are the situations where there would be some promotional value for Universal?

We -- we weigh many factors. Primarily if the artist's target demographic coincides with the demographic of, say, a hit television show. An artist appealing to 20 year olds, we try to target TV shows that appeal to 20 year olds.

We also time these promotional 16 marketing pushes to coincide with right up to the release date of a new record. Sort of building up hype before the release of a record.

In those circumstances where there 0 21 may be some promotional value, are there circumstances where Universal might accept a

A

Q You don't have any experience in the webcasting business?

> A No, sir.

0 And yet you express certain opinions in your written testimony that Mr. Handzo did not even ask you about comparing the licensing of webcasting to licensing in the master use and sync market, right?

Correct.

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike for lack of foundation. I didn't have a chance to voir dire, but there are several instances in this written statement that Mr. Handzo I think specifically trying to avoid this didn't ask about.

There are passage in particular where starting on page 3 there's a section, the difference between master use licensing in film and television and licensing for digital music services. This witness, based on the

Page 165

Page 168 Page 166 it includes what he has foundation for and last few answers, has no basis for expressing 1 an opinion about the licensing of digital 2 what he does not --3 music services. 3 MR. STEINTHAL: He makes the comparison to something he has no foundation 4 So insofar as -- and I could go 4 5 through the passages of the statement --5 about. That's my concern is that he expresses 6 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And you would 6 opinions throughout this section contrasting 7 7 what he has a foundation to testify about with have to. 8 8 MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. something he has no absolutely no foundation 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If you ask to 9 to testify about. And that's why I believe 10 strike, you will be asking to strike a line 10 Mr. Handzo didn't ask him any questions about 11 from that statement. 11 it. 12 MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. Then let's 12 And then if you look at section 1 13 of this section. There's an Arabic 1 The start then with on page 3 there is the first 13 paragraph talking about it's hard to see how 14 Consumer Product. He makes a distinction 14 15 one can compare a negotiation in what he does between the product that he is involved in 15 16 do. It says "It's hard to see how one can licensing and the context of licensing where 16 17 compare a negotiation for a snip-it of a 17 he has no foundation. 18 single sound recording for background music 18 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And where is 19 use in a non-music product with a negotiation 19 that? 20 for a blanket license for all the record 20 MR. STEINTHAL: The first 21 company's full length sound recording for use 21 significant difference is the context in which 22 in music services to be sold or offered 22 the licensing occurs and the end product in Page 167 Page 169 1 1 directly to consumers." which the music will ultimately be used. The 2 So that, for example, is a 2 difference that he's talking about is the 3 difference between what he does license and statement that makes a direct comparison to an 3 4 area that he's already testified he has no 4 what he doesn't license. So any testimony 5 5 foundation to testify about. about the so called difference when there's no 6 The next sentence, "These 6 foundation for his testimony about webcasting 7 differences have a very significant effect on 7 and that context has to be stricken for lack 8 the structure of the negotiations, the 8 of foundation. 9 comparative bargaining power of record 9 Plus, he's expressing an opinion 10 companies, music publishers and producers and 10 about a subject he's got no foundation to 11 11 the outcomes of such negotiations." That's a express about. And he's here as a fact 12 reference to negotiations generally to both, 12 witness, not somebody to express opinions 13 I believe, an area that he does have 13 about differences in negotiating contexts when 14 he has no foundation in particular about the 14 foundation about and an area that he doesn't. 15 15 webcasting context. If you then read, again, the next 16 paragraph, the first significant difference --16 So this entire section, all three paragraphs of this section 1 talk about 17 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You're not 17 differences. "The first significant difference 18 moving to strike the second sentence in that 18 19 paragraph? 19 is;" the next paragraph "This difference has 20 a significant impact on the licensing itself." 20 MR. STEINTHAL: I am. There are 21 21 He's contrasting. Again, the difference he's only two sentences in the paragraph and I -trying to draw is between something he's 22 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You're saying 22

Page 170 testified about what he does do for a living 1 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well. vou 2 and an area that he's already agreed he's got 2 did, too. You said the whole thing under --3 no foundation to testify about, i.e., the 3 MR. STEINTHAL: Under section 1. 4 webcasting licensing context. 4 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No, that's 5 And then it becomes crystal clear 5 not what you said. So you want only to strike 6 in the third paragraph of this section 1 when 6 section 1? 7 he says "That is vastly different from a 7 MR. STEINTHAL: You know, I didn't 8 digital music service" and goes on and on. 8 realize that there was not another caption 9 So I would move to strike the 9 break. I'm sorry. I thought there was a 10 entirety of this section called "Difference 10 follow up caption. 11 Between Master Use Licensing in Film and 11 So section 1 under that caption I 12 Television and Licensing For Digital Music 12 would move to strike the entirety of it. 13 Services" on the basis that he has no 13 Section 2 I would also move to 14 foundation for it. Mr. Handzo didn't go there 14 strike the expression of opinions about 15 differences between single and blanket at all, I think the reason that I'm raising 15 16 right now. 16 licensing because, again, the focus of his 17 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Please don't 17 testimony is to draw a contrast to blanket repeat yourself, just repeat your motion. 18 18 licensing of webcasting, which is a subject 19 MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. 19 about which he has no foundation to testify. 20 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Well, there's a 20 And so, I believe that all of 21 question about that. You say the rest of this 21 section 2 should be stricken on that bases. 22 section, isn't that the entirety of his 22 Section 3 we get into, you know, Page 171

22

1 testimony? 2 MR. STEINTHAL: No. I'll grant him 3 that he does testify in --4 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I'm just trying 5 to clarify what you're asking. 6 MR. STEINTHAL: I'm seeking to 7 strike that portion of his testimony that 8 seeks to make a contrast or express an opinion 9 about the difference between what he does --10 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. I 11 understood that. 12 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We are just 13 asking you to identify. You identify the part 14 you want struck. 15 MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. Well, let's 16 proceed. There are going to be some parts I 17 don't ---18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Judge 19 Wisniewski just said what you've identified is 120 the whole testimony. And now you're saying 21 you're not. 22 MR. STEINTHAL: No. I --

Page 173 1 different subject matters that I want to 2 examine about after the lunch break. 3 JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Steinthal, 4 what expertise do you need to have to know the 5 difference between a license for a single 6 piece of music and a blanket license? I can 7 understand if he was testifying as to the 8 specifics of negotiations for blanket license 9 like prices and terms. But I just don't see 10 where he's offering that type of testimony. I 11 just see where he's offering testimony well 12 there's a blanket license, something that's 13 known as a blanket license and then there's a 14 license for a single piece of music. I don't 15 see where you have to be an expert on blanket 16 licenses. 17 MR. STEINTHAL: Well, you're 18 focusing on section 2, not section 1. 19 JUDGE ROBERTS: Well, it's also in 20 section 1. It's even in the first sentence 21 that you brought up. It's actually the lead

in paragraph of section 1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

1

2

3

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

Page 174 1 MR. STEINTHAL: Right. 2 JUDGE ROBERTS: Can one compare 3 and negotiation for a snip-it of single sound 4 recording to one for a blanket recording. 5 MR. STEINTHAL: Well, Judge 6 Roberts, I would submit that he has no basis 7 since he doesn't license on a blanket basis to 8 come here and testify and, frankly, express 9 opinions which he shouldn't be permitted to 10 testify about anyway because he's a fact

11 witness. Express opinions about the

12 difference between what he does do and the

13 licensing in a marketplace context that he 14 doesn't license. They're free to make that

15 argument, Your Honor, in their papers. But

16 they shouldn't be permitted to bring somebody

17 that has no expertise or experience in the

18 licensing on a blanket basis of catalogues,

19 number one, and specifically to webcasting

20 which is exactly what he's trying to draw a

21 distinction to, number two. And testify about

22 how the differences between the one and the

shouldn't be offering opinion on it. 1

> MR. STEINTHAL: Well, he's offering opinion about a contrast between one area of licensing and another when he has no foundation for the second. So to me this was a foundation problem and it's an opinion about something that he doesn't do. How can we let somebody that doesn't engage in the licensing to digital services express an opinion about

10 the difference what he does license and the 11 licensing of music to digital music services? 12

I think we run afoul of both foundation requirements and what his role as a fact witness is.

So just to be clear, it's sections 1 and 2 that I would move to strike on the foundation and expert -- lack of expert --JUDGE ROBERTS: Including the lead

paragraph in section 1?

20 MR. STEINTHAL: Yes. 21

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And that's the end of your motion?

Page 175

other should be viewed by this panel. I just feel that there's no foundation for it and it's also expressing opinion which is the province of an expert witness and not a fact witness.

So I think on both those bases, sections 1 definitely and section 2 even on the blanket versus single, I would submit to you there's no foundation and it's an expression of opinion as well.

JUDGE ROBERTS: It's not an expression of opinion as it's as much as it's an expression of experience.

MR. STEINTHAL: But he doesn't have the experience.

16 JUDGE ROBERTS: He certainly does 17 in his testimony with respect to individual 18 license.

19 MR. STEINTHAL: Absolutely. I 20 don't -- I'm not --

21 JUDGE ROBERTS: Well, I think I heard you saying he's offering opinion and he 22

MR. STEINTHAL: On this particular issue, yes.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo? MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, a number

5 of things. Just to start with the sour point, 6 certainly Mr. Steinthal's motion sweeps in a

7 lot of things that Mr. Roland says very

8 specifically about the area that he works in. 9

For example, in section 1 he says the 10 producers of movies, television shows and

11 advertisements are not selling music to the public, they are selling the movie or 12

13 television show and so on.

> You know, there he's obviously testifying very directly about what is very directly within his work experience. And there are a number of other sentences like that that I could read. So Mr. Steinthal's motion, obviously, number one sweeps far too broadly.

20 21 Number two, I think it absolutely 22 is within his knowledge to be able to say I Page 177

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 178 Page 180 1 negotiate individual licenses. And he's given A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N 1 2 some detail, I could certainly elicit more, 2 2:20 p.m. 3 3 about how he negotiates those individual CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: On review of 4 licenses and how each one is actually 4 the pending motion to strike the paragraph 5 individual and there is no such thing as a 5 preceding section 1, all of section 1 and all 6 standard license, and each one is very fact of section 2 that the witness has no 7 specific. Now I could go back and ask him the 7 foundation to make those statements, the question is that different from negotiating a 8 8 motion is denied. 9 9 blanket license. To my mind that was such an MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, may I 10 obvious point that it's not even worth asking. 10 make the same motion as to specific sentences, 11 But I could certainly ask him the question. 11 not only where I didn't get an opportunity 12 Obviously, you know, it is different to 12 before the break, but where I didn't even get 13 negotiate individually based on individual 13 to that part of the statement that relates to 14 circumstances, based on the individual sound 14 the same subject matter? 15 recording, the individual use. Obviously, that 15 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. 16 is a world difference from negotiating a 16 Steinthal, I think the candid answer is that 17 blanket license. And he doesn't need to know 17 I have no authority to keep you from doing 18 about webcasting to know that. He only needs 18 that. 19 19 to know his own business, which is how he goes MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. Then I 20 about negotiating these things and how 20 would like to specifically, as I volunteered 21 different it would be if somebody called on 21 to do before the break, read the specific 22 him to negotiate a blanket license. 22 sentences, not just in the passages we got to Page 179 Page 181 1 I think he's just stating a fact 1 before the break, but in ensuing places within 2 2 here. He negotiates initially, and that's the statement that I believe there to be a

3 different from negotiating a blanket license. 3 total lack of foundation into the record. 4 4 I don't think it's an opinion. It's a JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Are you making 5 5 statement of fact, and it's certainly well a motion with respect to each one specifically 6 within his purview to make it. 6 or are you making the motion with respect to 7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We are 7 sentences in the aggregate that you will 8 recessed until 2:00. 8 identify? 9 9 (Whereupon, at 12:35 p.m. a MR. STEINTHAL: I think I'd better 10 10 recess, to reconvene this same day at 2:02 make it as to each one specifically because I 11 11 don't want -- I feel that there was an p.m.) 12 12 overview rather than looking at specific 13 13 sentences. And that's why I wanted to do this 14 14 after the break. So --15 15 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you for 16 16 that clarification. 17 17 MR. STEINTHAL: And to be clear 18 18 that all of these sentences I believe should 19 19 be stricken because of lack of foundation of 20 20 the witness in respect of webcasting and 21 21 because in many respects he's expressing an 22 22 opinion and not acting as a fact witness.

Page 182 1 So, starting on --2 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Mr. Steinthal, 3 would you repeat your basis again? 4 MR. STEINTHAL: Yes. The basis is 5 that insofar as he is testifying about 6 webcasting or making a comparison between what 7 he does do with respect to the licensing of 8 sound recordings and television and motion 9 picture transactions and comparing that to what he believes to be the situation that 10 11 exists in respect to the licensing of sound 12 recordings to digital music services, my 13 position is that he has no basis to make the 14 comparison because he does not have the foundation to speak of what the circumstances 15 16 are with respect to the licensing of sound

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. I thought I heard you say something subsequent.

MR. STEINTHAL: And secondarily, I believe he's also expressing an opinion in

22 many of those instances. And as I read them,

recordings to digital music services.

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 184 1 MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. The first sentence starting on page 3 that I would move 2 3 to strike is the prefatory paragraph under right proceeding point 1. "As an initial 4 5 matter it's hard to see how one can compare a 6 negotiation for a snip-it by the single sound 7 recording for background use in a non-user 8 product with a negotiation for a blanket 9 license for all of the record company's full 10 length sound recordings for use in music 11 services to be sold or offered directly to 12 consumers. These differences have a very 13 significant effect on the structure of the 14 negotiations, the comparative bargaining power 15 of record companies, music publishers and the outcomes of such negotiations." 16

And then the first sentence of the following paragraph. "The first significant difference is the context in which the licensing occurs and the end product in which the music will ultimately be used."

You know, all these sentences are

Page 183

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

9

10

11

I will identify whether I also believe that it should be stricken because he's expressing an opinion and he's in the position to do so as a fact witness.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Are you saying a lay witness can never express an opinion? MR. STEINTHAL: I think that --

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: It would be a surprising position to take.

MR. STEINTHAL: Well, I think it depends on the circumstance. And I think when one has a lack of foundation, it makes it even more inappropriate for the witness to be opining about a subject.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So you're saying the reason is actually related to your first, not separate.

MR. STEINTHAL: It's related. Yes, it's related. But I think it's a separate issue under the law.

21 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. 22 Just trying to be clear.

Page 185

making comparisons that include within it a

2 comparison to the licensing of digital

3 webcasting services or the phrase -- the use

4 of the word "difference" is a difference

5 between what he has testified about with

6 knowledge and that market about which we claim he has no foundation to speak.

7 8

On the same basis the first sentence of the second paragraph under paragraph one. "This difference has a significant impact on the licensing itself."

12 Again, he's making a comparison between one

13 and the other without a foundation for the

14 latter because the word "difference" is there.

15 Then skipping to the last 16 paragraph of section 1 we would press the

17 motion with respect to the entirety of that

18 paragraph for the same reason. HE states

19 "That is vastly different from a digital music

20 service such as webcasting where the consumer

21 __ #

22

JUDGE ROBERTS: We can read it.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. So that whole paragraph I would submit is the same and does express an opinion as well.

The second aspect or the second

place I would refer you to as where we would move to strike on this basis is in paragraph 2 under "Single Versus Blanket License." The first sentence "The second significant difference is what is actually being licensed." Again, because of the use of the

word "difference" in comparing one versus the
other.
Skipping to the first sentence of

Skipping to the first sentence of the second paragraph in section 2. "The difference between a blanket license and license for a single work has a huge impact on

17 the negotiation. The dynamics of these two

18 types of negotiations are very different."

19 Again, he's talking about dynamics of two

20 different negotiations, one of which is

21 webcasting, the licensing to webcaster where

22 he has a lack of foundation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 188

1 first two sentences of section 6. The witness

2 states: "One other very significant

3 difference between licenses for digital rights

4 like webcasting and master use licensing is

5 the pivotal role of the artist in the license

6 negotiations and the artist's financial

7 incentive to inflate the publishing right in

8 the licensing of digital rights. With few exceptions the artist does not have a role

9 exceptions the artist does not have a role in 10 the negotiations." Again, lack of foundation

to speak of precisely the subject of

webcasting, licensing and negotiations.

The next portion that we would move to strike on this basis is on page 10 under paragraph 7 the fourth sentence states: "In contrast licensing sound recordings to a music service such as a webcaster can substitute for other uses of music and, thus, affect revenues of record companies." That, again, there's a lack of foundation for and we would move to strike it.

So those are the passages

Page 187

The next sentence that we would move to strike is the last sentence of this section 2 where he states "By contrast that option is not available in the context of music services seeking blanket licenses for large catalogues of sound recordings -- if a music service lacks a large catalogue of important wound recordings, it will dramatically affect the quality of the music service." Same basis.

The next sentence we would move to strike is on page 6, Your Honors, the last paragraph of section 3 the first full sentence states "In the context of a blanket license, a digital music service could never afford to re-record the broad array of the popular sound recordings that would be needed to make the service successful, nor is it likely that music fans would want anything other than the famous versions of such recordings." Again, lack of foundation on that sentence.

And we turn to page 9 the very

Page 189 specifically within the testimony where having had an opportunity to disaggregate from the

sections themselves, we would move to strike.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handoz?

MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, with respect to the first two sections, sections 1 and 2 notwithstanding that Mr. Steinthal has now gone back through it line-by-line, I understood the Court to have already ruled on that. So I'm going to assume that I don't need to specifically address that.

But just generally what I would say is, first of all, I don't think that in these situations the witness is expressing an opinion. I think he's making statements of fact. But even if they were opinions, that certainly is the case that a lay witness can express opinions in areas that he has knowledge of.

And the areas that Mr. Steinthal seems to be concerned about are simply areas where the witness is saying look, I negotiate

Page 190

individual licenses. You know, negotiating a 2 blank license would be a very different 3 process. He's not even specifically talking 4 about webcasting. He's making the observation 5 about the difference between negotiating an 6 individual license and a blanket license. 7 And I think in the other 8 statements there that relate more directly to webcasting are things that are common 9 knowledge in any person know, notwithstanding 10 11 whether they have ever been a webcaster or 12 not. For example, page seven. "I don't know 13 if any music service or the consumers every relies on sound recordings from production 14 15 libraries." Well, you know, I know that. I 16 don't need to work for a webcaster to know 17 that. I use webcasting services. I know that 18 they offer the original sound recording. 19 So this is not the kind of

testimony that one needs a foundation of

That's all I have. Thank you.

having been a webcaster to know.

section on page 7 the second sentence after he 1 2 said there were differences, he says "These differences have a very significant affect." 3

4 Looking down at the next sentence. "The first

5 significant difference."

6 Now it seems to me that even if 7 this witness is in a position to describe hot 8 dogs because he's had hot dogs, if he's never 9 had a hamburger, he's not in a position to tell us how significant the differences are 10 11 between hot dogs and hamburgers. He can say this is what a hot dog it like. He may be able 12 13 to say a hot dog is long and a hamburger is flat. But he can't say that makes a big 14 difference in the eating experience when he's 15 not qualified with respect to hamburgers. 16 17

I apologize for the crudeness of the analogy, but that's the best I can come up with.

so I associate myself with the objection, and particularly with the phrases. And I won't go through them unless the Court

Page 191

18

19

20

21

22

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

1 JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Steinthal, 2 have you asked for that sentence to be 3 stricken about the production library on page 4 7. 5 MR. STEINTHAL: On page 7? 6 JUDGE ROBERTS: Top of the page. 7 MR. STEINTHAL: No, I did not. 8 JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay. 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. 10 Mr. Kirby? 11 MR. KIRBY: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Well, certainly associating myself 13 with the objection made, I have a more focused 14 objection if I could, which I think is at the 15 heart of the objection. It's just in each of the sentences that were pointed out there's 16 not only a statement that there is a 17 18 difference, which perhaps this witness arguably may be able to observe, but he 19 20 evaluates that difference and assigns 21 significance to it. For example, in the introductory 22

Page 193 wants me to in each of these sentences where

1 2 the witness evaluates the significance of the

3 difference. Because having not experienced

4 one of the two things he's comparing, I just

5 don't think he's got the foundation for

6 evaluating the significance of the 7

differences. 8

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Mr. Kirby, why couldn't he make a rational inference on the basis of the facts that he has about the hot dog?

MR. KIRBY: Because, obviously, there's a question here. But I don't think you can infer how a hamburger tastes if he hasn't had one.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I guess my shorthand question is, is it a question of admissability or a question of credibility?

19 MR. KIRBY: It's a question of 20 admissability when he proposes to testify, swears in, promises to tell the truth under 22 penalties of perjury and then say that this

20

21

Page 194 1 difference, the difference now, has a 1 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. 2 significant impact. That one of these 2 We'll come back to order. 3 negotiations happened very differently than 3 MR. HANDZO: A different analogy. 4 4 Okay. I confess I've never played golf in my the other. 5 5 It seems to me he can say this whole life, but I think I can say that tennis 6 characteristic of the negotiations I'm 6 is a very different sport than golf. And the 7 familiar with in the following. But having 7 fact that I might be a good tennis player, 8 8 never experienced the other type of which by the way I'm not, would say nothing 9 negotiation, I don't see how he can say in the 9 whatsoever about whether I could be a golfer. 10 other type of negotiation in which I have no 10 Because I can look at the game of golf and can 11 experience, it plays very different. And 11 see the ball's not moving. When I'm playing 12 that's the effect of allowing him to make 12 tennis, the ball's moving. And I'm, you know, 13 these kinds of judgments, comparative 13 swinging a racket, I'm not swinging a club. 14 They're different. But the fact that I didn't judgment. 14 15 So, again, he's welcome to 15 play golf doesn't say anything at all -describe the kinds of negotiations he's 16 doesn't limit my ability to say I know this is 16 17 experienced. He can say how their 17 a different sport. And I know that what I do 18 characteristics play out in those 18 playing tennis is not going to help me if I negotiations. But he shouldn't be allowed to 19 19 try and pick up golf. 20 say and the difference has a very significant 20 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. 21 effect on a different kind of negotiation that 21 We'll be in recess. 22 I've never done. 22 (Whereupon, at 2:18 p.m. a recess Page 195 Page 197 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: But you're not 1 until 2:27 p.m.) 2 disputing that he could make an opinion, or do CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. 3 I hear you actually saying that? We'll come to order. MR. KIRBY: I an disputing he has 4 After review of the motion, the 5 foundation to offer an opinion that's motion to strike the paragraph preceding 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2 3 4 5 6 acceptable into evidence having never experienced it, he's in no of a position to 7 8 offer testimony than I am or then this tribunal is without the aid of lay some 10 foundation with respect to weight. I'm just 11 saying I have never encountered a situation in 12 which a witness has been allowed to say this 13 characteristic makes this situation very 14 different from that situation when he has no 15 foundation with respect to the second. 16 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I think I 17 understand what you're saying. 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. 19 We'll recess. 20 MR. HANDZO: I'm sorry. Your

Honor, could I just respond to that last point

since I didn't have an opportunity.

21

section 1, the motion is denied. The grounds asserted goes to the weight of the evidence and not to its admissability. The first section of section 1, the motion is denied. The grounds asserted go to the weight of the evidence and not to its admissability. The first sentence of the second paragraph of section 1 the motion is denied. The witness is directly involved in the licensing and the comparison to blanket licenses as made here is one of very obvious and the grounds go to the weight and not admissability. On page 4 the first full paragraph, which is the last paragraph in

section 7, the motion is denied. The witness

has demonstrated sufficient foundation to make the statements asserted and to significant degree specifically involves the licensing, which is his business or his responsibility.

The comparison with licensing of sound records for digital music services is one that is relatively obvious and goes to the weight and not to the admissability.

The first sentence in section 2 is denied.

The first sentence in the next paragraph the difference between a blanket license is denied. The grounds go to the weight of the evidence and not to its admissability.

On page 5 the last sentence of the beginning paragraph or the last sentence preceding section 3 the motion denied for the first part of the sentence until the dashes. The statement is one that is obvious.

As to the opinion included in the last part of the sentence following the

Q Mr. Roland, you've never been a music publisher, correct?

A Correct.

Q You've never licensed sync rights?

A Not as a publisher, but as a music supervisor I've licensed from a publisher.

Q How often have you done that?

A I was a music supervisor on two projects.

Q One of which never got made, right?

A It got made, I don't think it got released.

Q And other than the two projects where you were a music supervisor or you supervised other people, you have no experience in the licensing of sync rights?

A Correct.

MR. STEINTHAL: I would move to strike the following three passages in the statement based on a lack of foundation to express broad views as to what publishers do

Page 199

dashes, the witness has not demonstrated a foundation to be able to make that statement, and the motion is granted.

On page 6 the last paragraph preceding section 4 the effect of re-recording an entire repertoire of a major label of tens of thousands of records is one that is obvious, common sense. The motion is denied.

Page 9 the first two sentences in section 6 the motion is denied. The information would be readily available to someone working in the position as the witness.

Page 10 section 7 the next to last sentence the motion is granted. The witness has not demonstrated sufficient knowledge to make the statement in that sentence.

MR. STEINTHAL: I very much want to get to the substance, but I have one more area that I'd like to ask some foundation questions about.

BY MR. STEINTHAL:

Page 201

1 and don't do. Specifically, on page 5 the

2 first full sentence of the possibility of re-

3 recording, the witness makes the statement

4 "The availability of substitutes affects the
5 bargaining power of record companies far more

6 than it affects music publishers in the

7 sync/master use market."

Then the second place that I would move to strike on these grounds is the entirety of section 5 starting on page 7 the first two paragraphs. Rather than reading it into the record, I will just pause and let you all read the first paragraphs starting "In synch and master use licensing" under the caption "The Goals of the Record Company and the Music Publisher."

And then the last portion I would

move to strike is one sentence on page 8, the last sentence of the first full paragraph.

The paragraph starts "All uses of music and film, television, et cetera." But the last

22 sentence in that paragraph makes the statement

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

or opinion "The publisher would be indifferent to such value."

1

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 3 And as to each of these three 4 pages, I would urge the Panel to view the fact 5 that the witness has a lack of foundation to 6 express broad principles about what publishers 7 do and what their practices are, and note that 8 Universal Music Group has produced someone 9 else from their publishing division in the 10 sense they produced, for example, Mr. Ciongoli 11 today who has responsibility for both records 12 and publishing. If they wanted to have one of 13 their publishing representatives come here and 14 be subject to cross examination about what 15 publishing incentives are or are not, they are 16 perfectly free to put in a witness statement 17 from someone who does publishing for a living. 18 And they choose not to. And I think that to 19 try to get bookstore testimony from a witness 20 that has extremely little, if any, foundation 21 on publishing issues is inappropriate. And I'd

talks specifically there may be many reasons for this, meaning that music publishers try

2 3 and get the most they can for the sync rights,

you know, what is certainly that the publisher

does not control all of these other uses of 5

6 sound recordings and the publisher does

7 nothing but promote the CD. And that's

8 something that he would certainly know 9

directly from being int he record business and 10 working for a record company. So he certainly 11 knows how that works.

But more generally, as I said, it's the fact that he is in this business dealing with all of these people all of the time and having to understand what their motives are in order to make his own decisions about licensing.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. Thank you.

We'll recess again.

(Whereupon, at 2:39 p.m. a recess until 2:44 p.m.)

Page 203

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?

MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, first of all as the witness indicated, he has been in the position of actually acquiring, so obviously he has had occasion to deal with the publishers in that regard. But I believe he has also testified, and if I'm mistaken about this I can certainly lay that foundation, that in the course of what he does for a living negotiating back and forth, he's dealing with all of the parties in terms of what the rights are and who is getting what and why they want it and what their goals.

move to strike those three passages.

And so it's just in the normal course of his business if he's negotiating one side of the transaction, he's dealing with all the players in that market and all of the people who are negotiating. And so he certainly does know a lot about what drives their decisions and why they're trying to get what they're getting.

In addition, on page 7 where he

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.

2 We return to order.

> In view of each of the items in the third motion to strike, the Court finds that in each of these items the statements are made within the context of the work that the witness does and the motion is denied.

BY MR. STEINTHAL:

All right. Mr. Roland, now we can talk about the substance of what's in your statement and your testimony this morning.

Now, you mentioned that Universal Music Group issues between 12,000 and 15,000 licenses of sound recordings per year for TV and movie masters, is that right?

\mathbf{A} Approximately, yes.

And those are all transactions where in fact you do license a Universal sound recording, right?

Yes. \mathbf{A}

Q And those are examples of 22 situations where even though producers of the

Page 205

Page 206 1 TV show or movie or commercial may 2 theoretically have options of swapping out, I 3 think was your word this morning, to a cover 4 band or a re-recorded version of a song or 5 production music. In fact, the producer is 6 paying to get a license from Universal for the 7 sound recording, right? 8 \mathbf{A} Yes. 9 Q And many instances where you are 10 approached for a license but don't ultimately issue on, I think there were a few thousand of 11 12 those per year, is that right? 13 A Between 7500 and 10,000. 14 Q Okay.

reason for failing to reach an agreement after initial contact was?

Approximately.

correct that you cannot quantify what the

Yes. That's correct.

Now in those instances is it

21 0 It's true, is it not, that in most 22 instances the producer uses another sound the producer gets another sound recording from another label?

MR. HANDZO: Objection. He's just testified three times that he doesn't know.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: This is slightly different. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't characterize it as "many, many." But certainly it is a factor in why we don't take a deal from request to fruition, that is one of the factors.

BY MR. STEINTHAL:

And you're going to sit here and say you don't have a foundation to say whether the main reason or the main substitute. I should say, for a Universal sound recording after you've been contacted is not another label's sound recording?

A That's -- that's one of the factors involved. But the fact that they can make that substitution or they can re-record is always the weight over our heads in these

Page 207

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

recording from another label, perhaps because they got a better price?

\mathbf{A} I wouldn't know what.

But it's true that in most instances where you lose out on a sale after the initial contact is made, the producer in fact uses a sound recording from another label, is that right?

\mathbf{A} I can't say that that's correct,

0 You just have no way of quantifying as between the circumstances of a producer choosing another sound recording from another label on the one hand and doing one of the theoretically available alternatives like re-recording or using a cover band was the reason why that Universal lost out on that sale?

\mathbf{A} We don't quantify the deals that don't come to fruition.

But you wouldn't dispute with me that in many, many circumstances it's because situations.

0 My question is very much more specific. It's whether in fact you have a basis. I mean, you've talked about what you do in the market.

A Right.

And are you telling us today as you're sitting there that you really don't know that in most instances where your sound recording isn't used the substitute is another label's sound recording as opposed to these theoretical options of re-recording and the like?

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo? MR. HANDZO: Object. It's been asked and answered.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained. BY MR. STEINTHAL:

So when you testified earlier this morning about circumstances where you've observed in movies where you had an initial conversation with someone that there was a re-

Page 209

. . . . 20

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

no.

A

0

A

1	Page 210	,	Page 212
1	record or a cover you were just referring to	1	give us what the range is, though, the costs
2	observations you made generally without any	2	of re-recording?
3	ability to give us a sense of how often that	3	A I'm not quite sure what those AF
4	occurs?	4	of M fees are. They fluctuate depending on the
5	A Correct.	5	artist or the musician.
6	Q And I gather you've seen instances	6	Q Now, there are times when a
7	where it wasn't that reason, but someone	7	producer of a TV show or a film specifically
8	else's sound recording was used, right?	8	doesn't want to use a master because the scene
9	A Sure.	9	depends that the actor do the singing, is that
10	Q Now, on the subject of re-	10	right?
11	recording, there are costs to the TV or film	11	A Correct.
12	producer associated with doing a re-recording,	12	Q So the example you gave this
13	aren't there?	13	morning of the Johnny Cash movie, that was a
14	A Yes.	14	situation where Joaquin Phoenix who was
15	Q What are those costs?	15	playing Johnny Cash did all the singing,
16	A I would imagine it would have to	16	right?
17	do with the caliber of the artist they have,	17	A Correct.
18	could be several hundred dollars up to several	18	Q And Reece Witherspoon did the
19	thousand dollars.	19	singing as Mrs. Cash?
20	Q And putting aside the cost of the	20	A Yes.
21	artist, there's studio costs, is there not?	21	Q And are you aware that film
22	A I included that in that category,	22	producers often in order to convey a more
		\vdash	
,	Page 211	1	Page 213
1 2	Sir.	$\frac{1}{2}$	genuine and real feeling to a scene don't want to have actors lip-synching as opposed to
3	Q And what's the highest well, let me back up a little bit. In the two	3	doing the singing themselves?
4	projects that you were involved as a	4	A I would imagine that would be a
5	supervisor in did you do any re-recording?	5	creative decision that they might make.
6	A No, I don't believe we did.	6	Q And are you familiar generally
7	•	7	speaking with the kind of money that a Joaquin
8	Q Did you hire any cover bands? A No.	8	Phoenix or a Reece Witherspoon earns in the
9	Q Are you familiar with the fact	9	context of acting in a movie like that?
10	that when a studio does a re-recording for a	10	A I have a general idea.
11	movie, it has to pay the scale for AF of M	11	Q We're talking millions of dollars,
12	musicians?	12	correct?
13	A Yes.	13	A Yes. Yes.
14	Q Do you know that there are certain	14	Q And so the actual costs in paying
15	minimum fees that have to be paid?	15	for the recording of the music in that movie
16	A Yes.	16	is part of what the producer is paying for and
17	Q So even if all you're doing is re-	17	the millions of dollars that they're paying
18	recording a 10 second or 60 second piece of a	18	Reece Witherspoon and Joaquin Phoenix, right?
19	prior song, you have to pay all those	19	A I don't know if the music
	vino cone, vou have w pay all those	1 -	AR A WOLL O INDUSTRIAL BARV ARRUNDS
1		20	nerformance is part of their acting fee, but
20	minimums, don't you?	20 21	performance is part of their acting fee, but or a senarate thing.
1		20 21 22	performance is part of their acting fee, but or a separate thing. Q You're agree with me that in that

Page 216 Page 214 a bit more than the ability to substitute for context of the example you gave of that movie, 1 1 2 musical works? 2 that's an instance where use of the musical 3 3 work was more important to the film producer A Yes. 4 Q So really what you're talking than Johnny Cash's prior recording of it, 5 5 about is instead of having millions of right? different alternatives to choose from as in 6 A In that context it would appear 6 7 the case for musical works, when it comes to 7 so. 8 8 sound recordings there are millions plus the O Now, are you aware of the fact 9 9 ability to re-record or do a cover band, that in the study of master use and sync 10 licenses that Dr. Jaffe, the expert for the 10 right? 11 webcasters, performed in connection with a 11 Well, I disagree. There are 12 prior CARP proceeding in this proceeding, that 12 millions of sound recordings available. But if re-record situations were excluded from the 13 13 a producer or a director is looking for "Sweet 14 study? 14 Home Alabama," there's only one song and there 15 may be only five versions of that in 15 A No, I was not aware. 16 existence. So there aren't millions of 16 0 Are you aware of the fact that situations in which cover bands were used were 17 choices if that's what he wants. If his film 17 18 excluded from the study? 18 or her film is set in the late '50s and he or 19 19 she is looking for a period piece, the A I was not aware. 20 20 available choice diminishes greatly. So -Are you aware of the fact that 21 production library situations were excluded It's true, is it not, and you're 22 from the study? 22 familiar with the circumstance where producers Page 215 Page 217 actually want a specific sound recording for A I was not aware. 1 2 Now, it's true is it not, and I a scene? 3 believe you state on page 5 of your written A Absolutely. statement in the second paragraph on the 4 And so in that situation you do possibility of re-recording, it's true is it 5 have much more bargaining leverage as the 6 not that the producer of a film or TV show has licensure of sound recordings than you do in many choices of musical works to choose from 7 a situation where you perceive the producer in connection with making a movie or a TV 8 has more alternatives, right? 9 show? If -- if the fees can be worked on 10 A That's true. 10 O It's true, is it not, that there 11 the deal. But otherwise we're still sort of -are literally millions of musical works out 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there that producer may wish to choose from

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

for use in a movie or TV show? I would imagine. \mathbf{A}

Now, if I understand the bottom line of your testimony about the ability that a producer has to re-record a prior sound recording or to use a cover bank or a production library, the gist of your testimony is that widens the choice of substitutes for the producer in relation to sound recordings

both sides, we -- you know, will try to make the burden of clearing the publishing is always -- is always in the forefront in these negotiations.

Well, the burden of clearing the publishing is always in the forefront you say. Well, my question was very specific as to a circumstance where you perceive that the producer wants a specific performance of a sound recording, like a Frank Sinatra rendition of something for a given scene. And there are times when you perceive that, right?

Page 220 Page 218 Did you do any review or analysis 1 \mathbf{A} 1 Correct. 2 of Dr. Jaffe's testimony? 2 Q And in that situation don't you 3 3 feel like you have more bargaining leverage A No. And that would include in this 4 than you do in the circumstance where you feel 4 Q 5 that the producer has a multitude of different 5 case or the prior CARP case? sound recordings that can do the same job? 6 Correct. 6 7 7 That's true. But our bargaining I want to go to some actual Q 8 power is limited to how the publisher is going 8 licensing documents that we've gotten from 9 Universal or SoundExchange during the course 9 to proceed with that negotiation. 10 of these proceedings to ask you a few 10 I'm trying to follow where the one 11 questions. 11 comes from the other. Why is your bargaining leverage in the situation where you know the 12 (Whereupon, the document 12 referred to was marked 13 13 producer really wants that Frank Sinatra song 14 for identification as 14 somehow constrained by what the music 15 Services Exhibit R-56.) 15 publisher is going to see for the underlying musical work? 16 BY MR. STEINTHAL: 16 17 Because ultimately if the fees are 17 I'm going to show you five 18 different documents, put them all in front of 18 too high, despite what his original intent was 19 he will choose another version. It happens all you at the same time just to speak it up a 19 20 -- quite often. 20 little bit. 21 21 That's the entirety of your answer The first document I'm going to 0 22 to that question? 22 show you, which is Services Rebuttal Exhibit Page 219 Page 221 1 1 56 will be documents pertaining to the A Yes. 2 licensing of the James Brown sound recording 2 Q Let me go to your witness 3 "Pappa's Got a Brand New Bag" in the context 3 statement. Let me refer to page 1, I guess it 4 of the movie "Guess Who." It bears the Bates 4 is. Yes, page 1. 5 stamp numbers Services Rebuttal Exhibit 107310 5 In the first sentence of the 6 overview section you say "I am providing this 6 through 107312. 7 (Whereupon, the document 7 rebuttal testimony to the Copyright Royalty 8 8 Board in response to arguments made by referred to was marked 9 9 webcasters that the Board should use the for identification as 10 Services Exhibit R-57.) 10 market for master use licenses and 11 MR. STEINTHAL: 11 synchronization licenses as a basis for 12 12 setting rates for the use of sound recordings 0 The next is another film license 13 documentation relating to the same movie 13 and webcasting." 14 "Guess Who" to a different song, "Pappa don't 14 What's the basis for your saying 15 that the webcasters have urged the Board to 15 Take No Mess," another James Brown song. It 16 bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal use the market for master use licenses and 16 107250 to 107259. And that was Services 17 synchronization licenses as a basis for 17 18 Rebuttal Exhibit 57. 18 setting rates? 19 19 (Whereupon, the document It's what -- it's what I was told A 20 referred to was marked 20 by my attorney. 21 for identification as 21 Q Told by whom? 22 Services Exhibit R-58.) 22 My lawyers, my people I work with. \mathbf{A}

	Page 222	.	Page 224
	1 BY MR. STEINTHAL:	1	documents, my first question is whether you
1	2 Q We go to Services Rebuttal Exhibit	2	can identify these as transaction documents
1	3 58 is a set of documents relating to the	3	from Universal Music Group in relation to
	4 licensing of a sound recording called "Pappa	4	various movie sync and master use licenses?
	5 Was a Rolling Stone" in relation to the movie	5	A All but one. This one dated '98
	6 I don't know how to pronounce it, Gothica.	6	preceded our merger with Polygram. So this
	7 It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal	7	document from Polygram, at the time they were
	8 106208 to 106213.	8	not part of Universal Music Group. That's the
- 1	9 (Whereupon, the document	9	thick one.
	referred to was marked	10	Q Which?
- 1	for identification as	11	A 106105, Exhibit 60.
	Services Exhibit R-59.)	12	Q Okay. So the one from the film
	BY MR. STEINTHAL:	13	"Goodbye Lover"?
	Q The next exhibit R-59 relates to	14	A Yes, sir.
	the motion picture "All That Glitters" and the	15	Q Is from Polygram?
	6 licensing of a sound recording called "That	16	MR. STEINTHAL: Let me move into
	7 Girl" by Stevie Wonder. Bears the Bates stamp	17	evidence then Exhibits 56, 57, 58 and 59.
	8 numbers SX Rebuttal 105528 to 105533.	18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
1	.9 (Whereupon, the document	19	to Exhibits 56, 57, 58 and 59?
4	20 referred to was marked	20	MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.
1	for identification as	21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No objection.
	2 Services Exhibit R-60.)	22	They're admitted.
_		<u> </u>	
\lceil	Page 223		Page 225
	Page 223 BY MR. STEINTHAL:	1	Page 225 (Whereupon, the
1		1 2	
	BY MR. STEINTHAL:	ı	(Whereupon, the
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV	2	(Whereupon, the documents previously
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going	2 3	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion	2 3 4	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the	2 3 4 5	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown.	2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.)
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would,
	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the
1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These
1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of
1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what
1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges.
1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59 and 60? I will represent to you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess just a few minutes.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59 and 60? I will represent to you that all of the references to the SX Rebuttal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess just a few minutes. (Whereupon, at 3:07 p.m. a recess
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59 and 60? I will represent to you that all of the references to the SX Rebuttal numbers mean that they were produced by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess just a few minutes. (Whereupon, at 3:07 p.m. a recess until 3:11 p.m.)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. O Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59 and 60? I will represent to you that all of the references to the SX Rebuttal numbers mean that they were produced by SoundExchange in discovery during the course	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess just a few minutes. (Whereupon, at 3:07 p.m. a recess until 3:11 p.m.) CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll come to
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And the last of the movie or TV show actually the movie licenses I'm going to ask you look at relates to the motion picture "Goodbye Love," and it involves the master recording "I Feel Good" by James Brown. It bears the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 106105, 106126 to 106129 and then 106135 to 106141. Okay. And the last of the five is from the movie "Goodbye Love" for the composition no we just did that. All right. If you got a chance while I'm been passing out to purview generally, these documents Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59 and 60? I will represent to you that all of the references to the SX Rebuttal numbers mean that they were produced by SoundExchange in discovery during the course of the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-56 through R-59, were received in evidence.) MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would, however, move to apply the provisions of the protective order to these agreements. These and similar licenses are sensitive in terms of how Universal does license its music and what prices it charges. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll recess just a few minutes. (Whereupon, at 3:07 p.m. a recess until 3:11 p.m.) CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll come to order.

Page 228 Page 226 competitive confidentiality of a price under 1 Yes. A 2 What is the blank, and can you those circumstances, but given the slight 3 3 describe why it is blank to begin with? possibility that a price in one instance may 4 4 affect under a far stretch of imagination Well, I can't really speak to the 5 negotiation in a future proposal, and the fact 5 document since it originated with Sonny that there's no objection, the motion is 6 Picture Studies, but I can make an assumption 6 7 7 that they want us to firm a price or this is granted. 8 MR. HANDZO: Thank you. 8 the missing piece of this puzzle is the price. 9 9 He's got all the other deal terms laid out. BY MR. STEINTHAL: 10 10 It is a fair statement that often Q Okay. Let's go on. Please turn to Exhibit 56. This 11 what happens in the back and forth is that the 11 12 is the license with respect to "Pappa's Got A 12 producer gives you the details of the use that 13 Brand New Bag" by James Brown in the movie 13 they would like to make and says in essence to 14 "Guess Who," is that correct? 14 you or your staff what would you quote for our 15 Yes, sir. 15 use of this particular sound recording in the \mathbf{A} 16 Q First of all, do you know whose 16 movie in the manner that we've requested? 17 handwriting that is in the top part of the 17 \mathbf{A} Yes. 18 page? 18 Q And is it a fair statement that 19 19 often they'll send you a document leaving open I can only make an inference that A 20 it's Don Terbush's handwriting on him signing 20 the space with the dollar sign so that you can it, but I don't recognize it offhand. 21 then communicate back, meaning you or your 21 22 Okay. Who is Don Terbush? 22 staff communicate back, filling in the blank Q Page 227 Page 229 Don Terbush is our Vice President. 1 with what you are quote is for the use? 1 2 He works directly for me on film and TV music. 2 Yes. Can I correct something I 3 Now do these documents comprise 3 said earlier? 4 some of the documentation relevant to the Q Sure. 5 5 licensing of the James Brown sound recording Since there's two Dons on this 6 "Pappa's Got A Brand New Bag" in the movie 6 document. My inference would have to be that 7 "Guess Who"? 7 this handwriting is Don Kennedy's from Sonny 8 8 Yes, they do. There's only one after reading this. My apologies. A 9 9 document here that I see. And so did I answer your last 10 10 And is Mr. Brown considered a question? 11 major recording artist? 11 I think you did. Q 12 12 A Yes, he is. \mathbf{A} Okay. 13 13 Is it a fair inference from 0 Take a look on the first page of this exhibit the very bottom there's a 14 looking at this document to conclude that 14 15 category or a couple of words that says 15 after Sonny Pictures requested a quote for the use of "Pappa's Got A Brand New Bag" in the 16 "Requested rights:" 16 movie "Guess Who" someone on your staff sent 17 17 \mathbf{A} Yes. And then there's a blank next to a 18 back to Sonny the number set forth in the 18 0 19 blank here? I'm only doing it that way to 19 dollar sign? 20 avoid having to go into close sessions since 20 Yes. \mathbf{A} 21 the document is restricted? 21 Q And then it sets forth what the 22 22 rights are associated with the transaction? Yes. However, in this case a fee

Page 233

1 was suggested prior to us responding to a 2 blank request. 3 Okay. So Mr. Kennedy or someone 4 from Sonny basically asked you to do your best 5 to clear the use for the number that is set 6 forth in both the handwriting on the top page 7 and in the open part of "Requested rights:" 8 That's what this appears to be, \mathbf{A} 9 yes. 10 0 And it says right next to the 10 11 number, does that handwriting say "MFN with 11 12 publisher"? 12 13 A That's what it looks to say, yes. 13 14 What's the meaning of the phrase O 14 15 to you MFN with publisher? 15 16 MFN stands for most favored 16 17 nations, which we use to mean a parity in the 17 18 fee with whatever the publisher is going to 18 19 charge. 19 20 Is that a normal request of Q 20

A Yes, I do. Q And is that something that Universal or you or someone on your staff would have gotten back to Sonny Pictures and disclosed to them what your quote is for this track? A Yes.

Q Now you mentioned that the use of MFN clauses is common in some circumstances, I think was your statement. Why is it common in some circumstances?

Well in the circumstances of the prior document, Exhibit 56, because he's asking for a rush clearance. He's also offering a fee. I can only speculate since I didn't do this deal, that Mr. Terbush was basically, I don't know if there may have been a phone call associated with this where Mr. Kennedy suggested that a publisher had already agreed to the fee, that Mr. Terbush was basically ensuring that we were going to get the most amount of money possible in the

Page 231 shows to request an MFN against whatever the publishers receive for their sync rights? It's not a normal request. We use 3 4 it for various reasons. 5 Q It's a common request, though, 6 isn't it? 7 We use it sporadically, we use it 8 commonly depending on the circumstance. Each 9 deal is unique in and of itself. 10 Let me ask you to look then at 11 Services Rebuttal Exhibit 57. This is a different James Brown track being licensed in 12 the film "Guess Who," is it not? That's the 13 14 subject of Exhibit 57? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And if you look at the next to 17 licensing terms on the first page, it has a --18

Universal that when they're licensing master

use rights in connection with movies and TV

circumstance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

0 And one way of doing that is to make sure you get no less than what the publisher gets?

A That is one way, yes.

Q And that's the reason for asking for MFN, is it not?

Well, yes and sometimes artists request it that we insist on it.

Turn if you will to --

JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Roland, could you explain to us on the licensing terms what it means when it says including a worldwide buy out and that language there? THE WITNESS: Are you looking at

15 16 Exhibit 57, Your Honor? 17

JUDGE ROBERTS: That seems to be in both 56 and 57.

18 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, this 20 is a Sonny Pictures document, but I can 21 speculate that -- well, I'll tell you what our 22 interpretation is. That in a film license

well, it seems to have been an underscored part of the document. And then the amount of the fee with a parenthetical around "most favored nations basis with the music

22 publisher," do you see that?

21

22

19

20

Page 238

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And is "That Girl" a well-known track as song by Stevie Wonder?

Yes.

O Turn if you would, to page 105531 of this exhibit. And look at the middle of the page. Does it appear that next to rights fee there is a figure, which is \$2500 less than the first page of the document indicates. And then at the bottom of the page there's some handwriting next to dates.

11 A Yes.

Q 4/17 and 4/23, do you see those

13 dates?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

14 A Yes, I do.

15 Q Do you know whose handwriting that

16 is, by any chance? 17

I do not. \mathbf{A}

18 0 You see that in the 4/17 entry it 19 says "Once publishing approval can quote."

20 Based on your experience can you infer what 21

that's a reference to?

 \mathbf{A} Based on my experience John Paul. This was a request to the artist. The quote comes on the front page.

So this was a request to Mr. Rosa clearing the track at 30,000 most favored nations.

You don't know whether the reason 0 why the price on the first page, which is \$2500 higher than on page 105531 was a consequence of the publisher quoting a higher fee than Universal did originally?

I can only infer based on my knowledge of Stevie Wonders' habits are in these circumstances?

Q Can you infer that happened here?

A Yes, based on these notes.

And does that happen from time-totime where in fact Universal as a sound recording company does benefit from the fact that there is an MFN against publishing and that the sound recording fee gets bumped up?

It happens on occasions and the alternate also happens where we're asked to

Page 239

who is Stevie Wonders' manager, they always insist on favored nations on anytime we quote on a Stevie Wonder master. So I'm inferring that that is a reference, perhaps a phone conversation with Mr. Lapinski and John Paul Rosa that once he's been informed what the publisher is getting, that we can g ahead and give our quote.

And do you see next to 4/23 there's "Allison pub quoting" and then there's a figure that's \$2,000 higher than the figure initially typed in under rights and fees?

> \mathbf{A} Yes. Yes, I see that.

Can you infer from looking at these documents that, in fact, what happened is that Universal quoted a fee, an initial fee as set forth on page 105541 but that as a consequence of the facts that the publisher was \$2,000 more, Universal the sound recording company then got a bump up in the sound recording fee?

A No. This - this was not a quote. Page 241

lower our fee because the publisher has a favored nations and it's a higher fee than ours, and we have to accept the lower fee.

Wait a minute. The most favored nations clause that you ask for is such that if the publisher gets a higher amount, you get the benefit of that, right?

> \mathbf{A} Right. But that's --

Q And that's the way it works?

Yes. But if we ask for it and production comes back and says we can't you on a favored nations basis, we'll alternately waive the favored nations to make the deal happen. Those things happen quite often.

Now take a look at what we've marked as Exhibit 60 for a minute. And you identify this as a Polygram document?

A Well, you had asked if they were all Universal Music Group documents and I pointed out that this preexisted the existence of the Universal Music Group inclusive of Polygram.

	Page 242		Page 24
1	Q Right. Just to be clear, though,	1	they be?
1 2	the files of Polygram have become hallows	2	THE WITNESS: I couldn't
3	within Universal Music Group because Universal	3	speculate, Your Honor.
4	Music Group acquired Polygram, correct?	4	JUDGE ROBERTS: I think the point
5	A That's correct, yes.	5	here, Mr. Roland, is the fact that this is
6	Q Do you have any reason to believe	6	seven years ago.
7	that this was not produced from the files of	7	THE WITNESS: I understand, Your
8	Universal Music Group?	8	Honor.
9	A No, I have no reason to believe	9	JUDGE ROBERTS: It is obviously no
10	that.	10	longer the current price.
11	MR. STEINTHAL: I would move	11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Motion is
12	Exhibit 60 into evidence.	12	denied.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	13	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
14	to Exhibit 60?	14	Q Mr. Roland, this is another
15	MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.	15	license in respect of a James Brown sound
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No objection,	16	recording, is it not?
17	it's admitted.	17	A I'm sorry, repeat the question.
18	(Whereupon, the document	18	Q. This is another agreement for the
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	•
1	previously marked for identification as	19	licensing of a sound recording performed by
20		20	James Brown.
21	Services Exhibit R-60,	21	A Well, it's a series of requests.
22	was received in	22	It's not the actual agreement.
	Page 243		Page 245
1	evidence.)	1	Q Okay. But it pertains to the song
2	MR. HANDZO: Again, I would move	2	"I Feel Good" by James Brown?
3	the application of the protective order on the	3	A Yes.
4	same basis as previously.	4	Q Is "I Feel Good" a very popular
5	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: To all this?	5	track of Mr. Brown's?
6	MR. HANDZO: Actually, Your Honor,	6	A Yes, it is.
7	I think I could limit the motion to the price	7	Q Turn if you will to page 106127 to
8	itself.	8	106128. You'll see that in the carry over
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Roland,	9	well, it's actually the exhibit is cut off.
10	is a 1999 contract?	10	It's better to look at 106126 so you can
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	11	actually read the last paragraph on page 1 of
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Of a company	12	this letter where the statement is made "At
13	that preceded and was later bought by	13	earliest convenience please provide me with a
14	Universal?	14	quote for the foregoing use as the music for
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor	15	the film must be submitted March 20," which is
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And this	16	11 days after the date of the memo. "It is
17	price is known by the publisher and the artist	17	imperative that I receive a response as soon
18	and the producer and at least those, and you	18	as possible."
19	claim that this is confidential information?	19	Then the next sentence says, and
•	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't	20	this is on page 1060129, "I forwarded a
		/11	THE IS THE DAVE THRULES IN LOW WILLIAM
20			
20 21 22	know if the publisher is aware of this fee. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Why wouldn't	21 22	synchronization proposal to Fort Knox Music on 3/6/98. I will advise you as to the sync fee

Page 246

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

as soon as I hear back from them." 2 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Did you say the next page. Which page are you referring to? 4 MR. STEINTHAL: 106128. What 5 happened in the way it was produced is that --JUDGE ROBERTS: All right. We're 6 going from 126 to 128? 7 8 MR. STEINTHAL: Right. What appears to be the second page of that letter. 10 JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you. 11 BY MR. STEINTHAL: 12 The correspondence suggests that Q 13 at the time the request for a quote was being 14 made as to the master use right for the sound recording, the producer was saying that I 15 forwarded a synchronization proposal to Fort Knox and I will advise as I hear back from 17 18 them as to that. 19 Is it common for the sound 20 recording company in the process of 21 negotiating a master use license to

on our -- our contracts.

Okay. Take a look at the last page of this exhibit. Exhibit 106141 -- page 106141. I was going to leave that to our imagination.

On 106141 do you see there's some handwriting at the top? Does looking at this document, first of all, does this appear to be a communication in relation to the licensing of the sync fee, the sync rights associated with the song? If you look at the second paragraph of the text of the document where it says "Regency has requested the use of the composition entitled 'Heard It Through the Grapevine' performed by Marvin Gaye" it says on top, see that?

\mathbf{A} I do see that.

Okay. Does that suggest to you Q that this relates to composition licensing or sync licensing?

It looks to be addressed to the publishing rights.

Page 247

what the sync fee is going to be?

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Is it common for the production company to communicate with the production company?

communicate with the production company about

O No. Is it common for the production company to communicate with the sound recording company about what the production company is doing with respect to the sync rights and what the fee may be with respect to the sync rights at the same time they're negotiating the master use rights?

It's -- it's not common. It happens. Every deal is unique and different, so I can't --

Q Well, it's not uncommon, is it, for Universal to seek to find out what the sync fee is going to be in respect to the transaction where it's negotiating the master use fee?

A Well, if we employ the favored 21 nations, then there's really no need for us to know what the sync fee is going to be. We rely

And the note at the top says at the end of it, "It will be a minimum of \$17,500 MFN with master." Do you see that?

Oh, I'm sorry, where are you A referring?

The handwriting across the top Q going down towards the right.

A Okay.

Q The last sentence where it says "It will be a minimum of \$17,500 MFN with master." Is that a fair reading of that comment?

It's very difficult for me to decipher this handwriting, but it's a short cue. It would be a minimum of seventeen five or 1700 MFN with -- I take your word for that that says master.

And my question is whether it is also not uncommon for publishers in negotiating on the sync rights side of these transactions to seek an MFN against what the sound recording company gets with respect to

Page 249

1	Page 25 master use rights?		Page 25% BY MR. STEINTHAL:
2	A I can't speculate as to the	2	Q And then Exhibit 65 is a document
1 3	frequency of their employment of this	3	bearing the Bates number SX Rebuttal 1111443
4	provision. But it does happen.	4	through 111454, which one gets into the
5	Q All right. Let me ask you to look	5	document appears to relate to the licensing of
6	at a few television commercial synchronization	6	a promotion for Sachi and Sachi. And I'll ask
7	licenses.	7	you about that when we get to it.
8	Let me read into the record the	8	(Whereupon, the document
9	documents that are being handed out for	9	referred to was marked
10	identification purposes.	10	for identification as
11	The first is Services Rebuttal	11	Services Exhibit R-65.)
12	Exhibit 61, which is a two page document	12	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
13	bearing the Bates stamp SX Rebuttal 110632 to	13	Q But these are all again bearing
14	110622, subject "Brickhouse."	14	Bates stamp numbers produced by SoundExchange
15	(Whereupon, the document	15	during the course of this proceeding. And my
16	referred to was marked	16	first question to you is whether you can
17	for identification as	17	identify these
18	Services Exhibit R-61.)	18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: What happened
19	BY MR. STEINTHAL:	19	to Exhibit 64?
20	Q Services Rebuttal Exhibit 62 is a	20	MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me.
21	set of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX	21	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: The Levi
22	Rebuttal 110878 through 110880 with some blank	22	Strauss?
		1	
Ì	n	1	
1	Page 251	1	Page 253
1 2	pages in there in the manner it was produced.	1 2	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's
2	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates	2	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates
2 3	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through	2 3	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever
2 3 4	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of	2 3 4	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was.
2 3 4 5	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young	2 3 4 5	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right.
2 3 4	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the
2 3 4 5 6 7	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by
2 3 4 5 6	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Taking a look at Services Rebuttal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye. (Whereupon, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Taking a look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 61 through 65 can you identify these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye. (Whereupon, the documents referred to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Taking a look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 61 through 65 can you identify these as materials relating to a television
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye. (Whereupon, the documents referred to were marked for identification as Services Exhibits R-63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Taking a look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 61 through 65 can you identify these as materials relating to a television advertising master use licenses? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I would offer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pages in there in the manner it was produced. And then a series of pages bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 110865 through 110870. And that relates to the subject of JCP and FYC, standing for Fine Young Cannibals, which is a band. (Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for identification as Services Exhibit 62.) BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Exhibit 63 and 64 are two documents bearing the Bates stamp number SX Rebuttal 111331 to 332 and 111320 to 11324, both relating to the track "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye. (Whereupon, the documents referred to were marked for identification as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm sorry. That's 64 and 64, Your Honor. I think it bears Bates stamp 111331 to 2 and then 111320 to whatever the last page of that one was. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: All right. MR. STEINTHAL: A324. That's the Levi Strauss one. I had identified it by reference to Marvin Gaye instead of Levi Strauss. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I see. It just wasn't marked 64. MR. STEINTHAL: Excuse me? I will be precise when we get there. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Taking a look at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 61 through 65 can you identify these as materials relating to a television advertising master use licenses? A Yes.

	······································	T	
١.	Page 254	1 .	Page 256
1	MR. HANDZO: No objection.	1	A She's a former employee of
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	2	Universal Music Group, manager of file and
3	objection, submitted.	3	television music working in my employ.
4	(Whereupon, the	4	Q Do you see that there's an email
5	documents previously	5	here dated August 20th where she communicates
6	marked for	6	on MFN basis with the publisher I can do a
7	identification as	7	four month license for the dollar figure
8	Services Exhibits R-61	8	that's set forth here?
9	through R-65, were	9	A Yes.
10	received in evidence.)	10	Q Can you infer from that that was
11	MR. HANDZO: Once again, I would	11	what the quote was from Universal for that
12	move the application of the protective order,	12	particular license?
13	at least with respect to the prices. I	13	A I don't know Ms. Jarnagin, and she
14	recognize that these documents seem to span a	14	assume she was the client. So, yes, I can
15	time frame from 2000 to 2004, so it may put	15	make that inference.
16	some of them on the cusp of the Court's	16	Q Okay. And then if you look at the
17	ruling, but I would make that motion.	17	underlying email of August 20th from Mary
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any	18	Jarnagin to Ms. Stern, you see where it states
19	objection.	19	in the second paragraph second sentence "My
20	MR. STEINTHAL: No objection.	20	client wants an option of a four month license
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The motion on	21	which I was given a quote of" blank dollars
22	these expired contracts, some six years old,	22	"by here. I'm going to assume MFN with you
r		<u> </u>	
	Page 255		Page 257
1	some 30 years old on which there is no	1	master license." Is that something that you
2	objection gives great pleasure that we only	2	can look at and infer that the publisher had
3	deal one more day with this protective order	3	quoted a fee that was equal to the amount that
4	and not thereafter. Motion is granted.	4	Universal had quoted?
5	BY MR. STEINTHAL:	5	I should have read the sentence
6	Q Mr. Roland, briefly just as to a	6	before what I read, which says "I spoke with
7	couple of questions on each of the documents.	7	the publisher this morning for "Brickhouse."
8	Exhibit 61, does this appear to be	8	A Yes. She doesn't really say that
9	correspondence concerning quotations given by	9	the publisher gave her that quote, but you can
10	Universal Music Group for a TV sync license	10	draw the inference if she is saying she was
11	associated with the song "Brickhouse" or the	11	given a quote by her client. I'm assuming that
12	track "Brickhouse."	12	this woman was hired by an ad agency to clear
13	A Yes.	13	music. So perhaps a publisher communicated to
14	Q And it states on the first page	14	her client that's what she wanted, and she's
15	second to last line from the bottom "Four	15	saying her client communicated to her a fee of
16	months," then it gives the dollar figure and	16	\$75,000.
17	"MFN with publisher." And I want to turn your	17	Q But can you infer from this that
18	attention on the next page to a communication	18	the publisher had communicated they were
19	a woman named Micky Stern. Do you do know who	19	willing to license for the same figure that
20	Micky Stern is?	20	the sound recording company was, and have
21	A Yes, I do.	21	requested an MFN against the master license
	Q Who is she?		

Page 258

MR. HANDZO: I am just going to object to further questions along this line since the problem at this point is, apparently, Mr. Roland doesn't even really know who the person on the other side is and who they are representing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. STEINTHAL: I think the witness has drawn some inferences from his experience earlier today, and that's all I'm asking him to do here.

MR. HANDZO: And I don't have a problem with him drawing inferences where he's got something to draw it from. Where he doesn't even know who the players are in this email, that's taking it a little far.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And your question dealt with asking him to infer that a certain party whose not identified is making an offer?

MR. STEINTHAL: I asked him 20 whether he can infer from this communication, 21 and he's identified one of the people involved

us that her client spoke to the publisher and

1 2 that her client told her to ask of us \$75,000

3 on an MFN basis. So my confusion is who told

4 what who.

5

14

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

BY MR. STEINTHAL:

Without knowing who said what to 6 O whom, you can though infer that the back and 7 forth between the publisher and the back and 8 9 forth with the master rights owner here, Ms. 10 Stern, was such that each of the publisher and 11 sound recording owners were asking for the 12 same fee and each asking for reciprocal MFN, 13 isn't that right?

A Or I can infer that we're being offered \$75,000 on that basis.

15 16 All right. Let's move to Exhibit 17 62. Do the documents comprised in Exhibit 62 18 relate to the licensing of a track called "She 19 Drives Me Crazy" by the Fine Young Cannibals 20 in connection with a television 21 synchronization license?

22 With respect to an advertising

Page 259

in the communication, which was the person at

2 Universal. Whether he can infer from the back

3 and forth that in fact the publisher had

quoted a fee equal to what the sound recording company had quoted and also asked for an MFN

against the master rights owner.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And he says in this communication he doesn't know whose the publisher.

MR. STEINTHAL: Well, I don't think he needs to know who the publisher is to infer from what's stated here, that the publisher whoever it was had made that quote and asked for an MFN relative to the master rights owner.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If he can give an opinion, I'll overrule the objection.

THE WITNESS: My only confusion, Your Honor, is I don't know who the publisher gave a quote to. My inference is the publisher gave a quote to her client who is

21 unnamed and she's passing along information to

synchronization license? 1

> Q Yes.

A Yes, sir.

And "She Drives Me Crazy," was

5 that a very popular track by Fine Young

6 Cannibals?

A

Q And you identified Ms. Stern

9 before. Who is Karen Wolfe?

Karen Wolfe also a former employee of UMG charged with contract administration in my department.

And does that first page appear to be some correspondence with the advertising agency involved with the sync license?

> \mathbf{A} Yes, it does.

17 In the text of the first two Q

paragraph on the first page it says "Thanks 18

19 for the licenses for 'She Drives Me Crazy' by

20 Fine Young Cannibals. Our attorney is

21 reviewing them. We had to increase the fee to"

and then it states a number "in order to come 22

Page 261

to terms with the publisher. Since it is an 1 0 Paragraph 10 at the bottom of 2 2 MFN deal, I will need a revised invoice from 111322. 3 you," meaning Universal, "reflecting the" fee. 3 And what was the question? 4 "I need an original invoice. Can you 4 Whether this is the standard Q 5 5 overnight it or email it to me?" language you use in connection with these 6 Is this an example of a 6 kinds of licenses to secure an MFN? 7 7 circumstance where Universal had initially This looks to be a standard MFN 8 8 clause, yes. quoted a lower master use fee and because the 9 9 publisher had received somewhat more than O Take a look at Exhibit 55, if you 10 that, Universal was given the benefit of the 10 would? The first few pages look like 11 MFN clause? 11 handwritten notations, the third page of which 12 12 appears to be on a notepad of Don Terbush of This is an example of that where A 13 Universal. And the document appears to relate we actually benefitted from it, yes. 13 to the licensing of the Lyle Lovett track 14 Take a look, if you will, at 14 15 Exhibit 63 and 64. These documents relate to 15 "Summer Wind" in connection with a Lexus another TV sync license relating to the track 16 commercial. Is that an accurate summary? 16 "Gotta Give It Up" by Marvin Gaye for a Levi 17 17 Yes. it is. A 18 Strauss advertising campaign? 18 Lyle Lovett is a well-known artist Q 19 19 that you know? A Yes. 20 O And the handwriting on the first 20 He is fairly well known. A 21 page towards the bottom right above your 21 Q I like him a lot. 22 initials "Were approved as noted," do you see 22 I do, too. Page 263 Page 265 1 that? 1 On the first page can you tell me 2 2 whose handwriting it is on the notation on the \mathbf{A} Yes, I do. 3 3 first page? And can you just make out what the 0 4 handwriting is above your signature? 4 A I don't recognize the handwriting. 5 5 That's my handwriting. You see that under the third page A 6 And above that does that say "MFN on Mr. Terbush's notepad, can you recognize Q 7 7 with publisher"? that Mr. Terbush's handwriting? 8 8 I don't think I could identify his It does. A 9 9 handwriting. I would make the assumption that Okay. And that's the same kind of 10 MFN clause you've identified before? 10 it's his, however he doesn't sign the 11 11 document. But I could make that assumption. A Yes. Okay. And could you make that 12 12 0 And this document actually 13 includes a formal license agreement, that's 13 assumption about the note on the first page in light of that? Exhibit 64. Is this a Universal form of 14 14 15 agreement that it uses for television 15 They appear to be similar. i'm not 16 an expert on handwriting by any stretch of the 16 synchronization licenses? imagination. 17 17 This is our standard advertising A 18 Fair enough. 18 0 form. 19 19 The PS on the first page says: Okay. And in paragraph 10 on page "PS: I think they really want to use this so 20 Services Rebuttal 111322 the standard MFN 20 you may not want to lower." Does this suggest language used in the formal agreements? 21 21 Excuse me, which paragraph? to you that one of the people on your staff 22 22

was noting that the advertising agency here 1 MR. HANDZO: I'm going to object, 1 2 2 because I think that actually mischaracterizes really wanted this particular Lyle Lovett 3 the record here with respect to customer 3 track for this particular campaign? 4 4 versus the MCA compliant. And I don't want to Yes. A 5 5 actually make a speaking objection that tells Q If we could go back now to your 6 what my concern is, but I think it 6 witness statement for a couple of questions. 7 I'll turn to the last few documents that 7 mischaracterizes the record to say that Yahoo! 8 went without Universal content. It didn't. 8 were--9 9 MR. STEINTHAL: Well, my question A I'll put the others up here. 10 10 was whether it went without it on its 0 Okay. Great. 11 customized program stations. I think that is 11 If you go to your witness an accurate rendition of what the record is. 12 statement, on page 4 you make a statement in 12 13 the first full paragraph in the second 13 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Roland, 14 sentence "Significantly, unlike a film, 14 if you will step out in the hallway, we'll television or advertisement producer, a music 15 address this matter further. 15 16 service needs the most popular recorded 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 version of a musical composition not any 17 (Whereupon, the witness exits the 18 version and needs a broad range of sound 18 courtroom.) 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Outside the 19 recordings to offer on its service not a 20 20 single recording or a few to serve as presence of the witness. background music." Do you see that? 21 The objection is that the question 21 assumes facts that are not in evidence. 22 \mathbf{A} Yes, I do. 22 Page 269 Page 267 1 MR. HANDZO: Yes, Your Honor 1 When you refer to the need for a 2 broad range of sound recordings to offer on 2 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And what the 3 3 its service, would you include in that evidence is is disputed? 4 category, for example, the Universal Music 4 MR. HANDZO: And, in fact, just to 5 5 Group --elaborate now that the witness isn't here, 6 6 those custom radio channels that Mr. Steinthal Yes, I would. A 7 7 is referring to are part of the Launchcast Q -- catalogue? 8 8 product that Yahoo! offers. So Yahoo! had \mathbf{A} Yes. 9 9 Are you familiar with the fact Universal content through Launchcast. It 10 didn't have it on those particular channels 10 that Yahoo! webcasting service, or at least that it wanted to offer as custom. But the 11 the customized stations on Yahoo! webcasting 11 12 Yahoo! Launchcast service, of which those 12 service went for more than a year and a half 13 without access to Universal Music Group sound 13 custom channels are just a part, had Universal 14 14 recording? content. 15 15 So I think it's just a misleading A I'm not aware of that.

> 16 17

> 18

19

20 21

22

question to ask him, you know, don't you think

without Universal content. Because Universal

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.

content was part of Launchcast, just not part of the bells and whistles that they offered on

that a webcasting service can get along

the custom channel.

21 effect the testimony you've given on that22 subject?

0

Would it change your testimony if

you knew as a factual matter that a digital

music service that doesn't provide interactive

on demand streaming could survive a year and

half without Universal's catalogue, would that

16

17

18

19

Page 270

1 2

Steinthal?

: 19

MR. STEINTHAL: I don't think that there's any question that the evidence reflects that Yahoo! went without Universal content on the customized aspects of its offering for more than a year, almost two years.

I asked the witness whether he was aware of that. He said not. And I'm simply asking him whether if he were aware of that, it would effect his testimony.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, as stated then the objection is sustained. But it has to be more clarified to be a permitted question.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, the witness resumes the stand.)

BY MR. STEINTHAL:

Q Mr. Roland, I gather you were not aware, were you, that Yahoo! went without using Universal Music Group content on the sustained.

MR. STEINTHAL: All right. BY MR. STEINTHAL:

Q In connection with this question let me represent to you that Launchcast has a preprogrammed part of its radio stations and a customized part of its radio offerings where consumer can rate songs and provide certain information that has an effect to some degree on the playlist generation. But there's still no on demand functionality. And there's a dispute between Universal Music Group and Yahoo! as to whether the customization features take those stations outside of the scope of a compulsory license under Section 114.

So with that as a backdrop, and you testified that you weren't aware that in fact Yahoo! operated for a period of about a year or more with Universal content on the customized part of its programming. Had you know that, would that have affected your

Page 271

customized radio stations in terms of that part of Yahoo! online radio offering?

A That's correct.

Q Were you aware that Yahoo! in fact had operated for that period of time without Universal content, would that have effected the testimony you gave?

MR. HANDZO: I don't think that actually resolves my objection because still in the question the witness leaves out the part of the equation that was expressing concern about before.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal?

MR. STEINTHAL: I don't think there's anything missing that would be required to have the witness address whether his failure to consider that particular fact would change his testimony.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: To be reflective of the evidence you have to have the rest of the facts. Objection is

Page 273

testimony with respect to the passage that I read to you on page 4?

A Had I know that, I would have had several other questions. How successful were they compared to other services that perhaps had the Universal music catalogue, how successful they were in generating revenues, et cetera. I personally haven't listened to Yahoo! so I don't know.

Q Okay. Turn to page 5 of your testimony, if you would? Your discussion here about the potentiality of re-recording songs, re-recording tracks for purposes of a movie or a TV show. You would agree, would you not, that — and I may have asked you this and I apologize if I have, but you would agree with the proposition, wouldn't you, that there are circumstances where a producer wants to have a particular sound recording and therefore these other alternatives that you posit really aren't alternatives for their purposes?

A Yes, but I have no way of knowing

what his desires are, so the game I have to play is am I one of those, am I in contention or am I -- am I running the risk of getting supplanted by any number of alternates?

And part of your game is to try to figure that out, right, so that you can access what your bargaining leverage is in a given situation?

A Part of the game.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

O And when you talk about at the bottom of page 5 going over to page 6 you use the Village People as an example where they sometimes re-record on a different label. Do you remember giving that example?

I basically stated that they've re-recorded for themselves, I believe. And I believe thev --

Q They then own the sound track of it?

\mathbf{A} Then they own the new recording.

21 So in fact even in that situation Q 22 the sound recording itself is being paid for,

Page 276 1 amount changes a lot, but in terms of the sync

> 2 to master ratio you'd agree with me that many

3 more times than not the price that the sync

holder gets and the price that the master use 4 5 gets is the same, in part as a function of the

MFN clause? 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

I don't know because I don't \mathbf{A} generally learn what a publisher's quoting.

Okay. Well, let me ask you this question: Do you have any reason to believe that the ratio of what the master use holder gets in terms of its fees relative to what the sync holder gets for his fee is any different in the submarket of low quality sound recordings you refer to on page 6 as distinguished from the rest of the market of sound recordings?

If I understand your question, I believe that in the circumstance where a producer is using a low quality sound recording or a library cue that the publisher who happens to own the library is getting 100

Page 275

it's just you're not getting paid for it, right?

Correct. \mathbf{A}

0 Now, on page six in section 4 you talk about low quality sound recording, you see that at the beginning of the second paragraph there?

Yes, I do. A

Now, I gather that low quality sound recordings are just one part of the supply of sound recordings available to producers of TV shows and movies?

A Yes.

You don't dispute the proposition, do you, that the general practice is that sync and master use rights fees tend to be the same or substantially the same in most TV and film sync licenses, correct?

\mathbf{A} I do. Each deal is different subject to enumerable variables in each circumstance.

I understand that the absolute Q

Page 277

percent of the fee.

That's the production library example you were talking about?

There's no delineation between master and sync. It's a hot shot deal, one stop shopping.

And do you know in fact that the story Dr. Jaffe did in connection was master use and sync rights excluded those kinds of situations?

I don't know that. I didn't read A the report.

Turning to page 7, and this morning you testified, did you not in reference to this part of your written testimony, that your understanding is that music publishers on the one hand try to earn the maximum they can get out of a sync license, right?

A Correct.

And the sound recording companies Q don't always do that, right?

	Page 278		Page 280
1	A That's correct.	1	were marked for
12	Q You'd agree with me, wouldn't you,	2	identification as
3	that doesn't matter really the sound recording	3	Services Exhibits R-66
4	company tries to or not as long as it employs	4	and R-67)
5	an MFN against the outcome of the negotiation	5	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
6	that the publisher has over the sync rights,	6	Q Exhibit 66 is a document with the
7	right?	7	caption Lisa Loeb "the Very Best of January
8	A I'm sorry. I don't understand the	8	24, 2006," and it bears the Bates stamp number
9	question.	9	SX REB006809 through 006819. And Exhibit 67
10	Q Well, let me get this right. Even	10	is a document bearing the caption Diana Ross
11	if the sound recording owner, as you	11	and the Supremes "The Number Ones" marketing
12	testified, doesn't have the same incentive to	12	plan. And it bears the Bates stamp number SX
13	maximize the amount of the fee that it's going	13	REB033843 to 033855.
14	to get as compared to a music publisher,	14	And my first question to you, sir,
15	wouldn't you agree with me that whenever you	15	is whether you can identify these two
16	use an MFN clause as against the outcome of	16	documents?
17	the sync rights transaction, you effectively	17	A Yes, I can.
18	get the benefit of the higher fee anyway?	18	Q Can you tell me what they are?
19	A In the circumstances where we	19	A These are marketing plans for a
20	would accept a lower fee, we wouldn't employ	20	forthcoming at the time, a forthcoming
21	the clause. And in the circumstances where we	21	release by UME.
22	have employed, we've often been asked to waive	22	Q UME is what?
4		1	
<u> </u>		 	AND THE PARTY OF T
	Page 279		Page 281
1	Page 279 to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or	1	A It's a label within the Universal
1 2	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record.	2	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and
1	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you	2 3	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report
2	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't	2 3 4	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through.
2 3	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound	2 3 4 5	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document
2 3 4	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is	2 3 4 5 6	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such
2 3 4 5 6 7	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It's a label within the Universal Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Just one more thing. I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted. (Whereupon, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Just one more thing. I want to show you two documents that we've marked as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted. (Whereupon, the documents previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Just one more thing. I want to show you two documents that we've marked as Services Rebuttal Exhibit 66 and 67 and ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted. (Whereupon, the documents previously marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Just one more thing. I want to show you two documents that we've marked as Services Rebuttal Exhibit 66 and 67 and ask you a couple of questions about that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted. (Whereupon, the documents previously marked for identification as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to ensure not being usurped by a satellite or a re-record. Q But in the circumstances where you use an MFN you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that whatever the incentive of the sound recording owner may have been, the outcome is by seeking in getting an MFN you get the benefit of whatever the publisher got and it tried to maximize the revenues that could flow from that transaction, right? A That's the general purpose of an MFN. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let the record the answer was yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q Just one more thing. I want to show you two documents that we've marked as Services Rebuttal Exhibit 66 and 67 and ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Music Group, Universal Music Enterprises, and it's the company that I directly report through. Q And is Exhibit 66 such a document with respect to Lisa Lobe and Exhibit 67 such a document with respect to Diana Ross and the Supremes? A Yes. MR. STEINTHAL: I'd move Exhibit 66 and 67 into evidence. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection to Exhibit 66 and 67? MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the two exhibits are admitted. (Whereupon, the documents previously marked for

Page 282 in evidence.) 1 2 MR. HANDZO: And I would move to apply the protective order, Your Honor. These 3 4 are marketing plans for our internal -- the 5 company actually regards these as quite 6 sensitive in terms of how it intends to promote and market its artists. And certainly 7 Lisa Lobe is really quite recent and the Diana 8 9 Ross one, I believe, is current as well. 10 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any 11 objection, Mr. Handzo? 12 MR. HANDZO: No objection, Your 13 Honor. 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without 15 objection, the motion is granted. 16 BY MR. STEINTHAL: Mr. Roland, what are the purpose 17 Q of these two documents within Universal Music 18 19 Group? 20 Within UME I can speak to that, sir. We try to have a comprehensive marketing 21

Page 284 The name on the back is usually a contact list.

And this is generally an internal document. We use it sort of our outline of what's going to happen. We judge ourselves against it. We meet about these plans in a fairly frequent basis. We make sure of these plans with the artist's management.

Now with respect to the Lisa Lobe 10 document, first of all, would you turn to page SX 6814? See there's a category TV/film 12 licensing?

Yes. A

Does this section reflect that one Q of the goals of Universal Music Group at the time it was planning to release or releasing the new Lisa Lobe album was to seek to secure TV and film licensing opportunities?

That's correct. A

That was a relevant part of what Q Universal was planning to do as the album was being released?

Page 283

1 A Yes.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And in terms of the online Q marketing associated with the release of the album, do you see in the section right above TV film licensing there are references to the second to last bullet are site placement and email blasts to or with a number of companies including I-tunes and Yahoo! Music and Microsoft Music, do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

11 Q And were those also part of the marketing plans for the new release? 12

Yes, they were. Take a look, if you will, at the Diana Ross document, Exhibit 67 and in particular at page 33847. Again, there's a category for TV and film licensing. Is this another example of a situation where when the new release was coming out Universal made a plan as part of its marketing efforts to get as much TV and film licensing of tracks on the new album as possible?

release, I should say, since we release quite 1 2 a bit of albums. This encompasses every facet 3 of UME's marketing team. This is created by 4 what we refer to as a product manager, but it 5 encompasses sales, consumer marketing, radio, 6 TV advertising which is separate from what I 7 do. This is more taking out spots for the record. Video promotion, perhaps. Publicity. 9 Online marketing, I-tunes and whatnot. 10 Retail. 11 Often we have a component, we 12

plan for each release or each priority

being TV, in this case we do. Part of what we might do for the record in anticipation of a release. And then a general time line toward the back, page 815 of when these things should happen or what's been planned.

Since this artist, Lisa Lobe, particularly active in this time period, she had her own TV show. There's a bunch of facts and tidbits about what's going on for her promotionally and whatnot. I mean, it may be separate from what we are doing.

\$1.134 \$1.134

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

Page 288

Page 286 A Yes. O And that's basically all I have for you. Thank you very much. A Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo, any further questions? MR. HANDZO: Yes, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We haven't done Broadcasters yet? MR. HANDZO: I had assumed, Your Honor, that they gave all their time to Mr. Steinthal. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. MR. KIRBY: This will be only five minutes, I believe, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Kirby, you'll do it? MR. KIRBY: Yes. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. Go ahead. **CROSS EXAMINATION** BY MR. KIRBY:

not saying that publishers and recording companies don't typically get the same amount, are you, because you just don't know?

A Every circumstance is different.

Q You just don't know whether publishers and recording companies typically get the same amount, do you?

A Generally I don't know.

Q All right. So when you say there's no such thing as a standard deal, that doesn't tell us anything about whether or not recording companies and publishers get the same amount?

A I referred more to when we get a request how we structure the deal based on duration of use, how we price the piece of music, how the production is using it. When I made reference to there's no standard deal, I was referring to how we work these things out.

All of our contracts, on the other hand, the actual licenses, are fairly

Page 287

Q Mr. Roland, I'm Tom Kirby.

A Nice to meet you.

Q Yes.

Did I hear you say that you typically do not find out what the publishers received in the licensing deals that your group does?

A Typically we don't seek that information.

Q And typically you don't learn it?

A We may learn it in a favored nations context.

Q And I'm still trying to figure out, typically more often than not do you learn what the publishers get or not?

A Typically more often that not we don't seek to learn or find out, and with the clause it's sort of irrelevant what they're getting. There's that mechanism there that would ensure parity in that circumstance.

Q Okay. So when you say that there is no such thing as a standard license you are

Page 289

standard. So I don't know if you're drawing a comparison there.

Q No. I just want to be clear as to what your testimony is. Page 2, for example, you say there is no standard rate that is charged for uses. Do you remember making that statement?

A Yes, I do.

Q By saying there's no standard rate, you weren't saying that recording companies and publishers don't typically get the same amount, were you?

A I'm saying we don't have a rate card, we don't have pricing policies. Each deal is negotiated by the deal maker.

MR. KIRBY: I believe, Your Honor, that's all I have.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Kirby, I never heard an answer to your question. Do you know the ratio between what's typically paid between the publisher and the sound recording?

74

```
Page 290
   1
              THE WITNESS: No -- No, I don't.
   2
              CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?
   3
              MR. HANDZO: I have nothing, Your
   4
      Honor.
   5
              CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
   6
      from the Bench?
   7
              Mr. Taylor?
   8
              MR. TAYLOR: NPR has nothing, Your
   9
      Honor.
  10
              CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
  11
              Any questions from the Bench?
  12
              Thank you, sir. That ends your
  13
      testimony.
  14
              (Whereupon, the witness was
  15
      excused.)
  16
              MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I
  17
      expected to have to apologize for having a
      short day today, but apparently we'll pick up
  18
  19
      tomorrow with Mr. Eisenberg.
  20
              CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will
  21
      conclude tomorrow with Mr. Eisenberg.
  22
              MR. HANDZO: Yes, we will.
                                               Page 291
   1
              CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And that will
      be the last day that this protective into.
   2
   3
              We'll recess until 9:30 in the
   4
      morning.
   5
              (Whereupon, at 4:29 p.m. the
   6
      hearing was adjourned, to reconvene tomorrow
  7
      at 9:30 a.m.)
   8
  9
  10
  11
  12
  13
  14
  15
  16
  17
  18
 19
120
 21
 22
```

abide 159:2 abilities 74:4 ability 20:16 145:14 196:16 210:3 215:17 216:1,9 able 43:5 56:10 78:9 81:11 97:22 98:3 146:4 148:8 149:4 150:13 177:22 191:19 192:12 199:2 **ABLIN 4:23** about 11:4 14:8 16:1,5 17:19 19:20 21:16 26:19 28:20 31:3,9 31:16 34:1,21 40:18 40:20 42:19 47:13 51:4 53:12,12 63:11 63:14 70:3 90:13 96:13 99:1 101:5,22 110:20 118:7 119:6 124:15 135:15 137:21 138:1,14 139:8 140:11,21 144:16,17,17 151:18 151:19,20 152:6,14 154:13,15 155:10 156:15 157:13,19 158:22 160:10,14,17 161:21 162:10 165:7 165:17 166:2,14 167:5,14 168:5,7,9 168:10 169:2,5,6,10 169:11,13,14,17 170:1,3,21 171:9 172:14,19 173:2 174:10,11,21 176:3,6 176:9 177:8,15 178:3 178:18,20 182:5 183:14 185:5,6 186:19 189:21 190:4 190:5 191:3 193:10 196:9 199:21 202:6 202:14 203:7,19 204:17 205:10 209:4 209:20 215:17 216:5 234:11 237:3,16 246:22 247:7 252:7 265:13 271:12 272:19 273:12 274:10 275:5 277:3 279:20 283:20 284:6 288:11 above 134:12 262:21 263:4.6 285:4 above-entitled 1:18 absolute 275:22 absolutely 137:19 168:8 175:19 177:21 217:3 236:9 accept 162:17 163:22

241:3 278:20 acceptable 195:6 acceptance 13:19 56:7 accepted 57:14 access 37:19 82:6,9 267:13 274:6 accomplish 163:3 account 8:6 85:9.10 116:2 117:14 accountants 106:8 accounted 65:16 66:4 accounting 8:5,22 39:11 AccuRadio 4:13 accurate 264:16 268:12 acquire 111:1 acquired 242:4 acquires 142:22 acquiring 146:5 203:4 across 93:14 249:6 act 236:11 acted 142:21 acting 181:22 213:9,20 active 283:18 activities 8:11 24:11 113:10,16 115:19 116:10,20 117:18 activity 30:2 38:11,13 38:15 45:6,19 98:7 100:6 111:19 actor 139:10 141:3 212:9 actors 136:11 138:21 142:14 146:4 147:15 213:2 actual 12:18,19 17:13 19:15 31:22 84:8,17 85:14 131:19 213:14 220:7 235:17 244:22 288:22 actually 12:5,6 13:18 15:17 19:17 20:9,13 21:4 24:5,7,20 29:6 32:1 36:9 43:1 77:22 84:17 85:14 87:2 88:3 95:18 138:10 148:19 161:12 173:21 178:4 183:16 186:9 195:3 203:4 217:1 223:3 243:6 245:9,11 262:13 263:12 268:2,5 271:9 282:5 actuals 102:7 ad 26:11 131:20 149:21 257:12 addition 203:22 additional 44:4 134:11 address 50:4 156:9 189:11 268:15

271:17

addressed 248:21 adds 144:14 adjourned 291:6 adjust 67:7 adjusted 67:8 adjustment 1:9 116:17 admin 160:6 administer 11:10,11 administration 27:13 91:20 261:11 administrative 131:18 admissability 193:18 193:20 197:8,12,19 198:8,15 admitted 76:12 83:2 224:22 242:17 281:16 advance 15:4 17:6 47:3 47:4,8 62:7 63:5,5,7 155:17,20 162:5 advancement 44:20 advancements 44:11 advances 12:18 17:12 17:17 19:16 43:10,15 43:20 47:12 48:12,20 61:18 62:5,11,16,21 63:10,14 64:19 65:19 66:1,16 73:1 155:22 162:2,3 advantage 52:11 82:11 109:4 152:22 advantages 152:14,17 advent 73:9 advertisement 266:15 advertisements 177:11 advertising 26:10 79:21,22 131:8,16,17 132:5,16 133:3 135:6 135:17 137:1 142:13 146:20 253:19 260:22 261:14 262:18 263:17 266:1 283:6 advise 245:22 246:17 AF 211:11 212:3 affect 68:21 123:11.17 124:13 148:21 149:3 153:3 187:9 188:19 192:3 226:4 affected 272:22 affects 148:22 201:4,6 afford 147:3 187:15 afoul 176:12 after 57:13 95:1 111:21 173:2 181:14 192:1 197:4 206:18 207:5 208:17 229:8,15 245:16 again 16:4 20:19 25:15 25:20,22 26:19 28:2

28:14,21 29:14,16,20

29:22 30:20 31:2,16 31:18 32:7,17 45:18 46:21 49:16 51:1 52:19 53:6,8,13,17 57:6 58:1 59:8,11 65:21 66:10 67:20 68:14 72:16 73:15 77:11 78:5,13 81:22 82:7 87:21 88:7 89:21 90:16,18,19 92:7 93:10 95:14 96:3 97:17 98:7 99:15 100:1 103:7 106:6 107:2 112:6,13 113:2 114:11 115:2 117:20 119:11 120:13 121:11 122:19 127:6 142:6 151:16 155:11 156:12 160:12 161:2 161:11 167:15 169:21 172:16 182:3 185:12 186:10,19 187:20 188:10,20 194:15 204:20 233:19 236:16 237:11,16 243:2 252:13 254:11 285:16 against 14:22 15:5 17:18 21:1 38:3 39:20,21 43:3 47:3 63:5 66:3 68:13 231:1 237:2 240:19 249:21 257:21 259:6 278:5,16 284:6 agency 257:12 261:15 266:1 aggregate 81:8,16 82:1 82:2 181:7 aggregation 79:5 ago 59:1 73:19 117:21 130:18 237:3 244:6 agree 41:14 45:18 48:16 51:14 102:14 213:22 273:14,16 276:2 278:2,15 279:4 agreed 170:2 232:20 agreeing 162:17 agreement 206:18 225:21,22 244:18,22 263:13,15 agreements 131:22 133:9 137:22 225:10 237:18 263:21 ahead 56:14 78:12 90:17 164:10 239:7 286:20 aid 195:9 Alabama 216:14 **ALAN 5:16**

alan.weinshcel@weil... 5:22 album 104:1,2 136:1 284:17,21 285:4,22 albums 283:2 alike 150:20 alikes 150:1 **Allison 239:10** allocate 91:14,19 92:9 92:10,16,19 93:1,2,4 93:7 allocated 93:11 allocating 110:11 allocation 92:1 allow 43:22 81:12 allowed 194:19 195:12 allowing 194:12 allows 56:8 almost 22:10 26:2 31:17 64:5 94:6 270:6 alone 49:18 80:17 along 10:10 12:20 100:14 136:12 258:2 259:22 269:17 already 142:20 152:3,3 152:8 161:6 167:4 170:2 189:9 232:19 alternate 240:22 alternately 241:12 alternates 274:4 alternatives 138:15 207:15 216:6 217:8 273:20,21 although 29:3 43:3 88:1 always 20:22 73:7 133:1 149:2 153:5 208:22 217:13,13,16 234:1 239:1 277:22 America 8:1,14 36:4,16 American 35:21 36:11 36:12,18,19 38:7 amount 19:17 26:6 28:13 32:17 45:6,19 53:9 57:9 58:14 66:17 81:3 84:14 105:9.14 109:13 115:9,12,13,14 117:2 123:12.15 136:22 137:2 231:19 232:22 241:6 257:3 276:1 278:13 288:2,7,13 289:12 amounts 21:6 42:14 85:14 analogy 14:19 15:14 51:15 192:18 196:3 analyses 120:6 analysis 102:16 220:1 analyze 81:8

analyzed 81:3 ancillary 40:2,4 50:22 51:12,13 52:6,13 53:3,3,5,15,17,19,19 53:20 55:17 56:2,9 56:14 57:21 58:1,3 59:4,18 60:18,21 146:8 ANDERSON 2:22 and/or 12:19 17:6 62:9 90:20 Angeles 7:18 33:18 annually 19:19 annuity 111:16 another 28:21 29:17 63:2 96:22 99:11 142:1 172:8 176:4 206:22 207:1,7,13,14 208:1,2,17 209:10 218:19 221:12,15 244:14,18 262:16 285:18 answer 16:6 42:4 55:18 55:20,22 60:1,6,9 69:3,16 73:16 75:4 87:12 93:4,21 96:4 100:12 106:8 113:22 119:9 148:15 156:6 157:16 180:16 218:21 229:9 279:14 289.19 answered 70:9 90:11 100:11 209:16 answers 166:1 anticipate 111:22 anticipation 111:4 283:13 anyone 77:6 anything 187:19 196:15 271:16 288:11 anytime 239:2 anyway 174:10 278:18 anywhere 105:5 138:3 149:20 **AOL** 3:17 apologies 229:8 apologize 63:18 83:9 127:6 128:14 129:5 159:8 192:17 273:16 290:17 apparently 258:4 290:18 appeal 163:14 appealing 163:12 appear 21:14 127:1 214:6 235:10 237:6 238:6 248:8 255:8 261:13 265:15 appearance 32:7 **APPEARANCES 2:1**

appears 230:8 246:9 252:5 264:12,13 apple 23:14 apples 48:14 applicable 51:11 53:15 55:16 application 243:3 254:12 applied 125:8 apply 225:9 282:3 approach 162:15 approached 138:1 206:10 approaches 64:18 138:10,14 approval 43:22 44:4 45:3,14 46:17 47:2,5 47:7,18 111:1 157:4 162:14 238:19 approve 43:14 49:14 approved 47:19 235:21 236:2 262:22 approximate 10:16 approximately 133:12 205:16 206:15 Arabic 168:13 Arapahoe 5:8 area 119:19 167:4,13 167:14 170:2 176:4 177:8 199:20 areas 8:7 37:14 66:21 68:15 74:7 189:18,20 189:21 arguably 191:19 argument 174:15 arguments 219:8 around 88:6 117:20 231:20 arrangements 35:14 array 187:16 art 17:1 63:9 artist 17:3,12 24:20 43:11,20 44:20 47:8 47:12 48:11 54:7 58:11 62:12,13 63:15 63:22 64:19,22 65:6 65:20,22 66:15,17,19 68:22 69:6,8,11,13 69:14,15 70:5 72:12 78:10 114:13,15 122:21,22 135:20 136:2,3 139:19 140:13 141:1,3 150:13 153:16,17 154:3,4 155:4,10,18 156:22 158:6,16,17 160:4 162:6 163:12 188:5,9 210:17,21 212:5 227:11 237:21 240:1 243:17 264:18

283:17

artists 11:12 12:19 16:19 44:11 58:8 61:2 67:15 114:6 134:21 139:22 140:5 154:13 156:1.15 157:20 161:7,13 163:5 233:8 282:7 artist's 66:9 154:9,21 155:6,11 158:22 163:10 188:6 284:8 aside 210:20 asked 55:12,21 60:5,15 70:9 87:1,4,9 90:8 93:20 119:6 122:9 124:15 125:16 152:3 156:10 191:2 209:16 230:4 240:22 241:18 258:20 259:5,14 270:8 273:15 278:22 asking 67:17 70:12 100:12 113:21 151:17 166:10 171:5 171:13 178:10 232:14 233:6 258:10 258:17 260:11,12 270:10 aspect 70:6 74:19 99:12 186:4 aspects 270:5 asserted 197:7,10 198.2 assertion 116:12 assets 111:20 112:9 131:8 assigns 191:20 Assoc 3:17 associate 123:6 192:20 associated 12:10 27:14 37:18 73:1 85:17 87:5 88:2,4,8 95:21 122:11,16 210:12 227:22 232:18 248:10 255:11 285:3 associating 191:12 assume 124:21 189:10 256:14,22 assumed 7:9 130:7 286:10 assumes 268:22 assuming 257:11 assumption 125:4 228:6 265:9,11,13 Astle 4:24 107:20 attached 22:1 80:22 attempted 119:10 attention 92:15 94:1 255:18 attorney 219:20 261:20 attributable 97:8

105:15 attributed 65:17

atypical 20:15 21:19 audiovisual 234:11 August 256:5,17 authority 43:16,19 44:3,10,15,22 45:4 45:15 46:18 47:10,19 47:21 48:3 64:19 109:14 180:17 availability 153:2 201:4 available 45:7 76:14 77:20 78:22 187:4 199:11 207:15 216:12,20 275:11 Ave 2:17 3:13 Avenue 1:14 2:11 4:6 4:10 5:19 average 78:11 avoid 165:16 229:20 aware 212:21 214:8,15 214:16,19,20 215:1 243:21 267:15 270:9 270:10,21 271:4 272:18 awareness 72:10,12,13 74:8,14 88:8 away 28:7 75:8 99:13 119:10 A&R 12:17 16:19 17:1 19:1,5,10,17,21 20:3 20:17,18 23:3,21 24:3,8 27:10 32:18 32:18 38:15 48:1,2 48:18 49:14 61:12,16 62:2,5,10,13,22 63:7 65:15 67:2,3,6,15 68:21 69:5,7 70:6,21 88:19 92:17 93:8 123:18 128:16 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N 180:1 a.m 1:19 7:2 107:17,18 129:20,21 291:7 A324 253:6 В

B
B 2:16
bachelor 8:4
back 10:2 63:20 73:16
80:1 87:2 92:15
117:3 119:10 126:22
128:1,7,16 140:9
178:7 189:8 196:2
203:10 211:3 228:11
228:21,22 229:18
232:4 241:11 246:1
246:17 259:2 260:7,8
266:5 283:15 284:1
backdrop 272:17
background 8:3 11:14
34:1 136:9 143:1

242:2

becomes 170:5

before 1:1,22 42:14,18

52:1 61:14 65:12

70:22 71:20 72:22

76:2 93:20 94:17

166:18 184:7 266:21 backup 6:9 75:20 Bag 221:3 226:13 227:6 229:16 ball's 196:11,12 band 88:2,2,5 141:17 141:19,20,20 142:4,6 206:4 207:16 216:9 251:6 bands 141:22 142:5 211:7 214:17 bank 14:10,21 215:19 banks 15:9 bar 136:9 141:22 bargaining 167:9 184:14 201:5 217:5 218:3,7,11 274:7 based 81:3,19,19 95:12 96:7 120:5 145:20 162:16 165:22 178:13,14 200:21 237:12 238:20,22 240:11,15 288:15 bases 172:21 175:6 basic 234:5 basically 17:5 134:3 149:6 153:1 230:4 232:17,21 274:15 286:2 basis 22:10 28:12 38:8 43:3 84:8 166:1 170:13 174:6,7,18 182:3,4,13 185:8 186:6 187:10 188:14 193:10 209:4 219:11 219:14,17 231:21 241:12 243:4 256:6 260:3,15 284:7 Bates 6:10,12,13,14,15 6:16,17,18,19,20,22 84:2 221:4,16 222:7 222:17 223:7 250:13 250:21 251:2,13 252:3,14 253:2 280:8 280:12 bearing 250:13,21 251:2,13 252:3,13 280:10 bears 221:4,16 222:7 222:17 223:7 253:2 280:8,12 became 9:9 10:4 34:20 35:20 become 16:13 52:14 53:10 59:19 100:2

95:21 96:2 104:3,5 100:19 101:3 161:11 188:3 190:5 192:11 bring 174:16 124:12 232:18 104:10 204:7 broad 187:16 200:22 called 7:7 79:18 130:5 163:18.180:12.21 198:12 205:13 CDs 29:7,8 40:7 58:9 169:5 170:10 178:21 181:1 257:6 261:9 206:13 207:12 260:8 202:6 234:4 266:18 58:15 59:6,13 61:3 263:10 271:12 272:12 277:4 289:20 267:2 222:4.16 260:18 calls 130:1 66:12 85:21 86:7 began 36:7 289:21 Broadcasters 2:20 4:19 87:7,10 88:11 122:11 came 1:18 9:14 104:8,9 begin 162:9 228:3 Beverly 3:8 4:22 286:9 campaign 262:18 266:3 cease 74:22 broadcasting 3:10,10 beginning 35:5 158:2 beyond 134:13 145:8 Central 36:12 campaigns 149:21 161:10 198:17 275:6 145:16 156:8,10 42:5 broadly 35:10 58:4 Canada 35:4.11 36:12 certain 43:15 92:20 behalf 2:2,14,20 3:5,10 157:7,16 3:17 4:13.19 5:5.15 big 192:14 177:20 candid 180:16 119:1 120:11,13 165:5 211:14 235:3 broken 66:5 79:9 Cannibals 251:6 66:2 biggest 151:6,7 bit 15:6 31:10 32:3 260:19 261:6,20 258:18 272:8 behind 22:19 brought 173:21 certainly 144:13 Brown 6:10,12,15 Cannon 2:23 being 26:14 39:15 60:9 33:22 49:12 139:20 159:9 211:3 216:1 capable 145:9 145:12 157:21 65:17 77:2 88:11 221:2,15 223:6 175:16 177:6 178:2 97:19 98:3 114:21 220:20 283:2 226:13 227:5,10 capital 110:1 178:11 179:5 189:17 115:12,15 117:18 bjoseph@wrf.com 5:4 231:12 244:15,20 Captain 140:1 black 59:7 96:5 caption 126:16 172:8 191:12 203:8,19 118:12,13 133:22 245:2 blank 190:2 227:18 · Brown's 245:5 172:10,11 201:15 204:4,8,10 208:9 136:8 140:15 157:7 186:9 204:9 231:12 228:2,3,22 229:19 **BRUCE 4:23** 280:7,10 235:17 282:7 246:13 250:9 260:14 230:2 250:22 256:21 budget 84:11 85:13 card 289:14 certified 8:5 cetera 137:3 201:21 274:22 279:1 283:12 blanket 166:20 172:15 136:21 146:14,15 cards 149:5 284:22 172:17 173:6,8,12,13 149:8 care 9:1 37:2 96:15 273:8 CFO 8:9 36:21 budgeting 39:16 believe 18:6 21:2,3 173:15 174:4,7,18 118:14 23:22 50:2 55:20,22 175:8 178:9,17,22 **budgets** 123:21 career 131:21 152:4 chance 165:13 223:13 63:10 68:17 75:20 179:3 184:8 186:7,15 **building** 99:15,16 156:13 238:16 187:5,14 190:6 chances 16:2 82:10 83:1 86:6 93:2 163:18 CARP 214:12 220:5 96:12 98:17 102:2,19 197:16 198:12 **bullet 285:6** change 71:4 95:12 96:6 carry 245:8 bump 239:20 cartage 18:14 98:12 267:16 271:19 103:20,21 106:22 blasts 285:7 108:14 110:2 127:12 Block 2:5 bumped 240:20 case 19:19 63:1,1 changes 58:19 276:1 158:2,22 161:19 blurred 52:7 bunch 283:19 127:20 154:8 162:1,6 changing 29:5 51:20 167:13 168:9 172:20 BMG 111:1 burden 217:12,15 189:17 216:7 220:5,5 58:20 67:22 119:2,20 181:2,18 182:21 **BMI** 79:7 business 10:17,18 223:20 229:22 channel 4:21 269:21 183:1 203:6 211:6 Board 1:1 219:8,9,15 11:18,19 13:1,4,14 283:12 channels 269:6,10,13 215:3 242:6,9 274:16 Bonneville 4:20 13:15 14:10,14 16:10 cases 153:21 characteristic 194:6 274:17 276:10,19 books 65:16 66:5 17:3,3 20:16 22:11 cash 18:10 19:15 195:13 characteristics 194:18 282:9 286:15 289:16 109:14 23:12 24:11,12,15 139:13,15 212:13,15 believed 110:10 bookstore 202:19 29:6 32:20,21 49:4 212:19 characterize 208:8 believes 182:10 both 17:2 113:7,18 50:13,15 51:16,16,18 Cash's 214:4 charge 39:15,18 135:16 bells 269:20 118:22 154:3,5 155:4 51:19,20 53:13 54:3 cassette 234:14 230:19 below 44:20 94:4 96:20 167:12 175:6 176:12 54:4 55:15 58:20.22 cassettes 94:14.14 charged 261:11 289:6 charges 225:13 bench 126:8 290:6.11 202:11 217:10 230:6 67:21 68:10 73:10 casting 104:20 Charles 6:4 7:4,6,16 85:5 92:5,20 102:14 catalogue 14:4 15:2 beneficiaries 46:8 233:18 251:15 benefit 25:4,11 240:18 bottom 14:9 25:14 43:2 106:5 110:4.7.12.14 20:21 47:3,4 111:2 check 104:6 241:7 262:10 278:18 83:15,18,20 84:14 111:11 112:4 118:7 133:8 187:7 267:7,20 checks 105:18 137:6,10,13 145:21 86:17 215:16 227:14 273:6 Chief 1:23 7:22 10:6 279:8 165:3 178:19 198:4 237:15 238:9 255:15 21:12,20 22:2,6,15 benefits 27:16 89:3 catalogues 13:21 262:21 264:1 274:11 203:15 204:9.13 111:10 174:18 187:6 22:22 33:8 36:2,15 benefitted 262:13 bought 243:13 234:4 catch 137:8 38:6 42:3.8 60:3.8 besides 87:10 best 18:18 31:4 64:9 Boulder 5:11 businesses 8:19 11:18 categories 13:11 16:16 70:11 71:3,10 76:9 120:12 192:18 230:4 Boulevard 3:6 11:21 12:14 13:11 17:9 77:22 78:17 76:16 77:16 78:15,19 280:7 Brand 221:3 226:13 20:4 30:18 115:4 122:20 124:11 79:11 80:7 81:2,13 better 103:14 181:9 227:6 229:16 buy 74:16,16,17 233:14 categorization 53:6 81:18 82:12,17,20 207:2 245:10 Brazilian 38:2 B4 5:9 categorize 66:21 96:16 98:16 100:10 107:14,19 108:2 between 11:6 12:13 break 96:14 164:10,14 category 17:20 27:3,7 \mathbf{C} 119:8 121:22 122:3 19:9,12 25:16 67:2 172:9 173:2 180:12 28:18,22 77:6 79:9 CA 3:21 114:21 115:3 140:9 180:21 181:1,14 79:12,21 84:5 85:2 124:7 125:13,18 cable 234:5 136:4 210:22 227:15 126:1,5,7 129:9,13 165:20 168:15 169:3 breakdown 84:4 calendar 79:19 169:22 170:11 171:9 brick 98:21 267:4 284:11 285:17 129:18 138:5,8 140:7 caliber 210:17 141:12 142:18 143:3 172:15 173:5 174:12 Brickhouse 250:14 CBI 2:21 California 3:8 130:22 174:22 176:3 182:6 255:11,12 257:7 CD 51:9 68:7 70:18 143:10,18 144:11,19 call 7:4 26:7 32:18 74:16 88:4,4,7 95:19 145:6,18 148:13 briefly 8:2 10:9 255:6 185:5,12 186:15

247:6 152:1.9 154:20 287:18 communicated 257:13 155:14 156:3,8 clauses 232:9 157:10,15 158:1,12 clean 126:10 257:15.18 clear 4:21 26:18 35:15 communicates 256:5 158:18 159:3 160:19 53:18 134:8 140:18 communication 248:9 161:1,15 164:8,15 255:18 258:21 259:1 170:5 176:15 181:17 166:6,9 167:17,22 183:22 230:5 242:1 259:8 170:17 171:12,18 Communications 4:21 172:1,4 176:21 177:3 257:12 289:3 clearance 134:12 179:7 180:3,15 189:4 4:21 89:9 191:9 195:18 196:1 232:14 compact 42:21 71:6 72:4,8,14,20 94:12 196:20 197:2 203:1 clearing 217:12,15 204:18 205:1 208:5 240:4 94:13 97:6 103:19 209:14,17 224:18,21 clearly 12:16 13:14 companies 15:9 41:7 225:14,18 242:13,16 19:3 20:6,22 24:1,17 41:13.14 46:3.7 28:21 31:18 91:5 243:9,12,16,22 50:16 59:21 65:2 244:11 254:2,18,21 102:22 103:6 77:10 103:9 112:12 client 256:14,20 257:11 112:14.20.20 113:7.8 258:16 259:7,16 268:13,19 269:2,22 257:14,15 259:21 113:11 116:19 124:17 127:17 270:12 271:13,20 260:1.2 clients 9:3 153:6 128:19 162:1 167:10 279:13 281:12,15 clips 149:20 184:15 188:19 201:5 282:10,14 286:5,8,13 close 229:20 277:21 285:7 288:2.6 286:16.19 289:18 290:2,5,10,20 291:1 club 196:13 288:12 289:11 choice 215:21 216:20 clubs 104:12 company 9:4,6 11:7 15:4 20:8,11,19 21:3 choices 144:5 146:2 Co 3:10 215:7 216:17 coattails 43:6 23:13 24:14,17 25:3 choose 134:6 202:18 coincide 163:16 25:5,7 26:17 27:15 27:21,21 29:1 31:20 215:7,13 216:6 coincides 163:11 218:19 collect 13:5 32:15 34:2,8,10,17 35:2 38:1,1,2,2 42:14 43:7 **chooses** 162:22 collective 11:1 Collegiate 2:20 44:16,19,21 45:17 choosing 207:13 Ciongoli 6:4,9 7:4,6,13 Colorado 5:11 49:18,22 52:15,16,18 64:6 68:22 77:1,7 7:16 11:15 23:2 comb 92:2 78:7 79:2,6 89:17 33:14 43:9 54:10 come 14:5 15:7 44:3 90:9 93:1 105:20,22 62:10 75:16 86:14 72:19 111:10,14,18 108:15,17 109:6,10 102:1 107:11 108:10 121:5,10,16 174:8 122:9 126:10 202:10 192:18 196:2 197:3 110:9 111:3 114:15 116:3,13,15 117:6,7 Ciongoli's 6:9 202:13 207:20 117:10,16 118:3,19 circumstance 155:17 225:18 261:22 118:21 125:3,4 comes 87:13 105:9 183:11 216:22 201:15 204:10 217:18 218:4 231:8 111:16 125:9 142:6 216:7 218:11 240:2 239:20 240:18 233:1 262:7 275:21 243:12 246:20,22 276:19 287:20 288:4 241:11 coming 20:22 117:15 247:3,4,6,7,8 249:22 circumstances 117:4,4 257:20 259:5 278:4 132:21 285:19 141:4 163:20.22 281:3 282:5 **comment 249:12** 178:14 182:15 commercial 57:12 69:6 company's 46:9 128:4 207:12,22 209:20 123:3 206:1 250:6 128:10 166:21 184:9 226:2 232:9,11,12 comparable 235:4 264:16 240:13 273:18 commitment 84:4,7,10 comparative 31:4 278:19,21 279:3 cited 22:5,8 85:13 167:9 184:14 194:13 claim 185:6 243:19 commitments 85:6,10 compare 11:21 12:22 clarification 87:21 committed 84:22 13:10 18:20 20:4 **Committee 4:20,22** 23:11,16 27:22 30:17 181:16 clarified 270:14 5:15 101:20 102:7 166:15 clarify 11:6 33:22 common 190:9 199:8 166:17 174:2 184:5 compared 273:5 140:17 171:5 231:5 232:9,10 246:19 247:2.5.12 278:14 classic 236:6 clause 153:19 241:5 commonly 231:8 comparing 11:16 48:14 262:11 263:10 264:8 communicate 228:21 127:15 165:7 182:9 228:22 246:22 247:3 186:11 193:4 276:6 278:16,21

comparison 23:15.20 25:16 31:13 47:22 49:2 50:11 55:13,20 128:2 167:3 168:4 182:6.14 185:2.12 197:16 198:5 289:2 comparisons 16:17 185:1 compensated 41:8 compensation 40:14 41:2.16 competing 140:3 competitive 77:4,15 78:14 82:8,8,11 226:1 competitor 80:5,15 81:12 **competitors** 76:14 77:3 77:17.20 78:7.16 81:15 compilation 62:21 104:3 complete 133:12 completed 83:1 completely 48:13 59:1 64:5 117:1 completes 133:10 137:21 compliant 268:4 complicated 7:15 32:9 complimenting 26:12 component 61:16,22 62:3 283:11 components 81:4 82:5 82:13 compose 139:6 composer 134:5 139:6 composition 41:4,9 115:11 223:12 248:14,19 266:17 comprehensive 282:21 comprise 227:3 comprised 260:17 comptroller 9:18 34:5 34:13 compulsory 272:15 computer 74:9 107:8 computers 73:21 concepts 60:6 concern 168:5 268:6 271:12 concerned 189:21 concerning 255:9 concessions 164:4 conclude 229:14 290:21 **conclusion** 81:11,19 117:8 confess 196:4 confidential 76:13,17 77:1 243:19

confidentiality 226:1 confused 140:7 confusing 60:5 confusion 259:18 260:3 confusions 129:6 **Congress 1:2,13** 144:21 **Connecticut 2:11 3:13** connection 214:11 215:8 230:22 260:20 264:5,15 272:4 277:8 consent 162:16,16 consequence 239:18 240:9 consequences 77:8 consider 107:9 236:6 271:18 considerations 117:14 135:14 considered 27:14 104:8 227:10 237:21 consists 27:9 consolidated 79:3,8,13 79:20 80:5,11,12,18 80:21 81:3,6 Constantine 2:23 constrained 218:14 constraints 146:13 consume 74:5,16 consumed 52:1 consumer 16:5,5 56:7 56:13 72:10 73:8 74:4,8,14,15 79:22 88:8 168:14 185:20 272:8 283:5 consumers 51:22 97:3 97:7 167:1 184:12 190:13 consuming 51:22 68:15 contact 206:19 207:6 284:2 contacted 208:17 contacts 145:22 contain 76:22 content 59:20 133:6 268:8 269:9,14,18,19 270:5,22 271:6 272:20 contention 274:2 context 19:13 135:11 136:6 146:15 150:20 156:2 162:12 168:16 168:21 169:7,15 170:4 174:13 184:19 187:4,14 205:6 213:9 214:1,6 221:3 287:12 contexts 141:8 169:13 contingent 157:4 continue 52:9 71:1 96:15 99:3 continues 36:8 71:2

contract 64:11.14 153:22 158:19 243:10 261:11 contracts 159:4,5,6 248:1 254:22 288:21 contractual 153:19 contractually 162:15 contrast 23:17 32:13 171:8 172:17 176:3 187:3 188:16 contrasted 20:8 23:12 contrasting 168:6 169:21 control 204:5 controller 9:15 controls 162:12 convenience 245:13 convergence 120:7 conversation 209:22 239:5 conversations 145:22 161:13 converse 37:9 convert 28:8. convey 212:22 copyright 1:1 12:10 14:15 27:13 113:3 115:21 140:14,15 219:7 copyrighted 49:7 copyrights 11:11 13:22 14:2,4,17 15:6 17:18 21:1 111:14 corner 55:5 86:19 Corp 3:17 4:20,21,21 corporation 10:14 43:21 correct 27:4 34:3,15,18 35:1,7,12,18,22 36:5 37:1,5,8,19 38:8,9 39:1,5,7,10,14 40:7 40:21,22 42:2,22 43:17 44:12 45:1 48:4,5 49:18 50:1,18 51:6,9 52:18 56:17 57:22 59:6 64:16,21 65:10,14,18 67:3,10 67:11,16,19 69:1 71:17,21 72:4,8,15 73:4,9,14 76:6 83:16 83:21,22 84:5,6,9,19 84:20 85:19 86:8 87:16 88:13,20 89:20 91:16,17 94:12,19 95:2 97:8,11,15 98:12 100:9 101:6,12 101:14 102:10 103:19 105:7,10 108:3 112:17 115:14 127:13,18,22 128:5 129:3 138:12 143:11

159:11 165:10 200:2 200:3,18 206:17,20 207:9 210:5 211:21 212:11,17 213:12 218:1 220:6 226:14 229:2 235:6 242:4,5 271:3 275:3,18 277:20 278:1 284:19 correctly 40:16 42:16 43:7 46:10 49:10 56:15 61:3 62:5,18 64:6 80:14 85:1 94:9 97:3 98:18 127:1 correspondence 246:12 255:9 261:14 cost 12:12,15,19 13:6 17:21 20:13 28:22 29:1,7 31:20 32:11 32:14 33:2 65:4,7 88:6 97:1,10 99:1,2 103:7 117:5 210:20 costs 12:21 13:16 15:21 16:17,19 17:6,10,13 17:14,20 18:8 19:16 20:12,17 23:18,21 24:9,22 26:20 27:2 27:15,17,20 28:19 32:11 33:4 46:6,7,15 46:22 47:1,13,15 48:1,2,9,19 62:6,16 66:2 67:15 68:21 70:7 71:14 72:21 73:1,6,14,17 74:20 78:8 85:16 87:5 88:15,18 91:15,19 94:3,5,7,16,22 95:7,9 95:12 96:11,19 97:6 98:9,12 99:6,12,21 113:16 124:18,19 210:11,15,21 212:1 213:14 Counsel 2:10 7:7 130:5 couple 227:15 255:7 266:6 279:20 course 85:5 131:21 133:10 138:2 152:4 156:12 158:9 159:1 203:9,15 220:9 223:19 252:15 Court 43:19 130:12 131:4 141:11 153:16 159:2 189:9 192:22 205:4 courtesy 154:1 courtroom 268:18 Court's 254:16 cover 33:4 54:5 141:17 141:19,20 142:6 161:20 206:3 207:16 210:1 211:7 214:17

215:19 216:9

covered 18:6 161:19 **COWIE 2:3 CPA** 8:6 CPB-Qualified 2:15 CRAIG 2:3 crawl 147:12 Crazy 260:19 261:4,19 **CRB** 1:8 create 49:6 58:11 created 42:15 72:22 140:15 151:11 283:3 creates 149:19 creating 15:17 50:17 74:8 creation 56:4 creative 5:5,7 46:6,14 48:8 73:3 74:20 213:5 credibility 193:18 credit 147:12 criterion 125:8 cross 6:2 33:12 108:8 120:22 125:15 164:9 164:16 202:14 286:21 crudeness 192:17 CRUSE 4:9 crystal 170:5 cue 139:6 150:4 249:15 276:21 culminate 45:11 cultivate 12:5 current 8:8 38:3 44:14 115:8 244:10 282:9 currently 41:7,21 44:6 44:7 52:17,19 107:13 123:1 154:2 cusp 254:16 custom 125:1 269:6,11 269:13,21 customary 40:6 customer 94:9 268:3 customization 272:13 customized 267:11 268:11 270:5 271:1 272:7,21 cut 245:9 cuts 93:14 C-I-O-N-G-O-L-I 7:16 D **D** 2:21 3:5 4:15 94:1,4

96:20 98:19

dancing 136:12

data 6:9 22:10,13

dates 238:10,13

245:16

135:15 213:5 decisions 203:20 204:16 dedicated 132:13,18 deemed 19:22 deep 15:11 135:22 define 53:16 defined 58:4 59:6 62:5 defining 58:1,3 definitely 175:7 definition 42:9 121:18 degree 8:4 43:6 198:3 272:9 delineated 150:2 delineation 277:4 demand 16:5 267:19 272:11 demographic 163:10 163:11 demonstrate 70:15 105:14 demonstrated 198:1 dashes 198:19 199:1 199:1,16 denied 180:8 197:6,10 date 84:18 163:17 197:14,22 198:10,13 198:18 199:8,10 dated 224:5 256:5 205:7 244:12 **DENISE 2:16** denominator 127:13 dave@sbrcreative.com

5:13

4:18

Davis 4:15

291:2

days 245:16

day 33:21 134:19

day-to-day 134:13

DC 2:17 3:14 4:17

deal 11:7 45:19 60:5

64:14 119:4 136:14

136:16,17 137:5,10

161:9 162:7,14 203:5

208:10 217:11 228:9

231:9 232:16 235:17

241:13 247:13 255:3

262:2 275:19 277:5

288:10,15,18 289:15

289:15

204:14

dealt 258:17

decaying 59:17

deciding 116:3

decipher 249:14

decision 69:5 115:16

decay 68:7

dealing 203:10,16

deals 153:21 155:16

161:4 207:19 287:6

137:13 160:4,6,6

DAVID 2:2 4:1,15 5:6

179:10 255:3 290:18

denoted 66:15,18 deny 60:11 64:8 department 89:22 93:9 davidoxenford@dwt... 93:9,13,19 131:7,11 261:12 departments 92:22 93:7,8 depending 45:10 62:8 77:11 95:15 114:11 160:3 212:4 231:8 depends 45:5,18 146:19 150:19 183:11 212:9 depicted 108:18 **deposition** 6:9 22:21 33:17 54:11,14 55:11 57:9 60:14 86:10,14 90:7 derivation 104:7 derive 78:4 82:3 derived 54:8 70:4 155:19 deriving 59:20 describe 10:9 22:14 158:10 192:7 194:16 228:3 described 113:16 description 21:10 22:18 descriptions 90:21 designees 43:5 desires 274:1 desk 130:16 **despite** 218:18 detail 85:2 87:4,11 106:1,10 178:2 details 83:13 228:12 determined 115:6 117:2,3 determining 43:10 135:19 detrimental 78:6 develop 72:9 developed 13:20 developing 15:17 17:22 18:1 75:3 development 91:15 device 234:17 devices 234:12 Devil's 134:22 devoted 89:19 90:11 dhandzo@jenner.com 2:8 Diana 280:10 281:7 282:8 285:15 differ 40:15 41:2,16 difference 19:12 67:2 107:4 165:20 167:16 168:21 169:2,3,5,18

> 169:19,21 170:10 171:9 173:5 174:12

176:10 178:16 184:19 185:4,4,10,14 186:9,11,15 188:3 190:5 191:18,20 192:5,15 193:3 194:1 194:1,20 198:12 differences 50:14 167:7 169:13,18 172:15 174:22 184:12 192:2 192:3,10 193:7 different 12:3,16 31:21 34:5 50:5,10 52:21 57:3,20 58:18 60:9 60:17 66:21 74:6 113:15 132:14 136:22 137:2,16,16 137:18 138:19 140:5 140:10 141:3 150:18 158:14 170:7 173:1 178:8,12,21 179:3 185:19 186:18,20 190:2 194:11,21 195:14 196:3,6,14,17 208:6 216:6 218:5 220:18 221:14 225:21 231:12 247:13 274:13 275:19 276:13 288:4 differently 59:1 194:3 differs 41:19 difficult 69:2 96:4 249:13 digit 31:11 digital 1:6 3:17 25:9 29:4 51:21 52:9,10 59:18 68:3 69:12 71:7 72:4,8,14,19 74:3 91:1,6,8,9,12 97:15,17,20,21 98:4 99:2,5,10,10,18,18 99:22 100:5 120:8 165:21 166:2 170:8 170:12 176:9,11 182:12,17 185:2,19 187:15 188:3,8 198:6 267:17 digitally 4:13 95:18 99:9 digitization 99:1,12 digitize 97:16 98:3 **digitizing** 97:11,13 **DiMA 3:17** diminishes 216:20 dire 76:20 165:14 direct 6:2 7:11 18:8,10 27:1 56:3 75:6 88:6 88:16 130:9 145:13 167:3 directed 134:20 direction 76:5 134:7 directly 60:7 123:5

134:5 158:16 167:1 177:15,16 184:11 190:8 197:15 204:9 227:2 281:3 director 134:6 216:13 directors 144:1 146:1 disadvantage 78:14 disadvantages 152:15 disaggregate 189:2 disagree 48:13 49:16 100:12 103:1 116:11 216:11 disappear 73:13 95:11 98:11 99:13 100:8 disappearance 95:13 96:8 disappeared 67:13 disclosed 232:5 Discombobulated 4:13 discount 116:6 117:9 discovery 223:19 discuss 34:19 40:9 50:14 75:9 144:1 discussed 64:17 71:15 88:17 100:21 discussion 16:16 273:11 discussions 117:22 144:5 152:5 disk 42:21 71:7 72:4,8 72:14,20 94:12,13 103:19 disks 97:6 dispute 87:18,20 207:21 272:12 275:14 disputed 269:3 disputing 195:2,4 disseminated 40:16 distinction 19:8 28:7 63:13 168:14 174:21 distinctions 60:10 distinguish 105:5 distinguished 276:16 distribute 29:2,8 49:7 distributed 40:19 **distributing** 34:11 97:1 distribution 8:12 9:16 28:19 29:12,18 34:9 39:9 40:20 51:9 57:3 57:20 60:17 70:18 73:8 94:3,5,18 95:2 96:10,19 97:6 98:9 98:12,20 99:2,4,5,9 division 44:10 45:3 64:18 133:5 202:9 divisions 39:6,8,13 dleary@npr.org 2:18 Docket 1:7 document 54:16 75:11

75:18 76:1,22 77:22

79:17 80:4 81:1 83:3 100:19 220:12,21 221:7,19 222:9,19 224:7 227:9 228:5,19 229:6,14,21 231:19 232:13 233:20 238:8 241:17 242:18 248:8 248:12 250:12,15 251:7 252:2,5,8 263:12 264:13 265:11 280:6,10 281:5,7 284:4,10 285:15 documentation 221:13 227:4 235:11 documents 30:17 78:22 105:19 220:8,18 221:1 222:3 223:15 224:1,2 225:2 227:3 237:7,11 239:15 241:19 250:9 251:13 251:18 254:5,14 255:7 260:17 262:15 266:7 279:18,22 280:16 281:18 282:18 dog 192:12,13 193:11 dogs 192:8,8,11 doing 38:3 180:17 207:14 210:12 211:17 213:3 229:19 233:2 247:8 283:22 dollar 44:5 45:4 103:2 227:19 228:20 255:16 256:7 dollars 19:4,6 20:6 25:22 28:3,6 29:15 29:17 44:4 47:8 48:4 48:11 49:14 64:20 67:9 102:9,13,22 105:15 210:18,19 213:11,17 256:21 Don 226:20,22 227:1 229:7 264:12 done 115:15,17 121:21 125:3 161:9 194:22 200:7 286:9 Dons 229:5 double 31:17 62:1 doubled 28:12 down 19:11 30:12,14 31:3,10,16 48:22 49:1 55:8 103:11 108:3 192:4 249:7 download 52:4 71:7 72:4,8,14,19 74:17 97:22 downloads 85:22 86:8 87:8,11 88:12 91:8

91:12 97:15 98:5

99:10 122:12

downward 67:8 Dr 214:10 220:2 277:8 dramatically 187:9 draw 16:17 19:8 25:16 51:2 81:10,18,21 117:8 169:22 172:17 174:20 257:10 258:13 drawing 258:12 289:1 drawn 258:8 drive 136:4 driven 16:10 driver's 149:9 drives 203:19 260:19 261:4,19 **DTRA** 1:8 due 63:6 65:20 66:17 103:7 duly 7:8 130:6 **DUMAS-EYMARD** 2:22 duration 288:16 during 22:20 55:11 88:16 220:9 223:19 252:15 duties 9:19 90:4 118:2 131:18 **DVD** 234:14 **DVDs** 85:22 86:8 87:7 87:10 88:11 97:7 122:12 dynamics 186:17,19 **D.C** 1:2,14 2:7,12,24 4:3 5:2 E each 30:7 82:5,14 135:19 178:4,6 181:5

181:10 191:15 193:1 202:3 205:3,5 231:8 255:7 260:10,12 275:19,20 282:22,22 289:14 earlier 13:16 51:19 58:4 60:9 83:1 100:21 112:3 137:20 147:13 209:19 229:3 258:9 earliest 245:13 earn 277:17 earned 123:12 earning 15:5 earnings 14:6,18 15:1 101:2 earns 213:8 ears 69:7 easier 23:16 East 3:7 134:18 eating 192:15 Eaton 3:13 **EBIT** 31:5,8 100:22

102:7.8 economic 152:21 economical 155:15 educational 4:14 8:3 effect 116:7 167:7 184:13 194:12,21 199:5 267:21 270:11 272:9 effected 271:6 effectively 278:17 effort 72:3 efforts 25:4 74:6 123:10,11 285:20 Eisenberg 290:19,21 either 72:19 76:4 92:13 95:16 155:13 elaborate 32:2 269:5 elaboration 21:18 elements 134:4 elicit 178:2 else's 210:8 email 256:4,17 258:15 262:5 285:7 emerging 163:5 emphasized 117:17 employ 247:20 256:3 278:20 employed 7:17,18 130:20 132:19 278:22 employee 256:1 261:10 employees 88:18 employing 89:14 employment 8:20 250:3 employs 278:4 encompasses 36:12 103:18 283:2.5 encountered 139:20 195:11 end 10:22 33:5 84:3 136:10 147:10 162:18 168:22 176:22 184:20 249:2 Enders 59:16 ends 129:14 290:12 engage 17:21 64:9 131:13,19 176:8 engaged 132:8 engaging 116:20 enjoying 68:1 enjoys 56:13 162:13 enough 265:18 ensuing 181:1 ensure 279:1 287:20 ensuring 232:21 entering 145:10 **Enterprises 281:2** entertainment 8:16,18

9:2,8 26:8 34:21 89:5

Entertainment's 35:9

enthusiasm 114:12 entire 133:8 169:16 199:6 entirely 148:22 150:17 entirety 170:10,22 172:12 185:17 201:10 218:21 entitled 134:18 248:14 entity 151:6 entry 103:13 105:20 238:18 enumerable 275:20 Ephemeral 1:7,8 episode 147:4 equal 81:10 257:3 259:4 equated 108:21 equation 271:11 equipment 18:13 **ESQ** 2:2,3,4,4,5,9,16 2:21,22,22 3:5,12,12 3:18,19 4:1,5,8,9,15 4:23,23,24,25,25 5:1 5:16 essence 228:13 essentially 22:12 30:12 39:3 84:10 estate 146:22 estimate 107:15 et 137:2 201:21 273:8 evaluate 20:20 111:12 evaluates 191:20 193:2 evaluating 193:6 even 26:3,7 52:15 71:21 73:8 80:20 99:21 100:7 147:6 165:7 173:20 175:7 178:10 180:12 183:12 189:16 190:3 192:6 205:22 211:17 258:4,14 274:21 278:10 eventually 9:9 10:5 114:1 ever 52:1 65:12 70:22 80:4 118:12 121:12 137:14 190:11 every 148:2,4 190:13 225:20 247:13 283:2 288:4 everyday 73:20 everyone 114:14 evidence 76:8 83:8 144:22 195:6 197:7 197:11 198:14 224:17 225:7 242:12 243:1 253:22 254:10 268:22 269:3 270:3 271:21 281:11 282:1 exact 21:14 25:15 70:10

exactly 125:16 174:20 examination 7:11 33:12 108:8 120:22 122:7 125:15 130:9 164:16 202:14 286:21 examine 8:6 173:2 examined 7:9 130:7 example 22:9 26:11 37:22 47:12,17 77:10 78:2,8 79:1,16 80:16 104:1 109:14 112:16 123:3,13 139:11 150:6 156:20 162:10 167:2 177:9 190:12 191:22 202:10 212:12 214:1 262:6 262:12 267:4 274:12 274:14 277:3 285:18 289:4 examples 205:21 exceed 44:2 46:17 except 143:12 exceptions 188:9 excluded 214:13.18.21 277:9 exclusive 18:9 Exclusively 131:17 Excuse 109:19 252:20 253:12 263:22 excused 129:17 290:15 executive 7:21 8:9 10:5 27:12 36:2 88:20 exhibit 21:14,15,21,22 22:1,5 30:6,7,9,13 31:6,7,12 32:8 53:18 54:15,19 75:14,18 76:8,10 80:9 82:14 83:2,6,13,19 84:2,15 84:18 85:17,21 86:15 87:2,3 100:18 101:10 101:12 102:6,8,9 103:11 109:3 220:15 220:22 221:5,10,18 221:22 222:2,12,14 222:22 224:11 226:11 227:14 231:11,14 232:13 233:16 235:9 237:6 238:5 241:16 242:12 242:14,21 245:9 248:3,3 250:12,18,20 251:10,12 252:2,11 252:19 253:17 255:8 260:16,17 262:15 263:14 264:9 279:19 280:6,9 281:5,6,10 281:13 285:15 exhibited 234:7 exhibits 6:8 30:5

100:17 108:19

223:15 224:17,19 225:5 251:21 253:22 254:8 280:3 281:16 281:21 existence 216:16 241:20 existing 140:14 exists 182:11 exits 268:17 **expand** 143:1 expanded 83:13 expanding 35:3 **expect** 235:2 expected 290:17 expended 25:17 84:22 expenditure 19:5 26:9 47:6 48:4 67:2,6 77:13 78:2 88:2 expenditures 18:11.21 19:10.14 49:15 79:20 122:21 expends 68:22 expense 19:10 20:7,18 24:3 67:3 83:14 96:22 expenses 18:7 19:17.22 20:4 23:3 24:14 26:8 29:18 38:21,22 70:12 70:15,19,21 71:20 72:2,7 74:13 75:10 77:6 87:12 88:5 89:5 122:10,16 123:19 124:13 expensive 146:12 **experience** 8:16 16:12 121:4,9,14 154:19 165:2 174:17 175:13 175:15 177:16 192:15 194:11 200:17 238:20,22 258:9 experienced 193:3 194:8,17 195:7 expert 144:15 173:15 175:4 176:17.17 214:10 265:16 expertise 173:4 174:17 **expired** 254:22 explain 43:18 60:6 133:21 153:16 233:12 explained 22:19 exploit 63:4 98:4 exploited 58:12 express 144:16 165:5 169:11,12 171:8 174:8,11 176:9 183:6 186:3 189:18 200:22 202:6 expresses 168:5

expressing 166:1 169:9

175:3 181:21 182:21 183:2 189:14 271:11 expression 172:14 175:10,12,13 expressly 21:16 extend 44:19 extent 14:9 25:9 41:11 47:14 54:2 65:21 66:9 80:10 external 103:12,17 105:16 extract 162:22 extreme 95:15 extremely 202:20 Eye 2:23 4:2 eves 69:7 E-B-I-T 100:22 F facet 283:2

facility 27:17 fact 15:12 19:22 20:11 37:13 49:13 58:20 59:3 67:5 70:17 91:22 109:2 114:16 116:19 124:17 126:22 127:15 128:2 161:12 169:11 174:10 175:4 176:14 178:6 179:1,5 181:22 183:4 189:16 196:7 196:14 202:4 204:13 205:18 206:5 207:7 208:20 209:3 211:9 214:8,16,20 226:5 239:15 240:17,18 244:5 259:3 267:9 269:4 271:4,18 272:19 274:21 277:7 factor 117:5 208:9 factors 116:2 136:18 163:9 208:11,20 facts 193:10 239:18 268:22 271:22 283:19 factual 267:17 failing 206:18 failure 271:18 fair 15:8 109:13 137:4 137:7 228:10,18 229:13 249:11 265:18 fairly 264:20 284:7 288:22 falls 64:5 familiar 40:1 141:16 194:7 211:9 213:6 216:22 267:9 famous 187:20 fans 187:19 far 45:16 177:19 201:5

226:4 258:15 favor 116:18 favored 230:16 231:21 239:2 240:4 241:2,4 241:12,13 247:20 287:11 feature 134:19 features 272:14 February 132:22 fee 136:5 142:8 147:16 147:19 162:18 164:1 164:1 213:20 229:22 230:18 231:20 232:15,20 236:18 238:7 239:16,16,21 240:10,20 241:1,2,3 243:21 245:22 247:1 247:9,17,19,22 248:10 257:3.15 259:4 260:12 261:21 262:3.8 276:13 277:1 278:13.18.20 feel 106:15 175:2 181:11 218:3,4 223:6 245:2.4 feeling 213:1 fees 18:14 135:19 159:18 211:15 212:4 217:9 218:17 239:12 275:16 276:12 few 10:1 126:11 166:1 188:8 206:11 220:10 225:15 237:3 250:6 264:10 266:7,20 field 8:16 Fielding 5:1 Fifth 4:10 5:19 Fifty/fifty 159:22 figure 19:20 23:19 24:1 28:10 29:19,20 69:9 126:15 128:1,7,16 238:7 239:11,11 255:16 256:7 257:19 274:6 287:13 figures 18:18 19:9 21:14 24:10 25:16 29:9 81:6 file 256:2 files 242:2,7 filling 228:22 film 123:8 131:3,8 132:15,17 134:2,4,17 134:20 136:11 137:1 139:15 147:6 149:8 150:3 155:19 162:3 165:21 170:11 201:21 210:11 212:7 212:21 214:3 215:6 216:17,18 221:12 224:12 227:2 231:13

233:22 234:6 235:19

245:15 266:14 275:17 284:18 285:5 285:17,21 final 28:18 42:15,19 72:22 finally 128:15 finance 8:5 9:21 27:12 34:20 88:20 92:21 financial 7:22 8:11 10:6 35:13 36:3,15 36:17 37:13,17 38:6 38:7,10 39:12 188:6 financially 35:9 38:3 financials 37:16 92:2 find 12:5,6 64:9 126:22 147:5 150:4 247:16 287:5.17 **finding 15:17** finds 205:4 Fine 251:5 260:19 261:5.20 finish 161:21 finished 94:17 161:17 finite 53:2 firm 8:22 228:7 first 1:14 7:8 9:14 16:17 34:1 40:11 61:14 94:4 97:10 98:19 103:13 127:3,8 130:6 153:18 164:13 166:13 167:16 168:20 169:18 173:20 183:17 184:1 184:17,18 185:8 186:8,13 187:13 188:1 189:6,13 192:4 197:9,13,20 198:9,11 198:19 199:9 201:2 201:11,13,19 203:2 219:5 220:21 224:1 226:16 227:13 231:17 237:15 238:8 240:7 248:8 250:11 252:16 255:14 261:13,17,18 262:20 264:10 265:1,3,13,19 266:13 280:14 284:10 fit 146:13 five 21:4 59:1 129:19 136:17 216:15 220:17 223:10 249:15 286:14 fixed 33:2,3,4 flat 192:14 flip 31:12 flow 65:21 112:19 279:9 flowing 59:13 66:7 fluctuate 212:4 fluctuates 160:7 frequent 284:7

flurry 111:19 focus 35:20 59:4 90:22 91:6 172:16 focused 9:1 71:6 91:5 191:13 focusing 8:4 173:18 follow 13:22 172:10 218:10 following 125:14 184:18 194:7 198:22 200:20 follows 7:10 14:2 130:8 foot 106:7 forefront 217:13,16 foregoing 245:14 foreign 145:1 234:8,8 form 23:3,20 112:19 263:14.18 formal 263:13.21 format 234:17 former 256:1 261:10 forms 99:5.8 234:11 Fort 245:21 246:16 forth 79:22 93:10 140:9 203:10 227:21 228:11 229:18 230:6 239:17 256:8 259:3 260:8,9 **forthcoming** 280:20,20 forwarded 245:20 246:16 foundation 4:14 142:17 151:22 152:8 154:12 154:18 155:12 156:7 157:13,14 159:1 160:16 161:11 165:13 167:5,14 168:1,4,7,8,17 169:6 169:8,10,14 170:3,14 172:19 175:2,9 176:5 176:6,13,17 180:7 181:3,19 182:15 183:12 185:7,13 186:22 187:21 188:10.20 190:20 193:5 195:5,10,15 198:1 199:2,20 200:21 202:5,20 203:8 208:14 four 39:6 84:1 122:11 122:18 126:15 255:15 256:7,20 fourth 188:15 fraction 70:2 110:3 frame 254:15 Frank 217:20 218:13 frankly 147:10 174:8 free 174:14 202:16 FREEDMAN 2:4 frequency 250:3

frequently 37:9 139:4 Freundlich 3:5,6 friend's 142:4 Fringe 89:3 from 9:6,7 13:13 14:9 15:2.2.2 16:12 21:5 23:12 24:8 25:4,11 25:12 30:11,20 32:6 35:16 41:12 42:1 45:2,9,13 46:16,18 48:17,21 52:22 53:4 54:8,8,11 58:15,16 58:18 59:9,13 61:18 62:6,14,20 64:12 65:20,21 66:12,12 68:2,3,3,4,15 69:3 70:4 72:17,19 73:22 73:22 77:2,15 78:5 78:21 80:4 81:22.22 85:7 99:18 103:2,4,6 103:18 104:4-8-9.11 105:8.9.11.18 106:6 111:10.16.18 112:19 119:10 120:4.7 123:13.16 124:4 126:8 137:2 138:3 144:5,16 149:20 151:15 154:18 155:19 157:12 158:9 166:11 170:7 178:8 178:16 179:3 180:17 185:19 189:2 190:14 195:14 200:6 202:9 202:17,19 204:9 206:6 207:1,7,13 208:1,10 214:13,18 214:22 215:7,13 216:6 218:11 220:8 223:11 224:3,7,12,15 229:7,13 230:4 236:1 239:14 240:16,18 242:7 246:1,7,17 254:15 255:15 256:10,11,17 257:17 258:8,13,21 259:2,12 262:2,13 276:16 279:10 283:6,22 290:6,11 front 54:10 68:21 71:17 75:16 85:8 95:5 130:15 220:18 240:2 fruition 207:20 208:10 full 40:11 147:5 166:21 184:9 187:13 197:20 201:2,19 266:13 fully 12:2 75:4 function 16:22 17:22 276:5 functionality 272:11 fund 116:9

fundamental 56:4 fundamentally 50:5 funds 110:12,13 further 19:11 33:22 119:18 122:4 125:21 126:1 258:2 268:15 286:6 future 15:6 52:21 53:11 58:17 59:10,13 59:21 63:13 68:13,17 99:3,7,16 119:21 120:2,18 121:5,10,15 121:18 226:5 futurologist 120:15 FYC 251:5 G g 4:8.23 239:7 Gabriel 156:22 157:9 162:11 game 132:17 196:10 274:1.5.9 games 131:9 garner 58:14.16 59:9 72:16 99:18 garnering 52:22 **GARY 2:9** gather 210:6 270:20 275:9 gave 130:17 212:12 214:1 257:9 259:20 259:21 271:7 286:11 Gave 248:15 251:16 253:8 262:17 Gayle 3:19 33:16 gems 111:17 general 2:10 50:15 61:17 66:6 90:13,20 105:21 213:10 275:15 279:11 283:14 generally 11:20 12:13 13:21 14:13 17:11,16 20:18 24:13 32:21 50:14 57:8 60:21 71:22 95:3 114:14 123:20 135:14 146:9 147:2 150:15 151:2 154:7 155:16,22 159:22 162:4 167:12 189:12 204:12 210:2 213:6 223:15 276:8 284:3 288:8 generated 85:4 generating 273:7 generation 272:10 genre 150:2 236:8,10 gentleman 160:22 genuine 213:1

German 38:1

Germany 35:4

gets 95:16 115:6 137:1 142:9 153:8 154:10 155:7 208:1 233:4 235:4 240:20 241:6 249:22 252:4 276:4.5 276:12,13 getting 24:10 52:6 114:17 151:20 154:14 203:12.21 235:3 239:7 274:3 275:1 276:22 279:7 287:19 Girl 222:17 237:9 238:1 gist 215:20 give 22:7 47:4 70:1 82:10 156:20 210:3 212:1 239:8 251:15 259:17 262:17 given 16:2 74:4 104:5 178:1 217:21 226:2 237:13 255:9 256:21 257:11 262:10 267:21 274:7 gives 153:20 228:12 255:2,16 giving 25:15 74:10 112:15 274:14 Glad 108:10 Glitters 222:15 237:10 Global 79:18 go 9:5 14:21 16:6 28:7 33:20 46:1 56:14 61:14 73:16 74:7 75:7 78:9,11 79:16 88:3 90:17 99:13 103:11 109:9 114:18 128:1,6,16 134:4 135:15 146:9 150:3 151:17 164:10 166:4 170:14 178:7 192:22 197:10,18 198:13 219:2 220:7 222:2 226:10 229:20 266:5 266:11 286:20 goal 150:22 goals 163:2 201:15 203:13 284:15 goes 22:13 69:8,17 70:2 80:1 104:6 106:12 158:9,16,19 170:8 178:19 197:7 198:7 going 16:8,9 18:4 33:20 58:16 59:9,19 63:20 69:10,11,12,13,14,15 69:18,20,22 70:4 71:1 72:11 78:12 87:2 90:8 110:14 111:5 112:1,2 114:1 114:9 120:8 121:5,10 121:15 142:16

221:9.21 222:11.21 163:11 139:4 148:9 162:5 143:16 144:9 147:11 75:22 77:20 78:21 225:4 242:20 250:10 hits 139:21 140:1 95:14 115:2,8 120:12 218:19 228:11 148:15 154:14 240:21.22 247:13 holder 276:4,11,13 250:17 251:9.20 165:12 171:16 121:17 132:2 193:16 252:10 254:7 280:2 276:22 Hollywood 151:7 189:10 196:18 219:3 221:4,14 281:20 226:14 227:7 229:17 home 216:14 234:9,12 208:13-218:8,15 happy 76:18 hard 45:7 148:10,11 identified 171:19 253:7 234:14.18 220:17.21 223:3 231:13 237:4 Honor 7:4 21:8,13 22:1 258:18,22 261:8 166:14.16 184:5 230:18 232:21 246:7 guessing 234:13 Harvard 3:10 22:8 33:7,11 60:4 263:10 247:1,17,22 248:4 gut 106:15 having 7:8 33:5 64:10 70:10,14 76:11,19 identify 70:6 74:19 249:7 256:22 258:1 Н 130:6 158:21 189:1 82:16 83:10 86:9 75:18 99:11 130:16 268:1 274:11 278:13 habits 240:12 190:21 193:3 194:7 98:15 108:1 121:20 171:13,13 181:8 283:20 284:5 half 44:8 47:14 48:1 195:6 204:15 216:5 122:2,5 124:6 125:12 183:1 224:2 241:17 golf 196:4,6,10,15,19 267:12.20 229:20 290:17 125:16,22 126:3,6 252:17 253:17 265:8 golfer 196:9 Halfway 49:1 head 69:9 70:3 125:5 140:17 142:20 145:4 280:15 gone 189:8 hallows 242:2 heads 208:22 152:2 154:11 155:8 illegal 52:3 good 33:14,15 107:15 129:22 196:7 223:6 hallway 268:14 health 9:1 157:6,11,18 158:3,8 image 122:22 imagination 226:4 245:2,4 hamburger 192:9,13 hear 80:8 108:1 141:14 158:13 160:11 161:2 Goodbye 223:5,11 193:14 146:9 195:3 246:1,17 164:7 165:11 174:15 248:5 265:17 imagine 210:16 213:4 224:13 hamburgers 192:11,16 287:4 177:4 180:9 189:5 215:15 goods 94:17 hand 55:5,8 207:14 heard 108:6 144:16 191:11 195:21 203:2 175:22 182:19 imagining 24:19 Gothica 222:6 235:15 277:17 288:22 224:20 225:8 233:16 impact 77:15 169:20 handed 250:9 248:14 289:19 234:21 242:15 243:6 Gotshal 3:19 4:1,5,9 Handoz 189:4 hearing 1:19 141:13 243:15.20 244:3.8 185:11 186:16 194:2 5:17 imperative 245:17 handwriting 226:17,20 253:2 259:19 269:1 Gotta 251:15 262:17 291:6 hearsay 144:9,12 important 187:8 214:3 gotten 220:8 232:4 229:7 230:6.11 279:15 281:14 282:3 236:17 238:10,15 heart 191:15 282:13 286:7,11,15 Imported 4:13 grant 154:1 171:2 248:7 249:6,14 held 9:12 289:16 290:4,9,16 inappropriate 183:13 235:3,5,7 granted 82:21 199:3,15 262:20 263:4,5 265:2 help 43:14 63:16 **HONORABLE 1:23** 202:21 265:4,7,9,16 126:10 196:18 1:23,24 Inc 2:14,20 3:5,10,11 226:7 255:4 282:15 handwritten 237:14 Honors 187:12 3:17 4:13,14,21 9:3,9 Grapevine 248:15 helped 35:4 264:11 helping 116:8 hope 16:10 100:8 incentive 155:11 158:6 graph 23:10 Handzo 2:2 129:22 helps 141:11 122:22 158:16 159:13 188:7 graphic 23:20 great 69:12,13 255:2 130:10 138:9 140:8 her 216:18 257:9,11,14 hopefully 72:11 74:14 278:12 279:5 140:16,19 141:10,15 257:15,15 259:21 74:16 99:17,17 incentives 154:9,17,21 266:10 greater 74:4 101:15,17 142:18,19 143:11,12 260:1,2,2 283:19,20 hopes 13:17 74:17 155:6 157:9,20 greatly 40:15 41:2,16 143:20,21 145:19 high 102:21 158:7 hoping 100:1 158:22 161:5 202:15 41:19 45:5 160:3 148:17 152:1,2,12 162:2 218:18 host 12:21 13:16 15:15 incidental 18:14 89:14 216:20 155:3 156:11 157:10 higher 32:4 44:3 103:6 24:22 28:15 47:11 95:5 123:9 **GREENSTEIN 2:9,21** 157:11,18 158:2,5,8 108:15 125:1 160:8 52:22 54:9 68:5 include 59:3 73:1 88:22 greenstein@soundex... 159:7 161:1,2,16 162:4 239:11 240:8,9 100:5,5 100:4 104:22 124:1 2:13 164:6 165:7,15 241:2,6 278:18 hot 192:7,8,11,12,13 132:4 185:1 220:4 gross 19:4,9,12,14 168:10 170:14 177:3 highest 163:1 211:2 193:10 277:5 267:3 177:4 189:5 195:20 highly 77:4 78:6 house 14:20,20,22 17:9 included 17:10 27:6,15 30:11 grounds 142:17 154:12 80:9.15 83:15 124:12 196:3 203:1,2 208:3 111:21 149:19 Hills 3:8 155:9 197:6,10,18 209:14,15 224:20 houses 151:6 198:21 210:22 housing 13:8 89:14 him 60:5 125:16 145:15 includes 38:11 39:15 198:13 201:9 225:8 226:8 242:15 151:18 152:3 160:15 88:18 103:21 104:11 group 7:19 8:1,10,21 243:2.6 254:1.11 Houston 3:2 9:4,10,15,18 10:2,11 258:1,11 268:1 269:1 160:16 162:15 huge 186:16 168:1 263:13 including 17:20 132:10 168:10 171:2 178:7 hundred 138:6,7 10:19 18:1 35:21 269:4 271:8 281:14 162:3 176:18 233:13 36:3,7,11,22 79:1 282:2,11,12 286:5,7 178:11,22 194:12 210:18 hundreds 19:3 25:22 234:9 285:8 286:10 290:2,3,16,22 226:20 258:10,12,17 89:18 90:10 107:6,7 happen 45:9 114:10 258:20 264:21 28:3 29:14 67:8 inclusive 241:21 110:22 130:21 132:7 income 14:1 20:13 24:6 133:10 137:21 202:8 139:17 140:4 240:16 269:16 270:10 hype 163:18 hypothetical 67:18 25:10,12 30:10,13 241:14,14 250:4 himself 136:2,4 205:13 224:3,8 236:5 32:8,13 39:4 53:9,19 hire 118:21 134:5 125:12 283:16 284:5 236:7 241:19,21 happened 137:14 142:4 211:7 53:21 54:1,8 58:15 242:3,4,8 255:10 139:11 162:19,20 hired 257:12 58:16 66:14 70:13,16 256:2 267:5,13 194:3 235:17 239:15 historical 14:18 15:5 ID 6:8 70:17 71:3 101:10,11 270:22 272:12 281:2 idea 79:4 213:10 282:19 284:15 287:7 240:14 246:5 252:18 21:1 30:9 113:9 103:13,17 105:15 identification 54:18 groups 34:5,14 happening 110:16,17 history 14:6 128:9 155:19 happens 64:15 138:22 hit 16:10 135:22 136:3 75:13 83:5 220:14 inconsistent 46:15 48:9 guess 57:6 63:8 67:17

49:13 incorporated 21:15 increase 115:19 261:21 increasing 59:18 incur 24:14 29:1 46:4,7 46:13,22,22 47:21 48:7,11,18,20 67:14 73:13 99:20 124:18 incurred 12:21 15:16 15:21 17:6,13 19:4,5 19:7 20:7 23:18 24:3 25:1 26:5,6,16 28:4 28:16 29:15,22 30:1 30:3 32:11 70:7,8 71:20 72:2,22 73:7 73:17 74:13 88:5 94:17 96:22 99:6 123:9 **Independence** 1:14 independent 134:17 indicated 133:17 137:20 147:13 159:10,17 203:3 indicates 238:8 indifferent 202:1 individual 77:5 135:20 175:17 178:1,3,5,13 178:14,15 190:1,6 individually 178:13 individuals 118:22 industries 114:3 industry 9:12 26:12 59:16 108:16 109:5,7 109:18,20,21 110:5 113:18,19 114:22 115:1 116:4,7 120:5 125:1 industry's 115:18 116:9 infer 193:14 238:20 239:14 240:11,14 256:10 257:2,17 258:17,21 259:2,12 260:7,14 inference 193:9 226:19 229:6,13 256:15 257:10 259:20 inferences 258:8,12 inferring 239:3 inflate 188:7 influences 153:14 **information** 37:17 38:8 38:10,17,19 75:21 77:1,5,9,18 78:5 79:8 79:14 80:3 82:6,10 199:11 243:19 259:22 272:9 287:9 informed 239:6 initial 184:4 206:19 207:6 209:21 239:16 initially 179:2 239:12

262:7 **initials** 262:22 insist 233:9 239:2 insists 157:1 insofar 166:4 182:5 instance 148:2,4 214:2 226:3 instances 165:14 182:22 206:9,16,22 207:5 209:9 210:6 instead 216:5 253:8 instructed 108:5 int 204:9 **intended** 234:12 intends 282:6 intent 218:18 interacted 151:19 interactive 267:18 Intercollegiate 3:10 interest 101:3 internal 108:20 282:4 284:3 international 4:20 35:3 36:22 50:3 internet 73:20,22 74:3 107:9 118:22 interpretation 233:22 interrupted 82:22 **introduced** 118:13,16 119:13,16 introductory 191:22 inventory 29:16 invest 14:16 20:21 44:1 57:10 58:10 59:4 60:21 64:12 investing 110:4 investment 11:17 12:9 12:17 13:17 14:3 17:4 20:1 24:18 30:22 31:11,22 32:5 32:18 46:9 49:2 50:12 55:14 56:4 57:15 60:22 64:5 65:1 69:19 74:18 77:12 100:3 101:15 102:20 103:5 108:14 108:16,21 110:3,11 111:3,6 112:4 116:16 **investments** 11:21 57:2 57:4,7,19,21 59:2,5,8 60:16,18 61:13 63:22 65:3,4,7,15 68:12 71:16 100:9 invests 42:14 58:7 invoice 262:2,4 involved 8:17 26:20 133:1,21 168:15 197:15 208:20 211:4 258:22 261:15 involves 62:15 198:3

223:5

irrelevant 287:18
isolated 112:16
issue 13:9 161:22 162:8
177:2 183:20 206:11
issued 164:21 235:12
237:8,12
issues 202:21 205:13
item 24:6 65:17 105:2
117:6
items 66:18 83:14
205:3,5
I-N-D-E-X 6:1
I-tunes 283:9 285:8
i.e 170:3

J 1:23 2:4 5:16 Jaffe 214:10 277:8 Jaffe's 220:2 James 1:23 6:10,12,15 221:2,15 223:6 226:13 227:5 231:12 244:15,20 245:2 January 280:7 Japan 35:3,10 Japanese 38:2 JARED 2:4 Jarnagin 256:13,18 jazz 150:12,12 **JCP** 251:5 Jenner 2:5 jingles 149:20 Joaquin 139:14 212:14 213:7,18 job 89:19 90:2,4,20 91:3,5 111:11 118:2 118:14 119:4 143:5 218:6 iobs 34:17 90:10 106:9 Joe 119:17 John 238:22 239:5 **Johnny** 139:13,15 212:13,15 214:4 iointed 34:1 **JOSEPH 4:23** JR 1:23 judge 1:23,23,24 21:12 21:20 22:2,6,15,22 33:8 42:3,8 60:3,8 62:10 63:12 70:11 71:3,10 76:9,16 77:16 78:15,19 79:11 80:7 81:2,13,18 82:12,17,20 96:16 98:16 100:10 101:21 102:4 107:14,19 108:2 119:8 121:22 122:3 124:7 125:13 125:18 126:1,5,7,9 126:14,21 127:7,10

127:14,19 128:6,12

129:11,13,18 138:5,8 140:7 141:12 142:18 143:3,10,18 144:11 144:19 145:6,18 148:13 152:1,9 154:20 155:14 156:3 156:8 157:10,15 158:1,4,11,12,18 159:3 160:19 161:1 161:15 164:8,15 166:6,9 167:17,22 168:18 170:17,20 171:4,10,12,18,18 172:1,4 173:3,19 174:2,5 175:11,16,21 176:18,21 177:3 179:7 180:3,15 181:4 181:15 182:2,18 183:5,8,15,21 185:22 189:4 191:1,6,8,9 193:8,16 195:1,16,18 196:1,20 197:2 203:1 204:18 205:1 208:5 209:14,17 224:18,21 225:14,18 233:11,17 234:2,10,15,19,22 242:13,16 243:5,9,12 243:16,22 244:4,9,11 246:2,6,10 252:18,21 253:5,10 254:2,18,21 258:16 259:7,16 268:13,19 269:2,22 270:12 271:13,20 279:13 281:12,15 282:10,14 284:5 286:5,8,13,16,19 289:18 290:2,5,10,20 291:1 judges 135:14 144:21 149:15 judgment 194:14 judgments 194:13 just 11:5,19 13:7 14:12 17:17 18:18,20 21:17 21:19,19 22:7 24:9 25:13 26:18 27:19 30:4 31:2 32:2,6 33:22 35:15 41:3,16 45:20 53:6,17 56:6 66:6 81:22 87:21 92:8 98:22 101:19,21 102:3 103:21 112:15 120:11 121:13 125:14 126:10 127:21 135:2 136:9 139:6,10 143:5 146:18,20 155:8 170:18 171:4,12,19 173:9,11 175:1

176:15 177:5 179:1

128:15 129:1,4,7,9,9

180:22 183:22 189:12 191:15 193:4 195:10,21 201:12 203:14 207:11 208:3 210:1 220:19 223:12 225:15 237:3 242:1 253:10 255:6 258:1 263:3 269:4,13,15,19 275:1,10 279:17 288:3,5 289:3 ustify 100:3

justify 100:3 K **K** 3:12 4:16 5:2 Karen 261:9,10 **KARYN** 4:23 keep 75:10 77:2 106:4 180:17 Keeping 45:22 Kennedy 230:3 232:19 Kennedy's 229:7 **KENNETH** 3:5,18 kenneth.steinthal@... 3:22 kept 109:15 kfreundlich@earthli... kind 79:8 112:2 144:6 145:14,16 190:19 194:21 213:7 237:2 237:16 263:9 kinds 11:17 12:14 18:21 24:10 135:14 156:14 194:13.16 264:6 277:9 Kingdom 37:14 Kirby 4:25 6:5,7 107:22 108:1,2,4,9 108:10 119:14 121:20 124:15 125:15 126:5,6 145:4 145:7 148:13,14 191:10,11 193:8,12 193:19 195:4 286:14 286:16,18,22 287:1 289:16,18 knew 16:6 78:7 267:17 know 12:17 13:2 15:16 15:19 16:9,11 18:13 19:15 26:14 29:4 31:10,18 32:6 37:22 45:6 52:21 54:7 56:5

56:6,11 57:12 59:15

70:1 73:17 74:11,17

61:2 66:12 67:12

68:13,14 69:3,16

75:7 77:14,17,21

78:9,10,15,18,20 80:4 82:4,13 88:10

89:21 90:4,19,22

91:2 93:10 97:19

limit 47:19 196:16 licensed 35:10 56:10 98:1,1,21 99:3 188:10,20 200:21 147:11 151:17 176:7 123:5 137:15 149:21 243:7 102:20.104:5 107:2 202:5 201:12 211:3 219:2,3 limited 218:8 111:16 113:8 114:2,4 lacks 187:7 224:16 231:10 237:5 186:10 200:4,6 limits 43:22 44:2 laid 27:8 152:8 228:9 250:5,8 272:5 276:9 231:12 114:5 117:13,22 licenses 53:16 55:17,18 line 22:9,11 55:7,8 118:2,20 123:10 language 233:14 278:10 279:13 57:5 131:7 133:13 60:14,15 65:17 82:14 letter 245:12 246:9 141:10 142:21 234:17,20 263:21 83:14 90:7,8 100:21 let's 13:9 16:15 24:8 138:2,11 140:10 144:18 148:2,5 151:2 264:5 103:11 105:1 139:13 145:21 162:3 164:21 172:7,22 173:4 Lapinski 239:5 31:1 48:22 88:14 96:10 119:15 138:13 173:16 178:1,4 187:5 166:10 215:17 177:14 178:12,17,18 large 42:14 43:6 188:3 190:1 197:17 235:22 255:15 258:2 178:19 184:22 190:1 155:17 187:6,7 153:17 166:12 171:15 226:10 235:9 205:14 214:10 283:14 190:10,12,15,15,16 larger 80:20 84:17 lines 14:9 32:9 54:22 219:10,11,16,17 102:9 157:1 190:17,21 196:12,16 260:16 86:19 87:22 223:3 224:4 225:11 level 10:2 40:13 41:2 196:17 203:19 204:4 LARSON 4:5 last 10:22 21:4,9 43:2 44:3,5 69:15,19 75:2 250:7 253:19 261:19 line-by-line 189:8 204:8 207:3 208:4 263:16 264:6 275:18 lip-synching 213:2 209:9 211:14 213:19 46:1 63:21 64:3 77:11,12 106:7,10 Lisa 280:7 281:6 282:8 288:22 217:10 218:12 222:6 119:9 127:8 134:21 114:12 155:12 levels 11:17 92:9 283:17 284:9,17 166:1 185:15 187:2 licensing 35:16 54:1,2 226:16 232:17 187:12 195:21 56:3 61:16,19 62:1,3 list 97:10 156:18 284:2 114:21 234:10 235:16 197:21 198:16,17,22 237:12 238:15 240:6 leverage 217:5 218:3 62:9,9 103:12 104:6 listed 87:6 199:4,14 201:17,19 218:12 274:7 104:9,14,16 105:2,3 listened 273:8 243:21 247:22 Levi 252:21 253:7,8 123:13 124:2 131:14 literally 215:12 255:19 256:13 258:5 201:21 223:2,10 229:9 245:11 248:2 131:19 132:9,17 little 7:15 15:6 31:10 258:14 259:8,11,19 262:17 249:9 253:4 255:15 Lexus 264:15 133:2 151:15 154:5 32:2 49:5 61:21 264:19 269:16 272:22 273:3,9 276:7 266:7 285:6 291:2 libraries 99:16 149:13 155:20 165:8,8,20,21 130:14 149:20 159:9 150:2 151:3,12 166:2 168:16,16,22 202:20 211:3 220:20 277:7,11 288:3,5,8 late 216:18 later 10:1 18:5 19:10 169:20 170:4,11,12 258:15 190:15 289:1,20 243:13 library 1:2,13 139:2 172:16,18 174:13,18 Live365 3:17 knowing 260:6 273:22 knowledge 62:2 91:21 Latino 36:13 149:16,18,19 150:4,7 176:4,8,11 182:7,11 living 143:14 161:3 177:22 185:6 189:19 latter 156:6 185:14 150:21 151:1 191:3 182:16 184:20 185:2 170:1 202:17 203:9 LLC 4:13,13,14,14 190:10 199:16 Launcheast 269:7,9,12 214:21 215:20 185:11 186:21 188:4 276:21,22 277:2 188:8,12,16 197:16 Lloyd 37:6 240:12 269:19 272:5 known 77:2,9 80:18 license 4:19,22 5:15 198:3,5 200:17 **LLP** 3:6,19 4:15 5:17 law 115:8 183:20 113:17 173:13 lawyers 144:20 219:22 6:10,12,13,14,15 201:14 204:17 220:8 LM-414 1:13 56:14 62:7,15,19,19 221:2 222:4,16 227:5 loan 14:22 243:17 264:20 lay 157:14 161:10 knows 114:14 144:18 183:6 189:17 195:9 63:3 66:13 75:1 230:21 231:17 Lobe 281:6 282:8 103:13,17 105:15 233:12 237:7 244:19 283:17 284:9,17 204:11 203:8 Knox 245:21 246:17 115:10 123:7 131:22 248:9,19,20 252:5 local 103:12,12,17 laying 157:13 lead 173:21 176:18 133:5,9 135:4,7,9,10 260:18 264:14 104:6 105:15 142:4 Leading 124:6 137:22 138:15 284:12,18 285:5,17 Loeb 280:7 L 3:18 learn 276:8 287:10,11 140:12 142:8 147:16 285:21 287:6 Logic 3:5 label 9:20 20:7 27:11 287:15,17 147:20,22 148:1 licensure 217:6 long 65:2,9 70:21 45:14 47:17 63:3 153:18 156:17 life 196:5 117:21 118:10 **LEARY 2:16** 67:7 78:12 154:2 least 21:2 37:10 160:9 159:18,20 160:1 light 134:19 148:14 132:19 147:7 192:13 199:6 207:1,8,14 243:18 254:13 166:20 169:3,4 173:5 265:14 278:4 208:2 274:13 281:1 267:10 173:6,8,12,13,14 like 11:15 26:10 30:21 longer 244:10 labeled 84:3 leave 18:18 248:4 174:7,14 175:18 37:12 40:9 43:21 look 15:1 28:10 30:21 labels 8:12 9:15 10:3 leaves 271:10 176:10 178:6,9,17,22 45:20 46:1 55:1 31:1,2 58:21 59:14 10:10 11:1,2,13 19:5 leaving 228:19 179:3 184:9 186:7,15 59:22 61:11 71:13 63:20 67:5 79:3,17 29:22 34:8 36:20 ledger 105:21 186:16 187:14 188:5 75:9 76:7 82:13 81:16,22 83:12 84:13 left 55:7 86:19 96:13 190:2,6,6 198:13 86:19 92:14 93:22 87:22 101:19 102:6 39:8 41:20 43:20 44:1,6,7 65:1,8 74:2 205:18 206:6,10 100:16 122:18 102:19,20 103:10 legal 52:3 79:4,6,10 80:1 86:2 length 147:5 166:21 221:12 225:12 124:18 147:9 150:8 105:21 126:14,21 127:3 168:12 189:22 94:6 97:1 102:15 184:10 226:12 233:22 150:12,16,21 173:9 177:17 180:20 188:4 196:10 223:4 227:13 235:12 236:18 237:8 103:2 126:11,18 less 78:8 82:8 109:19 231:10,16 235:9,20 109:20 110:11 112:5 192:12 199:20 127:2,16,22 237:12 244:15 207:15 209:13 213:9 237:5 238:5 241:15 246:21 255:10 256:7 label's 128:3,8,18 136:10 146:12,12 162:17 233:3 238:7 256:12,20 257:1,19 217:20 218:3 228:13 245:10 248:2,11 208:18 209:11 250:5 253:16 256:16 lack 103:14 156:7 257:21 260:21 261:1 lessor 162:17 264:10,21 likely 67:13,14 117:16 257:2 262:14 264:9 165:12 169:7 176:17 let 65:4 81:13 92:15 261:15 262:16 113:14 120:10 121:8 187:18 264:10 285:14 181:3,19 183:12 263:13 272:15 277:19 287:22 Likewise 108:12 looked 58:22 124:21 139:8 140:16 186:22 187:21

.

material 33:21 54:3 memo 245:16 24:15 40:19 49:6 180:10 181:10 looking 14:17 30:20 68:10,17,18,19 76:13 mention 157:8 182:13 187:17 193:9 50:21 55:13 58:7,8 31:6 109:3 126:17 mentioned 10:7 14:12 59:5,17 61:1,1 73:8 141:21.22 127:1,6,10 181:12 194:12 195:2 198:1 51:19 139:16 205:12 materially 50:5,10 192:4 216:13,19 199:2,17 204:16 75:3 81:20 82:9 232:8 83:14 87:11 88:10 materials 253:18 229:14 233:15 208:21 213:5 217:10 merely 86:11 138:21 226:19 228:6,13 114:3 131:22 165:9 **MATT 4:24** 239:14 248:7 233:3 241:13 254:17 185:6 201:7 203:17 matter 1:5,18 13:8 merger 224:6 looks 69:5 230:13 15:12 71:8 109:2 Mess 221:15 248:21 264:7 256:15 263:3 265:9 209:5 219:10,16 met 33:17 118:18 119:3 265:11,12 266:12 276:16 282:7 123:17 130:18 loosely 63:9 methodology 74:15 268:5 284:7 marketing 15:19 24:9 136:20 160:17 Los 7:18 33:18 180:14 184:5 267:17 metrics 102:18 maker 289:15 24:11,14,21 25:4,17 lose 207:5 makes 102:14 121:21 26:16 27:10 38:13 268:15 278:3 **Mexico** 36:12 loss 67:9 80:22 MFN 230:11.15.16 lost 119:20 148:3,6 161:3 167:3 168:3,14 46:5.13 47:1 48:8 matters 50:4 173:1 159:9 207:17 183:12 192:14 52:18 56:6 71:14,16 **MATTHEW 3:12** 231:1 232:9 233:7 lot 32:9 57:14 73:16 195:13 201:3,22 71:19 72:2,6,9,21 maximize 278:13 279:9 236:18,21 237:2,15 74:5,7,12 78:4 93:14 making 14:3 18:15 73:6,14,17 74:6,12 maximum 277:18 240:19 249:3,10,16 24:19 65:2,3,9 68:12 74:20 75:5,6,10 77:6 may 20:11 21:9 26:11 249:21 255:17 256:6 93:17 118:18 120:5,8 125:1 150:16 177:7 69:4 115:16 164:4 77:13,21 79:3 80:20 26:20 53:3 59:12 256:22 257:21 259:5 203:19 264:21 276:1 181:4.6 182:6 185:1 81:9.17 82:2 84:4 62:7 78:9 86:3,4 88:4 259:14 260:3,12 185:12 189:15 190:4 86:1 87:4 88:7.8.19 89:22 90:1.2 91:3 262:2,11 263:6,10,20 lots 32:10.10 love 82:4 111:13 223:5 215:8 258:18 289:6 89:22 90:1.5.21.22 95:20 96:1 110:21 264:6,7 276:6 278:5 **MALONE 3:12** 93:9 119:1 122:10.16 119:19 134:4 136:3.3 278:16 279:4,7,12 223:11 Lover 224:13 man 161:3 122:20 123:6.8.11.18 139:17 144:22 146:3 Micky 255:19,20 Microsoft 3:17 285:9 Lovett 264:14.18 266:2 123:20 124:13.18 153:19 155:18.18 manage 131:6 low 20:19 152:21 275:5 management 284:8 125:2 131:18 163:16 158:14 159:12.17 mid 9:2 34:21 middle 19:20 28:11 275:9 276:14,20 manager 239:1 256:2 280:11,19 282:4,21 162:6 163:21 180:9 lower 25:20 29:13 283:4 283:3,5,9 285:3,12 191:19 192:12 204:1 238:5 mid-1990s 35:8 47:15 55:2,3 116:8 managers 156:15 285:20 206:1 215:13 216:15 226:3 232:17 247:9 might 136:9 142:11 164:1 241:1,3 262:8 Manges 3:19 4:1,5,9 marketplace 16:3 143:8,9 150:4,17 265:21 278:20 5:17 174:13 254:15 265:21 273:15 279:6 283:21 151:14 152:15 lunch 173:2 manner 228:16 251:1 markets 25:7 40:2,4,6 luxury 146:11 manufacture 29:2,8 40:15 50:22 51:13 287:11 163:22 196:7 213:5 283:13 Lyle 264:14,18 266:2 49:6 52:6,13 53:15,20 maybe 15:5,11 31:16 Miller 3:13 manufacturing 8:13 55:17 56:2,9,15 52:15 53:8 87:21 M MCA 9:3,8,9,21 34:5,6 million 44:8,17,21 45:4 9:16 15:19 28:19 57:22 58:1,2,3 59:4 M 2:5 211:11 212:4 29:11,15 34:10 39:8 59:10,18,19 60:19,21 34:6,7,7,10,21 35:9 47:8,13,14 48:3,11 made 13:17 18:21 94:3,5,7,16,22 95:1,7 110:2 268:4 49:14 64:20 80:17 Marvin 248:15 251:16 24:21 57:2,4,7,15,19 95:9,11,20 96:2 mean 13:3 32:22 42:19 millions 19:4,6 20:6 25:22 28:3,5 29:15 94:11 151:16 160:12 57:21 59:8 60:16,18 98:19 253:8 262:17 160:21 209:4 223:18 many 9:19 10:3 16:11 Mary 256:17 29:17 67:8 213:11,17 70:13,15 71:16 215:12 216:5,8,12,16 Massachusetts 2:17 230:17 234:16 105:20 191:13 22:12 68:1 73:19 93:8 102:18,19 111:9 283:21 mind 69:17 87:13 197:17 200:10,12 massive 110:13 101:16 107:5 151:18 205:6 207:6 210:2 111:13 131:10,22 master 12:6,7 52:5 meaning 41:20 91:8 151:21 164:11 178:9 204:2 228:21 230:14 219:8 245:12 246:14 132:8 133:9 134:9 53:16 55:18 57:4,8 minds 145:10 259:13 285:19 135:18 137:22 140:9 72:5,19 91:10 98:5 262:3 means 24:5 42:5 162:2 mind-set 158:17 288:18 163:9 181:21 182:22 104:14 105:3 135:6 233:13 mine 91:13 magazines 26:12 204:1 206:9 207:22 140:12 153:14.18 minimize 13:3 main 3:1 9:2 136:11,18 207:22 208:8,8 215:7 157:3,4 162:8 165:9 meant 14:11 minimizes 14:6 measure 92:5 102:13 165:20 170:11 188:4 208:15,15 276:2 minimum 211:15 249:2 measures 10:21 mainstream 52:14,17 March 245:15 201:14 212:8 214:9 219:10,16 223:6 measuring 39:20,21 249:10,15 major 53:10 59:19 **MARGARET** 4:25 224:4 230:21 235:12 mechanical 113:4 minimums 211:20 77:10 147:9 199:6 marked 54:14,17 75:12 124:16 125:10 minute 22:8 147:1,4,4 227:11 236:4,11 75:17 83:4 157:3 237:8 239:3 246:14 mechanicals 124:22 235:10 241:4,16 237:21 220:13 221:8,20 246:21 247:11,18 minutes 96:13 107:16 majority 71:19 72:1,6 222:10,20 225:3 249:3,11,17 250:1 mechanism 287:19 make 12:7 15:4 41:10 237:6 241:16 242:19 253:19 257:1,21 media 3:17 4:14 5:5.7 129:19 164:13 15:20 74:6,15 131:9 225:15 237:3 286:15 42:10 59:3 63:13 250:16 251:8,19 259:6,14 260:9 262:8 252:9 253:11 254:6 mischaracterizes 98:14 77:12 95:6 100:9 275:16 276:2,4,11 132:14 meet 108:11 284:6 268:2.7 103:3 127:21 145:5 279:18 280:1 281:19 277:5,8 287:2 misleading 269:15 markedly 32:4 masters 205:15 158:6,14 171:8 174:14 179:6 180:7 market 10:17,20 11:2 matches 83:19 Member 2:15 missed 137:9

missing 228:8 271:16 mistake 128:13 mistaken 203:7 mobile 68:2 model 50:20 51:5,8,16 51:20,20 53:13,15 54:4 55:16 58:18 67:22 models 50:15 58:20 model's 51:11 moment 120:12 money 14:22 17:4 19:18 20:22 26:6 42:14 44:1 47:1,18 66:18 103:3 106:2 110:6 111:6 112:1 114:13 115:9 117:2 123:15,18 136:10 137:1,2 153:1 156:16 157:21 159:13 213:7 232:22 Monica 130:22 monies 17:6 19:21 . 41:12 63:7 65:20,21 66:3 monitoring 39:19 montage 147:9 month 256:7,20 monthly 38:8 months 64:9 130:18 255:16 more 15:6 23:9 28:12 29:3 31:11 32:1,3 33:5 45:16 51:21,22 52:10,14 54:1,3 58:3 70:2 73:18 75:4 78:8 87:21 101:9 102:16 103:3 118:22 120:8 120:10 127:1 147:6 154:10 155:7 159:13 178:2 183:13 190:8 191:13 199:19 201:5 204:12 209:2 212:22 214:3 216:1 217:5,8 218:3 239:19 255:3 262:9 267:12 270:6 270:14 272:20 276:3 279:17 283:7 287:14 287:16 288:14 morning 33:14.15 88:17 129:22 205:11 206:3 209:20 212:13 257:7 277:14 291:4 mortar 98:21 mortgage 15:1 most 14:15 16:4 47:1 65:19 72:9 84:12 102:20,20 131:17 138:10 146:7 149:9 204:3 206:21 207:4

209:9 230:16 231:20

232:22 240:4 241:4 266:16 275:17 motion 60:11 82:18,21 170:18 176:22 177:6 177:19 180:4,8,10 181:5,6 182:8 185:17 197:4,5,6,10,14,22 198:18 199:3,8,10,15 205:4,7 222:15 223:4 226:6 243:7 244:11 254:17,21 255:4 282:15 motives 204:16 Motown 236:8 move 21:9 52:8,9 56:9 60:1 71:13 88:14 97:17 100:14 156:5 157:6 165:12 170:9 172:12,13 176:16 184:2 186:6 187:2,11 188:14,21 189:3 200:19 201:9,18 202:22 224:16 225:9 242:11 243:2 254:12 260:16 281:10 282:2 moved 9:7,20 10:1 movie 133:2 135:5,17 138:13 142:12 150:6 177:12 205:15 206:1 211:11 212:13 213:9 213:15 214:1 215:8 215:14 221:4,13 222:5 223:2,3,11 224:4 226:13 227:6 228:16 229:17 235:14 237:10 273:13 movies 131:15 132:4 146:9 177:10 209:21 230:22 275:12 moving 29:3 42:12 51:21 52:20 88:5 167:18 196:11,12 MPR 122:1 MS-529 3:2 MTV 74:10 much 25:17 29:10.21 31:21 33:20 73:18 106:1 116:3 120:3 123:17 135:15 136:7 155:22 157:1 160:8 162:4 175:12 199:18 209:2 217:5 285:21 286:3 Muir 37:6,10 multitude 218:5 music 4:19,22 5:15 7:19 8:1,10,13,13,19 8:19,21 9:8,10,16 10:11,11,18,19,21

12:3,16 13:1,2,19,20

14:3,10,13 15:3,4,13 16:4 21:3 25:3 26:1 26:11,21 27:21 28:5 28:6,17,22 30:10,14 31:14,21 32:3,12,13 32:20 34:11 35:10 36:3,6,20,22 40:15 40:19 43:5 44:16,18 46:4.12 48:7 49:3 50:7,13 51:22 52:15 55:15 57:11 64:14 68:15 73:19 74:1,1,5 74:16 79:1 98:4 101:18 110:22 111:1 111:9 126:18 128:8 128:18,22 130:21 131:3,15 133:6,18,22 134:1,8,10 136:9 142:5 144:2,6 146:2 146:7,9,14,16 147:8 148:1 149:12,16,20 149:22 150:9,15,20 150:21 151:4,8,14 152:6,16 153:3,7,8 154:19 165:22 166:3 166:18,22 167:10 169:1 170:8,12 173:6 173:14 176:11,11 177:11 182:12,17 184:10,15,21 185:19 187:5,7,9,15,19 188:17,18 190:13 198:6 200:2,5,8,15 201:6,16,20 202:8 204:2 205:13 206:5 213:15,19 218:14 224:3,8 225:12 227:2 231:21 241:19,21 242:3,4,8 245:14,21 255:10 256:2,3 257:13 266:15.21 267:4,13,18 270:22 272:12 273:6 277:17 278:14 281:2,2 282:18 284:15 285:8 285:9 288:17 musical 12:9 14:15 15:3 17:18 40:14 49:7 50:18 134:4.7 135:10 146:10 147:19 151:10 154:6 156:18 159:13 160:2 164:3 214:2 215:7,12 216:2,7 218:16 235:5 266:17 musician 212:5 musicians 211:12 must 44:3 81:10 94:6 113:21 245:15 mvyradio.com 4:13

192:20 M-U-I 37:6 n 34:21 name 7:14 37:6 99:11 134:22 284:1 named 255:19 Nashville 34:6,7 National 2:14 3:18 4:19,22 nations 230:17 231:21 241:12,13 247:21 287:12 nature 12:2 27:18 56:7 neatly 114:18 **necessarily** 53:7 57:10 66:22 68:9 79:9 84:22 85:11 87:20 necessary 73:15 need 95:3 162:15 173:4 178:17 189:11 262:4 267:1 needed 187:17 needs 161:4 178:18 190:20 259:11 266:16,18 negative 24:5 62:1 negotiate 149:4 178:1 178:13.22 189:22 negotiated 132:1 152:20 289:15 negotiates 143:14 negotiating 143:13 169:13 178:8,16,20 203:15.18 246:21 247:11.18 249:20 negotiation 115:17 166:17.19 174:3 194:10,21 218:9 226:5 278:5 negotiations 131:14 149:10 152:5 153:3 161:8 167:8,11,12 173:8 184:14,16 186:18,20 188:6,10 188:12 194:3,6,16,19 217:14 myself 132:10 191:12 net 19:10,12,16 20:3,9

107:21 108:7 130:12 239:2 240:5 241:2,5 88:6 109:6 112:6,11 113:20 146:17 148:2 190:16 247:21 262:2 159:4,5 178:3 179:2 145:21 147:22 161:3 179:3 190:1.5 203:10 149:2 160:15 166:15 184:6,8 186:17 194:9 132:9 148:21 149:1,6 153:14 156:13 161:6

20:18 21:5 23:3,4,4 23:17 28:8 30:12,15 31:9 32:18 66:17 127:2,11,13,15 128:3 128:8,17 129:1 never 118:16 119:3 134:18 164:18,21 183:6 187:15 192:8 194:8,22 195:6,11 196:4 200:1,4,10 289:19 new 4:6,6,10,10 5:20 5:20 68:1,6 69:1 91:1 97:18 131:9 140:15 151:11 163:17 221:3 226:13 227:6 229:16 274:20 284:17 285:12,19,22 newer 52:11 next 42:12 84:1 130:1 144:9 167:6,15 169:19 187:1,11 188:13 192:4 198:11 199:14 221:12 222:14 227:18 230:10 231:16 238:6 238:10 239:9 245:19 246:3 255:18 Nice 287:2 nine 16:13 Noncommercial 4:19 none 65:15 97:5 98:8 98:11 nonresponsive 60:2 non-music 166:19 non-user 184:7 normal 40:6 85:5 203:14 230:20 231:3 normally 40:7 234:7 North 8:1,14 35:21 36:4,11,16,18,19 38:7 notation 237:16 265:2 notations 264:11 note 19:2 20:5 91:3 130:15 202:7 237:14 249:1 265:13 noted 67:1 91:2 235:21 262:22 notepad 264:12 265:6 notes 240:15 nothing 26:2 30:1 49:6 120:13 125:21 145:12 196:8 204:7 290:3,8 notice 1:19 noting 87:13 266:1 notion 52:6 notwithstanding 189:7 190:10 November 1:16 33:17

opining 183:14 27:8 32:16 62:9 66:5 54:11 55:12 56:18 occasions 240:21 265:12 266:10 opinion 144:17 166:2 69:9 79:9 87:6 88:3 61:6 86:7 87:1 occur 95:1 268:16 273:10 276:9 169:9 171:8 175:3,10 91:4 92:8 146:12 NPR 2:14.15 290:8 occurs 168:22 184:20 287:21 147:22 148:3 191:16 175:12,22 176:1,3,6 number 24:5 31:4,5,8 old 254:22 255:1 210:4 176:9 179:4 181:22 194:18 206:2 207:5 53:22 59:14 80:13.16 off 19:18 20:12 21:6 olds 163:13,14 once 16:7 24:20 33:3 182:21 183:3,6 186:3 207:17 215:12 80:17.21 84:16 85:3 245:9 85:7,8 96:6 100:11 offer 76:7 190:18 195:5 238:19 239:6 254:11 189:15 195:2,5 223:14 228:9 233:14 one 9:2 15:22 16:12,13 198:21 202:1 259:17 234:5 241:20 247:16 102:7,13 119:21 195:8 253:21 258:19 16:13,18 21:19 26:12 opinions 165:6 168:6 250:9 263:3 268:14 148:9 174:19,21 266:19 267:2 269:11 26:13 37:10 44:17,20 169:12 172:14 174:9 271:10 274:6 277:18 177:4,17,19,21 offered 43:11 150:1 166:22 184:11 45:4 47:8,13,14,22 174:11 189:16,18 283:7 285:19 287:5 221:16 222:7 223:7 287:14,17 288:20 229:18 230:5.11 260:15 269:20 48:1,3,11 49:14 opportunities 284:18 236:17 250:21 251:3 offering 173:10.11 64:19 82:5 83:19 opportunity 111:8 outcome 278:5.16 251:13 252:3 261:22 175:22 176:1,3 89:18.18 90:9 92:8 180:11 189:2 195:22 279:6 outcomes 167:11 274:4 280:8,11,12 232:15 270:6 271:2 92:10 102:12 107:1 opposed 19:6 24:12 184:16 285:7 offerings 80:10,11 109:11 112:4,7,8 25:18 26:2 28:5 numbered 86:18 272:7 119:18 132:16 63:15 84:7 101:18 outlets 73:18 102:21 125:10 143:9 134:11,17,20 139:9 outline 284:4 numbers 105:22 106:9 offers 269:8 outside 21:11 77:7 160:13 221:5 222:18 offhand 226:21 142:4,19 152:19 209:11 213:2 option 142:1,3 187:4 80:19 158:14 160:12 223:18 252:14 office 73:20 89:11 153:6,13 159:3,17,19 268:19 272:14 NW 2:17 3:13 Officer 7:22 10:6 36:3 166:15,16 174:2,4,19 256:20 N.W 2:6,11,23 4:2,16 36:15 38:6 174:22 176:3 177:19 options 146:12.13 over 10:2 14:7 16:18 152:7 206:2 209:12 27:4 33:20 43:15 often 45:2,13 134:7 178:4,6 181:5,10 45:3,14 46:2 47:7,18 183:12 184:5 185:10 orange 23:15 148:9 157:1,3 162:5 0 oranges 48:15 49:14 64:19 73:19 163:4 200:7 210:3 185:12 186:11,20 188:2 190:20 193:4 order 76:12 94:7 196:2 74:11 117:11 118:19 O 2:4 212:22 218:20 object 125:19 142:17 228:10,19 241:14 193:15 194:2 197:17 197:3 204:16 205:2 131:21 147:10 198:7,20 199:7,19 156:13 208:22 245:8 212:22 225:10,19 145:7 154:12,14 278:22 283:11 209:15 258:2 268:1 243:3 254:12 255:3 200:10 201:18 274:11 278:6 287:14,16 overall 64:4 146:15 oh 69:21 90:16,17 202:12 203:15 261:22 282:3 objected 161:11 objection 71:10 76:9 137:19 249:4 207:14,14 208:10,19 organization 36:18 149:8 81:5 82:17,21 98:13 124:5 okay 8:2,7,15 9:5,11 216:14 218:10 224:5 overhead 18:7 26:5 125:11,20 143:18 10:7,13,16 11:5,14 224:5,9,12 226:3 original 139:18,22 27:7,9,14,20 28:4,14 140:13 141:1 142:14 145:3,5 148:16 12:12 13:9 14:7 227:8 233:2,5 252:4 32:19 33:2 38:22 146:6 190:18 218:18 88:14,18,22 89:13 154:22 155:9 156:10 16:15 17:14,19 18:16 253:4,7 255:3 258:22 265:22 274:2 275:10 262:4 91:15,19 92:16,19 157:15 158:14 19:8 20:3 21:7 25:2 originally 240:10 93:1,3,5,11 94:2 191:13,14,15 192:21 25:13 26:18 27:3,19 277:5,17 279:17 originated 228:5 overnight 262:5 282:9 284:14 208:3 224:18,21 28:18 30:4 31:1,2 overrule 154:22 259:17 ones 124:18 280:11 other 11:13 12:21 226:6 242:13,16 45:13 51:4 57:1 63:3 Overruled 22:22 98:16 254:1,3,19,20 255:2 online 271:2 283:9 15:11 18:12,12 22:12 63:12 64:17,22 73:12 37:14 38:4 74:1 77:9 119:8 145:18 155:14 259:17 268:5,21 84:13 88:14 90:6 285:2 158:12 161:15 208:6 270:13 271:9,22 91:22 93:18 104:22 only 11:7,11 28:5 49:21 86:10 87:10 92:12 oversaw 10:2 281:12,16 282:11,12 106:16 107:11 109:2 79:10 81:7,7 85:18 94:22 99:8 106:15 oversee 35:5 39:7,11 282:15 114:17 116:5 117:13 85:21 86:7 87:6 111:7 112:12,13 132:2 133:6 136:15 oversight 27:12 88:20 objectionable 151:22 118:6 119:16 127:9 122:17,17 123:16,19 objections 157:12 127:14,19 128:12 152:19 160:12 124:2 141:21,21,21 overview 181:12 219:6 167:21 172:5 178:18 143:7,16 145:10 owe 66:18 objection's 152:11 133:16 135:2,13 148:6 163:2 175:1 own 10:11 11:11 objective 12:5 137:20 138:4 139:8 180:11 191:17 observation 190:4 216:14,15 226:19 177:17 185:13 106:15 151:9 162:13 141:9 148:18 151:13 observations 210:2 227:8 229:19 232:15 186:12 187:19 188:2 178:19 204:16 159:16,20 161:20 observe 191:19 163:6 164:15 166:8 240:11 255:2 259:18 188:18 190:7 194:4,8 234:17 274:18,20 166:12 170:19 194:10 200:14,16 276:22 283:19 observed 209:21 286:14 obvious 178:10 197:17 171:15 180:19 184:1 onto 95:19 204:5 218:11 228:9 owned 63:2 151:5,7 owner 62:22,22 63:1 186:1 191:8 196:4 open 228:19 230:7 237:18 258:5 273:4,5 198:7,20 199:8 152:20 257:22 259:6 obviously 25:6,10 33:4 206:14 223:10,21 operated 271:5 272:19 273:20 288:21 97:5 111:12 122:22 224:12 226:10,22 operates 109:10 others 140:10 144:16 259:15 260:9 278:11 266:9 279:6 143:15 161:4 177:14 229:12 230:3 233:19 operation 10:8,12 50:7 otherwise 19:19 63:6 owners 147:18 164:3 177:19 178:12,15 234:2,15,22 235:9 55:14 operations 8:1,14 10:3 236:8 245:1 246:10 80:6 116:8 217:11 260:11 193:12 203:5 244:9 ownership 14:14 occasion 139:1 143:22 12:13 35:5 49:3.21 ourselves 148:5 284:5 248:2.18 249:8 156:14 203:5 256:16 263:9,19 50:3.12 out 13:6 23:14 26:11 owns 151:3

OXENFORD 4:15 P 52:2.2 packaging 73:3 74:21 78:3 page 14:7 18:16 19:2 19:11,21 22:9,11 24:1 25:14,21,21 28:1,2,2,11 29:13,20 31:10 40:11 42:13 43:2 45:22 46:2 48:22 49:20 51:5 53:14 54:12,22,22 55:4 60:13 61:11 63:20 64:4 67:5 79:16,16 80:16 82:14 83:13,15,15,19,20 84:14,18 85:8,8 86:14,16,17,18 90:7 90:8 94:2,4 96:20 97:10 126:15,22 127:7 153:12 158:3 165:19 166:13 184:2 187:12,22 188:14 190:12 191:3,5,6 192:1 197:20 198:16 199:4,9,14 201:1,10 201:18 203:22 215:3 219:3.4 226:18 227:13 230:6 231:17 235:20 236:16 237:15 238:4,6,8,9 239:17 240:2,7,8 245:7,11,20 246:3,3 246:9 248:3,3 250:12 253:4 255:14,18 261:13,18 262:21 263:19 264:11 265:1 265:3,5,13,19 266:12 273:2,10 274:11,11 275:4 276:15 277:13 283:15 284:10 285:16 289:4 pages 16:18 54:21 71:14 84:1 88:15 202:4 250:21 251:1,2 264:10 paid 12:19 17:5 18:11 18:11 19:15 27:2 41:13,21 61:18 62:8 62:21 66:1,2,16 115:9 141:5 142:9 147:16 154:10 155:7 211:15 274:22 275:1 289:21 panel 175:1 202:4 papers 174:15 Pappa 221:14 222:4 235:13 236:13

Pappa's 221:3 226:12

```
227:6 229:16
Paradise 4:14
paragraph 40:11 42:12
  49:1 50:11 63:21
  64:4 103:14 127:4.8
  158:5 166:14 167:16
  167:19,21 169:19
  170:6 173:22 176:19
  180:4 184:3,18 185:9
  185:10,16,18 186:2,6
  186:14 187:13
  188:15 197:5,14,21
  197:21 198:12,17
  199:4 201:19,20,22
  215:4 245:11 248:12
  256:19 261:18
  263:19,22 264:1
  266:13 275:7
paragraphs 169:17
  201:11.13
parallel 51:2
paraphrase 86:11
parcel 57:11
parenthetical 231:20
parity 230:17 287:20
Parkway 3:20
part 25:20 26:4,5 29:13
  32:11 54:3 57:11
  84:12 94:1.4 96:20
  98:19 99:21 106:5
  110:12 111:11.11
  121:6 133:6 146:7.8
  146:18 149:2.7.9
  156:6 162:14 171:13
  180:13 198:19,22
  213:16.20 224:8
  226:17 230:7 231:19
  234:10 235:16 269:7
  269:13,19,19 271:2
  271:11 272:6,7,21
  274:5,9 275:10 276:5
  277:15 283:12
  284:20 285:11,20
participants 113:18
participation 104:4
  153:15
particular 16:16 43:11
  47:11 78:1 89:19
  90:3,4,12 96:2 150:5
  150:12,22 165:18
  169:14 177:1 228:15
  256:12 266:2,3
  269:10 271:18
  273:19 285:16
particularly 160:14
  192:21 283:18
parties 27:2 203:11
parts 171:16
party 152:19 258:18
passage 165:18 273:1
passages 166:5 180:22
```

```
188:22 200:20
  202:22
passing 223:14 259:22
past 8:6
Paul 2:5 238:22 239:5
pause 201:12
pay 13:5 32:16 43:3
  63:4 94:6 116:4
  162:1 211:11,19
paying 206:6 213:14,16
  213:17
payment 62:15 153:9
penalties 193:22
pending 180:4
people 13:8 27:13
  68:14 73:21 89:15
  90:5,10,22 91:6
  102:20 114:2 118:3
  118:18 119:1 131:10
  131:12 132:8,12,13
  132:15,15,16 139:22
  143:13,15 145:10
  151:9 200:16 203:18
  204:14 219:22
  258:22 265:22
  274:12
people's 98:2 141:21
  141:22
per 37:10 57:15 115:13
  115:14 137:22
  205:14 206:12
perceive 50:15 217:7
  217:18,22
percent 11:2,4 51:17
  59:12 160:5,7,8
  162:13 277:1
percentage 23:4,17
  24:2 28:12,13 29:21
  30:20 31:8,15 81:9
  105:9 106:12,13,14
  115:13 123:21,22
  126:17 128:17
  148:11 157:1
percentages 23:21 28:8
perfectly 202:16
performance 1:6 39:19
  39:19 41:3,12,17,21
  42:5 213:20 217:19
performances 41:8
performed 214:11
  235:14 237:9 244:19
  248:15
performer 154:4 155:5
  159:11
performing 9:19 236:5
  236:7 237:21
perhaps 18:17 126:10
  148:6 191:18 207:1
  239:4 257:13 273:5
  283:8
```

period 216:19 271:5

272:19 283:18 perjury 193:22 permanent 20:1 permit 144:22 permitted 174:9,16 270:14 perpetual 136:16 perpetuity 234:3 PERRELLI 2:4 person 37:2 69:4,5,7 75:5 89:18 90:1,9,21 107:3 116:2 118:17 119:3 132:18 134:12 142:21 143:7 159:4 190:10 258:5 259:1 personally 273:8 personnel 17:21 18:9 26:20 27:4,10,10,11 46:6.15 48:9 88:19 88:19 persons 132:17 person's 118:14 119:4 perspective 13:13 32:7 82:1 103:3 106:7 236:2 pertaining 221:1 pertains 245:1 pet 234:17 Peter 156:22 162:11 Phoenix 139:14 212:14 213:8,18 phone 232:18 239:4 photo 73:3 74:21 phrase 42:9 185:3 230:14 phrases 192:21 physical 15:20,22 25:9 29:2,7 51:18 59:17 94:8,11,18 95:17,19 98:20 99:4 104:3 120:7 pick 196:19 290:18 picked 21:19 pictorially 23:10 picture 182:9 222:15 223:5 228:6 Pictures 229:15 232:4 233:20 pie 149:7 157:2 piece 139:2 142:5 173:6,14 211:18 216:19 228:8 288:16 pitch 132:18 pivotal 188:5 place 85:12 186:5 201:8 placement 285:6 places 22:12 181:1 placing 112:3 plan 24:21 39:20 280:12 282:22

285:20 planned 283:16 planning 284:16,21 plans 38:4 75:3 280:19 282:4 284:6,8 285:12 play 42:5 43:9 147:11 194:18 196:15 274:2 played 74:10 90:3 98:1 196:4 player 196:7 players 59:19 161:5.7 203:17 258:14 playing 147:8 196:11 196:18 212:15 playlist 272:10 plays 194:11 please 43:18 54:12,21 75:19 96:17 100:18 170:17 226:11 245:13 pleasure 255:2 PLLC 3:13 plus 169:9 216:8 pockets 15:12 point 9:5 71:9 115:18 121:21 154:14 177:5 178:10 184:4 195:21 244:4 258:3 pointed 191:16 241:20 policies 289:14 **Polygram** 224:6,7,15 241:17,22 242:2,4 popular 56:12 139:14 141:22 142:5 146:6 146:13 149:22 150:16,21 187:16 236:14 245:4 261:5 266:16 popularity 56:8 portion 29:5 72:18 91:4 150:20 171:7 188:13 201:17 posit 273:20 position 7:20 8:8 182:13 183:3,9 192:7 192:9 195:7 199:12 203:4 positions 9:11 positive 20:9 21:5 24:6 24:7 32:1 101:9 possibility 153:6 201:2 215:5 226:3 possible 158:7 232:22 245:18 285:22 Possibly 92:3 96:9 110:15 potential 138:1,15 148:20 potentiality 273:12 potentially 15:21 68:9 110:8,22

power 167:9 184:14 201:5 218:8 practice 113:9 139:21 275:15 practices 202:7 pre 152:19 preceded 224:6 243:13 preceding 180:5 197:5 198:18 199:5 precious 146:22 precise 253:13 precisely 161:13 188:11 precleared 152:18 precursor 98:3.7 predecessor 9:4 preexisted 241:20 prefatory 184:3 prefer 151:14 156:16 premise 98:15 prepared 76:4 preprogrammed 272:6 presence 268:20 present 149:2 presented 75:21 president 7:21 9:18,21 10:4,5 34:20 36:2 131:2 227:1 press 96:7 110:21 185:16 pressed 155:9 presume 108:6 previous 15:3 20:12 73:16 80:2 106:21 120:22 previously 21:5 83:4 225:2 237:17 242:19 243:4 254:5 281:18 price 149:3 207:2 226:1,3 228:7,8 240:7 243:7,17 244:10 276:3.4 288:16 prices 137:16 152:20 163:1 173:9 225:13 254:13 Pricewaterhouse 8:22 9:6,8 59:15 pricing 289:14 primarily 9:1 71:6 163:10 234:12 primary 12:4 50:21 58:7 59:5 61:1 70:17 principles 202:6 print 18:18 prior 8:20 15:21 36:9 38:4 39:21 64:10 73:7,9 80:2 211:19 214:4,12 215:18 220:5 230:1 232:13 priority 282:22

prize 136:3 probably 31:4 68:16 75:4 79:7 95:9 105:19 106:10 107:9 150:13 problem 176:6 258:3 258:12 procedures 146:1 proceed 96:17 171:16 218:9 proceeding 1:9 184:4 214:12.12 252:15 proceedings 220:10 process 43:10 83:2 140:2 190:3 246:20 procure 12:6 procured 62:7 produce 94:8 produced 202:8,10 223:18 242:7 246:5 251:1 252:14 producer 138:14,16 142:12 148:19 150:3 150:7 152:15 206:5 206:22 207:6,13 208:1 210:12 212:7 213:16 214:3 215:6 215:13,18,22 216:13 217:7,19 218:5,13 228:12 243:18 246:15 266:15 273:18 276:20 producers 76:15 134:6 142:3 144:1 146:3 151:14,18,20,21 152:6 167:10 177:10 205:22 212:22 216:22 275:12 product 29:3 42:15,19 51:18 52:10 72:22 94:8,11,13,19 95:4 95:17 166:19 168:14 168:15,22 184:8,20 269:8 283:4 production 146:15 149:12.12.16.16.18 149:19 150:7.15 151:3,4,6,14 152:16 153:3,7,8 190:14 191:3 206:5 214:21 215:20 241:11 246:22 247:2,3,6,8 277:2 288:17 products 51:12 85:18 85:20 86:3,5 87:6,7 87:10 88:10,11 97:19 98:20 107:7 122:11 122:17 123:1 proffered 145:9 profit 30:12,15 38:19

67:9 80:21 102:22,22

104:7 profitability 30:17,19 102:13.19 profitable 102:16 program 268:11 programming 272:21 project 134:2,2,7,8 135:1,20 136:20,21 137:17 146:20 projects 133:19 134:9 134:11.12.15 137:18 143:1 146:8 200:9,14 211:4 Prominence 135:21 prominent 147:9 promises 193:21 promote 24:16 49:6 58:8 61:2 68:22 88:3 114:6,16 122:21 204:7 282:7 promoted 9:17 36:1 promotes 25:7 promoting 26:21 50:17 54:6 promotion 26:7 46:5 46:14 48:8 56:6 71:20 72:2,7 116:20 125:2 252:6 283:8 promotional 88:1 113:10.15 116:9 117:17 163:5,7,15,21 promotionally 283:21 pronounce 222:6 proposal 226:5 245:21 246:16 proposes 193:20 proposition 273:17 275:14 protective 76:12 225:10 243:3 254:12 255:3 282:3 291:2 provide 84:3 120:17,21 245:13 267:18 272:8 provided 28:16 providing 219:6 province 175:4 provision 250:4 provisions 225:9 PS 265:19,20 pub 236:21 239:10 public 2:14,15 3:18 8:6 13:19 41:3,8,11,17 41:21 42:5 72:11,13 78:22 79:1,13 80:9 80:11 81:1,6 128:4 177:12 publicity 26:7 283:8 publicly 57:14 77:19 79:2,7 80:3 publish 114:3

published 41:22

204:4,6 218:8,15 230:12,15,18 231:22 232:19 233:4 237:15 239:7,18 240:9 241:1 241:6 243:17,21 255:17 256:6 257:2,7 257:9,13,18 259:3,9 259:11,13,19,21 260:1,8,10 262:1,9 263:7 276:21 278:6 278:14 279:8 289:21 publishers 14:16 43:5 46:4,8,13,17 48:7 64:8 117:9 151:8 154:16,17 167:10 184:15 200:22 201:6 202:6 203:6 204:2 231:2 249:19 277:17 287:5,15 288:1,6,12 289:11 publisher's 276:8 publishing 8:13,19 9:17 10:8,12,18 11:3 11:3,7,18 12:8 13:1,2 13:20 14:4,10,13 15:4 17:3,15,17 18:22 19:7 20:8,11 20:16,19 21:3 22:11 23:12 24:4,12,13 25:3,11,19 26:2,9 27:21 28:6,17,22 30:1,14 31:14,19 32:4,13,20 34:6,12 36:7,20 39:9 41:13 43:4 44:10,16,19,21 45:3,16,20 47:20 48:18 49:4,5,15,17 50:8,13 52:16 55:15 64:18 65:2 101:15 102:17 103:4,6 108:15 109:5,7,20 110:4,6,12 111:2,9 111:20 112:3,9,12,14 112:20 113:8,18 114:22 116:4,7,13 117:7 125:3 126:18 127:17 128:8,9,10,18 128:22 154:19 155:21 156:7 157:2 158:7 160:4,17,19 162:4,13,18 188:7 202:9,12,13,15,17,21 217:12,16 236:19 237:2 238:19 240:19 248:22 pull 26:3 purchase 47:3 111:19 234:18 Purely 155:15

publisher 26:11 200:2

200:5,6 201:16 202:1

purpose 279:11 282:17 purposes 250:10 273:13,21 pursuant 1:19 purview 179:6 223:14 pushes 163:16 put 24:21 54:10 62:20 75:16 78:14 95:22 98:2 148:8,11 202:16 220:18 254:15 266:9 **putting** 210:20 **puzzle** 228:8 P&L 19:18 32:15 53:21 79:18 105:2,8 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N... 7:1 p.m 179:9,11 180:2 196:22 197:1-204:21 204:22 225:16,17 291:5 0 qualifications 145:13 qualified 121:3,9,14 192:16 qualify 145:15 quality 187:9 275:5,9 276:14.20 quantification 120:18 quantify 206:17 207:19 quantifying 207:12 quarterback 134:3 question 22:3 41:6,11 41:19 42:4 51:3 60:1 60:5 61:21 62:4,18 65:5 69:2,16 70:10 75:5 80:8,8,14 93:2 96:5 98:15,18 100:10 106:21 109:17 113:13,14,22 116:5 119:12,15,18 121:8 124:9.19 125:12.17 127:11 141:14 143:4 143:6 144:10 152:10 152:10 154:20 155:2 155:10 156:9,10 158:15,20 170:21 178:8,11 193:13,17 193:17,18,19 209:2 217:17 218:22 224:1 229:10 244:17 249:18 252:16 258:17 264:3 268:9 268:21 269:16 270:3 270:15 271:10 272:4

276:10,18 278:9

questions 33:9 107:12

122:1,4 126:2,7

280:14 289:19

questioning 22:21

96:13

129:8,12 140:8 168:10 199:21 220:11 255:7 258:2 266:6 273:4 279:20 286:6 290:5,11 quickly 43:1 150:3 quite 8:19 37:9 41:18 51:1,14 55:19 106:18 113:12 119:21 139:4 139:20 147:10 212:3 218:20 241:14 282:5 282:8 283:1 quotations 255:9 quote 228:14 229:1,15 232:5 238:19 239:2,8 239:22 240:1 245:14 246:13 256:11,21 257:9,11 259:13,20 259:21 quoted 239:16 257:3,4 259:4,5 262:8 quoting 239:10 240:9 276:8 R R 2:9 4:9 37:7

racket 196:13 radio 2:14,15 3:10,18 4:14,21 5:15 41:12 42:1 68:4 99:10 104:20 269:6 271:1.2 272:6.7 283:5 radiocasting 105:1 106:16.21 107:5 Radioio.com 4:14 **RAHN** 5:6 raised 60:10 raising 170:15 range 133:15 212:1 266:18 267:2 rapid 120:8,10 rate 1:9 102:21 116:18 117:11,15,18 125:9 272:8 289:5,10,13 rates 117:21 219:12,18 rather 46:7 110:5 125:3 142:14 146:5 181:12 201:11 ratio 276:2,11 289:20 rational 116:1 193:9 re 139:17 140:4 141:1 142:1 148:19 201:2 209:22 210:10 211:17 reach 206:18 reactive 56:12 read 37:13,20 40:16 42:15 43:7 46:10 49:10 54:21 55:9 56:15 61:3 64:6 94:9 97:3 110:21 167:15

177:18 180:21 182:22 185:22 201:13 245:11 250:8 257:5,6 273:2 277:11 readily 199:11 reading 18:19 201:11 229:8 249:11 reads 43:2 46:3 49:5 real 146:22 213:1 realize 172:8 realized 112:11 reallocation 110:13 really 12:8 13:7 16:4 17:17 23:9 26:4,9 40:20 47:2 63:9 75:7 110:9 122:21 158:20 209:8 216:4 218:13 228:4 247:21 257:8 258:4 265:20 266:2 273:20 278:3 282:8 reason 48:2 50:2 75:1 84:21 125:7 148:7 170:15 183:16 185:18 206:18 207:17 208:15 210:7 233:6 240:6 242:6,9 276:10 reasons 22:18 151:13 159:17 204:1 231:4 rebuttal 11:16 14:8 18:17 21:11 40:10 45:22 51:5 53:14 61:12 75:17.22 76:8 83:12,16,19 84:2,15 84:18 85:17.21 86:15 87:3 88:16 94:1 96:21 100:17 219:7 220:22 221:5,16,18 222:2,7,18 223:7,17 231:11 250:11,13,20 250:22 251:3,14 252:3 253:16 263:20 279:19 REB006809 6:20 280:9 REB033843 6:22 280:13 recall 33:16,19 85:1 122:12 124:19 149:13 receive 25:12 104:4 105:17 231:2 245:17 received 61:19 62:8 83:7 106:2 225:7 242:22 254:10 262:9 281:22 287:6 receives 123:16 receiving 162:18 recent 282:8 recently 139:12 recess 107:16,17 129:19,20 179:10

195:19 196:21,22 204:20,21 225:14,16 291:3 recessed 179:8 reciprocal 260:12 recognize 226:21 254:14 265:4,6 reconciliation 85:7,12 reconvene 179:10 291:6 record 7:14 8:12 9:6,12 9:15,20 10:10,21,21 11:1,1,13 13:15 17:2 17:7 18:19,22 19:5 20:7 23:13 24:11,17 25:5,7,18 26:17 27:20 29:11,22 34:8 36:19 41:7,14,20 42:13 43:7,22 46:3,7 46:9 52:15 61:20 64:6 65:8 69:1 71:21 76:15 77:10 86:2 94:6 97:1 102:15 103:2,9 104:12 114:15 116:3,15 117:6,10,16 124:17 125:4 126:18 127:2 127:16 128:7,17 130:11 140:5,18 148:20 162:1 163:17 163:19 166:20 167:9 181:3 184:9,15 188:19 201:5,12,15 204:9,10 210:1 250:8 268:3,7,12 279:14 283:8,13 recorded 8:18 12:3,16 26:1 28:4,16 30:10 31:21 32:12 57:11 64:14 101:18 140:1 266:16 recording 10:17 11:19 12:4,6,7,11,20,20 13:14 15:9,13,18 16:2 17:7,8,13 18:15 18:15 19:16 24:16,20 25:8 40:21 41:4 42:20 46:5,22 47:12 49:3 50:13,18 54:7 55:15 56:5,8 57:17 58:11 62:6,11,16 64:10 65:8 66:2 72:3 72:12 73:1,2 88:9 97:21 101:16 108:16 108:17 109:18,21 110:5 112:20 113:7 113:10,19 114:2,22 115:18,20 116:9,18 118:7 134:20 135:5 135:16,21,22 136:7,8 136:13 137:15

138:16 139:15,18,18 141:1,2,2,6 142:2,7,8 142:15,22 146:5,6,21 147:3,15,17 153:9 154:6 156:17 159:14 159:21 166:18,21 174:4,4 178:15 184:7 190:18 201:3 205:19 206:7 207:1,7,13 208:1,16,18 209:10 209:11 210:8,11 211:18 213:15 214:4 215:19 217:1,20 221:2 222:4,16 223:6 227:5,11 228:15 235:4,13,18 239:19 239:21 240:18,20 244:16,19 246:15,20 247:7 249:22 257:20 259:4 260:11 266:20 267:14 273:19 274:20,22 275:5 276:21 277:21 278:3 278:11 279:6 288:1,6 288:12 289:10,22 recordings 1:6,7,8 11:12 17:22 40:14 63:14 97:2,11,14 114:6 131:20 141:8 147:6 150:17 153:4 163:1 182:8,12,17 184:10 187:6,8,17,20 188:16 190:14 204:6 205:14 215:22 216:8 216:12 217:6 218:6 219:12 266:19 267:2 275:10,11 276:15,17 records 9:22 11:8 13:18 26:21 34:6,7 65:17 114:3 141:20 198:6 199:7 202:11 recoup 20:17 69:19 162:2 recoupable 19:22 65:19 66:3 recouped 20:13 66:6 155:18,20 recouping 68:12 recoupment 67:7 85:9 161:22 recover 74:18 recoveries 24:7 recovery 20:9,10 21:5 recruit 162:8 Rec'd 6:8 **REDIRECT 6:2 122:7** Redwood 3:20,21 Reece 212:18 213:8,18 refer 30:5 86:19 91:9 99:11 140:10 186:5 219:3 267:1 276:15

283:4 reference 18:20 86:10 167:12 237:1 238:21 239:4 253:8 277:15 288:18 referenced 122:19 references 223:17 285:5 referred 43:15 51:12 53:22 54:17 75:12 106:20 135:6 143:4,7 149:11 220:13 221:8 221:20 222:10,20 250:16 251:8.18 252:9 279:22 288:14 referring 18:16 30:16 49:21 53:19,20 82:14 102:3 113:3 140:12 140:14 210:1 246:3 249:5 269:7 288:19 refers 98:19 234:4,6 reflect 112:2 116:19 124:16 284:14 reflected 81:5 85:16,20 87:5 98:9 101:10,11 102:9 reflecting 262:3 reflective 112:7 271:21 reflects 87:12 236:17 270:4 refusal 153:20 regard 203:6 regarding 21:10 50:3 61:12 146:1 regardless 70:16,19 71:1 148:12,18 regards 282:5 Regency 248:13 regional 149:21 regular 106:5 Rein 5:1 **Rejects 134:22** relate 98:10 190:8 252:5 260:18 262:15 264:13 related 11:12 26:8 28:4 29:16 48:1,2 95:8 183:16,18,19 relates 26:9 156:6 180:13 222:14 223:4 248:19 251:4 relating 16:19 22:10 154:15 221:13 222:3 251:15 253:18 262:16 relation 215:22 222:5 224:3 248:9

relationship 11:6

relative 23:11 24:2

259:14 276:12

31:19 53:13 101:5.8

226:12 235:11 237:8 reviewing 223:22 203:11 204:3 227:16 63:19 70:12,14 71:5 relatively 13:3,4,7 71:12 75:15 76:7 20:19 32:19 33:2,3 244:15 247:8,10,17 261:21 227:22 230:7,22 revised 262:2 231:2 234:1,4,6 82:19 83:11 86:12 52:19 53:8 198:7 249:22 254:13 96:12,18 100:14,15 release 163:17.18 260:22 268:3 273:1 reward 111:12 235:3,5,7 238:6 239:12 247:9,10,11 102:5 107:15 122:10 281:6,7 284:9 rewards 40:13 280:21 282:22 283:1 respectively 29:12 re-record 138:18 142:5 248:10,22 249:20 124:5 125:11 126:2,3 283:1.14 284:16 250:1 259:6,15 260:9 Rosenstein's 62:18 285:3,12,19 respects 181:21 142:13 147:14 148:3 275:16 277:9 278:6 Ross 280:10 281:7 released 200:13 284:22 respond 195:21 148:21 149:1 187:16 282:9 285:15 responded 60:20 208:21 214:13 278:17 releasing 284:16 ring 72:20 85:22 86:8 roughly 27:20 relevance 158:21 responding 157:12 215:18 216:9 274:13 87:7,10 88:12 91:10 royalties 13:5,6 27:12 relevant 23:20 227:4 230:1 279:2 response 67:20 219:8 re-recorded 206:4 32:16 43:4 63:5 284:20 122:12 rip 95:19,21 69:22 112:19 113:3,4 relies 190:14 245:17 274:16 re-recording 139:16,21 113:4,7 115:3 123:13 **Religious 4:19,22** responsibilities 90:2 rise 160:11 risk 11:17 13:10 14:6 124:16 rely 18:2 247:22 131:5,6 132:12 140:13,22 199:5 remember 118:4,12 responsibility 8:8 207:16 209:12 15:16 20:21 49:2 royalty 1:1 3:5 66:11 50:12 53:13 55:14 114:21 115:6 116:8 274:14 289:6 106:9 198:4 202:11 210:12 211:5,10 116:18 125:9 219:7 rendition 217:21 responsible 8:10 36:17 212:2 215:5 273:12 64:4 103:9 109:20 110:4,11 111:12 268:12 responsive 60:7 273:13 rule 16:1 re-records 140:3 142:7 Rent 89:7 rest 37:3,18 80:19 112:5 274:3 ruled 189:9 rental 18:12,13 170:21 271:22 146:4 149:22 riskiness 13:12 ruling 254:17 run 105:14 176:12 repeat 155:1 170:18,18 276:16 **RICH 2:22** risks 40:13 risky 13:15 running 274:3 restricted 229:21 ride 43:6 182:3 244:17 riff 150:12 runs 95:4 result 20:15 25:1 56:3 **Road** 5:8 repertoire 16:20 17:4 right 1:6 17:10 23:5 Rob 134:21 rush 232:14 62:12,14,22 63:1,15 60:22 61:19 106:2 Ryan 4:25 87:4 63:22 65:1,7 199:6 24:4 26:22 36:18 ROBEDEE 3:1 138:11 resumes 270:17 **R-54** 6:9 54:19 ROBERT 4:8 rephrase 65:5 41:4 50:22 55:3,4 report 23:3 36:7 79:18 Retail 283:10 65:4 81:14 85:18,22 Roberts 1:23 62:10 R-55 6:9 75:14 R-56 6:10 220:15 225:5 retailers 97:2,7 98:22 89:15 99:22 108:4,17 63:12 129:11 158:4 85:4 105:13 277:12 R-57 6:12 221:10 281:3 retains 14:14 108:17 109:5,9,11,17 158:11 173:3,19 retrieve 74:5 174:2,6 175:11,16,21 reported 36:9 131:7 109:19,19 110:1,18 R-58 6:13 221:22 reporting 8:11 36:17 return 30:21 31:11,19 176:18 185:22 191:1 **R-59** 6:14 222:12,14 111:22 112:16,18 225:6 37:14 39:12 113:6,11,19,22 114:7 31:22 32:5 33:5 191:6,8 233:11,17 represent 85:13 223:16 R-60 6:15 222:22 101:6,9,15,17 102:21 114:10 115:1,21 234:2,10,15,19,22 272:5 103:4,5,6 108:14,15 116:4 118:1,8 119:18 244:4,9 246:6,10 242:21 **R-61** 6:16 250:18 254:8 representatives 202:13 108:18 110:3,10 120:14 121:19,22 **ROI** 108:21 111:5 112:4,9,11 129:18 130:15 135:3 Roland 6:6 130:2,4,13 represented 19:20 R-62 6:17 representing 258:6 205:2 143:20 153:20 130:14,19 131:13 R-63 251:21 represents 20:10 154:10 155:7 158:11 133:17 140:21 R-63-64 6:18 revenue 23:4 38:17 reproduction 135:4 52:14,21,22 53:1,10 161:8 163:16 164:19 141:16 143:22 R-64 251:22 165:9 170:16 171:10 145:20 147:21 **R-65** 6:19 252:11 254:9 request 85:2 208:10 58:17 59:9,20 65:22 174:1 184:4 188:7 152:14 153:12 R-66 6:20 280:3 281:21 230:2,20 231:1,3,5 66:6,10,11 68:1,6,11 191:9 195:18 196:1 156:12 159:8 162:21 R-67 6:22 280:4 281:22 233:9 234:1 235:18 68:13,20 70:4 72:17 240:1,3 246:13 200:11 204:18 205:9 164:18 177:7 200:1 R=55 83:6 82:3 92:8,11,12 93:3 288:15 93:4,5,11,15,16,17 205:15,19 206:7,12 205:9 233:11 243:9 S requested 227:16 95:13 100:2,4 112:19 207:8 209:6 210:8 244:5,14 255:6 258:4 Sachi 252:6.6 228:16 229:15 230:7 117:6 122:17 123:12 212:10,16 213:18 268:13 270:20 248:13 257:21 salaries 89:1 123:17 124:11 214:5 216:10 217:8 282:17 287:1 role 43:10,14 153:17 salary 27:16 requests 244:21 128:10 217:22 223:13 required 11:22 271:17 revenues 23:17 24:2 230:10 234:19 176:13 188:5,9 sale 40:20 51:8 57:2,19 236:20 241:7,8 242:1 roles 134:1 58:15 60:16 66:12 requirements 176:13 28:13 29:21 30:14 246:6,8,14 249:7 roll 37:15 70:17 71:21 72:20 resembles 14:10 15:9 31:15 32:16 59:12 resident 105:19 250:5 253:5 260:13 Rolling 222:5 235:13 73:7 94:18 95:2,6 72:18 99:17 103:18 260:16 262:21 272:2 236:13 103:19 104:4,9 resolves 271:9 123:22 124:1,2,3,14 111:10,14,18 207:5 respect 43:20 44:11 126:19 127:16 128:4 274:6 275:2 277:19 rolls 66:20 207:18 128:17,21,21 188:19 277:22 278:7,10 Room 1:13 64:22 65:6 67:15 Rosa 239:6 240:3 Salem 4:21 97:13 153:17 154:9 273:7 279:9 279:10 285:4 286:13 sales 23:4 25:8,9,10,12 155:6 157:20 175:17 reverse 101:13 286:19 288:9 Rosenstein 3:19 6:4 181:5,6,20 182:7,11 review 38:7 180:3 rights 133:2 151:3 21:8 22:16,17 33:9 27:11 28:9 30:11 31:9,19 33:5 38:11 153:15 156:14 188:3 182:16 185:17 189:6 197:4 220:1 33:10,13,16 42:11 46:5,14 48:8 77:12 54:20 59:22 60:12 192:16 195:10,15 reviewed 86:21 188:8 200:4,17

61:2 63:4 69:10.11 225:5 231:11 242:21 101:18 143:7,17 81:9 93:13,14 101:6 79:16 80:16 92:16 101:22 103:14 72:3,14 95:4,5 123:1 250:11,18,20 251:10 155:21 156:7 157:2,3 101:9.17 103:5 251:21 252:11 162:7,8 203:16 104:11 108:18 119:1 134:19 158:5 167:18 123:1 253:16 254:8 263:20 249:20 258:5 123:21 124:12 127:2 176:5 185:9 186:4,4 selling 15:22 29:18 273:5 279:19 280:3 sides 217:10 127:11,13,15 128:3,8 50:17 51:18 52:10 186:8,14 192:1 sign 69:8 78:12 227:19 195:15 197:13 201:8 54:6 59:6 177:11,12 281:21 129:1 283:5 same 9:19 15:14 19:11 211:18,18 215:4 sells 40:7 sessions 229:20 228:20 265:10 semantics 103:22 set 107:6,7 113:7 signature 235:22 263:4 31:13 33:21 50:20 246:9 248:11 255:15 send 228:19 114:21 115:12,15 signed 154:2 55:12,16 67:15 70:10 256:19,19 266:13 Senior 10:4 34:20 117:3,3,18,21 123:21 significance 191:21 70:19 73:14 79:8 275:6 285:6 secondarily 182:20 216:18 222:3 229:18 193:2,6 107:2 112:11 125:16 131:2 128:2 137:15,17 seconds 146:21 sense 13:5,15 33:1 230:5 239:17 250:21 significant 24:18 46:4 section 26:19 165:19 38:22 51:15,21 61:17 256:8 46:13 48:4,7,18,20 164:4 179:10 180:10 180:14 185:8,18 168:6,12,13 169:16 96:1 199:8 202:10 **SETH 2:21 5:1** 77:14 94:7 100:2 169:17 170:6,10,22 186:2 187:10 218:6 210:3 sets 227:21 111:2 167:7,16 setting 115:3 125:9 168:21 169:18,20 220:19 221:13 237:1 172:3,6,11,13,21,22 sensitive 225:11 282:6 173:18,18,20,22 sent 95:17 229:17 219:12,18 184:13,18 185:11 237:16 243:4 247:10 seven 132:10,12 190:12 186:8 188:2 192:3,5 257:19 260:12 263:9 175:7 176:19 177:9 sentence 43:2 46:2,3 180:5,5,6 185:16 192:10 194:2,20 49:4,8 63:21 64:3 244:6 275:16,17 276:5 278:12 288:2,7,13 186:14 187:3.13 94:4 96:20 127:3,8 seventeen 249:15 198:2 Significantly 266:14 188:1 192:1 197:6,9 158:5 167:6,18 Seventy-five 138:5,7 289:12 signing 70:5 226:20 sang 139:14 197:9,14,22 198:9,18 173:20 184:2,17 several 34:17 130:18 185:9 186:8,13 187:1 signs 114:15 Santa 130:21 199:5,10,14 201:10 134:1 142:22 152:17 165:14 210:18,18 similar 12:2 26:16 satellite 68:4 234:6 219:6 272:15 275:4 187:2,11,13,21 188:15 191:2 192:1,4 273:4 30:13 111:15 125:8 279:1 284:14 285:4 satisfy 150:4 sections 175:7 176:15 197:13 198:9,11,16 severe 77:15 225:11 265:15 savvy 118:22 189:3,6,6 198:17,19,22 199:15 sgreenstein@constan... simple 13:4,7 32:14,15 simply 139:6 189:21 saw 134:18 secure 264:6 284:17 199:17 201:2,18,19 2:25 201:22 219:5 245:19 share 10:17,20 11:2 270:9 saying 31:8 116:14 see 19:3 21:18 24:1 simulcasting 106:17 249:9 256:19 257:5 77:5 81:20 117:8 167:22 171:20 25:21 28:2,11 29:14 32:7 49:8 60:8 87:13 266:14 shared 114:20 115:20 107:6 175:22 183:5,16 shares 65:22 Sinatra 217:20 218:13 189:22 195:3,11,17 90:14 103:15,16 sentences 167:21 116:22 140:16 146:9 since 7:14 9:12 34:16 177:17 180:10,22 shear 102:8,21 219:14 246:15 181:7,13,18 184:22 148:5 155:19 162:6 shebang 39:3 162:15 174:7 195:22 257:10,15 288:1 188:1 191:16 193:1 shoots 73:4 74:21 228:5 229:5,20 289:9,10,13 164:3 166:14,16 173:9,11,15 184:5 199:9 shopping 277:6 232:15 258:3 262:1 says 42:13 64:1,4 **Shores 3:20,21** 283:1.17 126:16 166:16 170:7 194:9 196:11 218:15 separate 10:13 106:22 117:1 183:17,19 short 249:14 290:18 sing 138:22 139:10 177:7,9 192:2 227:15 225:22 227:9 231:22 shorthand 193:17 142:14 146:4 147:15 213:21 283:6,22 238:12,18 239:9,13 228:13 230:10 shot 277:5 singing 136:12 140:11 series 244:21 251:2 245:8 248:6,16,17 233:13 236:18 249:3 253:10 256:4 serve 266:20 show 18:4 23:9 29:19 212:9,15,19 213:3 238:19 241:11 servers 95:22 98:2 66:16 123:7 136:22 single 31:11 166:18 245:19 248:13,15 256:18 262:22 265:5 266:21 275:6 284:11 service 170:8 185:20 137:2 163:12 177:13 172:15 173:5,14 249:1,9,17 257:6 174:3 175:8 184:6 259:7 261:18 265:19 187:7,10,15,18 206:1 212:7 215:6,9 285:4,9 seeing 67:22 188:17 190:13 215:14 220:17,22 186:7,16 266:20 **SBR** 5:5,7 scalable 32:21 33:1 223:3 273:14 279:18 seek 45:3,14 46:17 47:5 266:16,19 267:3,10 singling 92:8 47:7,18 77:2 247:16 267:12,18 269:12,17 283:19 sings 141:3 scale 23:15 211:11 shows 23:19 29:20 sir 63:11 108:11 126:13 249:21 284:17 287:8 services 6:8 15:15 scene 136:8,9 138:22 163:13 177:10 231:1 128:11 129:14 18:12 28:15 32:10 139:7 147:8,9 148:6 287:17 seeking 47:2 171:6 46:6,14 48:8 52:3,4,9 275:12 130:11 145:6 149:14 149:7 150:5 212:8 158:18 164:20 165:4 213:1 217:2,21 187:5 279:7 54:15,19 73:3 74:21 sid 150:8 **SCHETTENHELM** seeks 171:8 75:14,17 76:8 83:6 side 10:21 11:3 12:3,4 211:1 224:14 226:15 seem 254:14 83:12,19 84:2,15,18 12:8,16 13:21 15:13 243:11 261:3 280:14 3:12 17:8,15,17 18:22 Schleimer 3:6 seems 189:21 192:6 85:17,21 86:3,5,15 282:21 290:12 87:3 95:20 165:22 19:1,7 20:8 24:4,17 sit 51:17 69:3 106:11 scope 136:19 145:8,16 194:5 231:18 233:17 147:7 208:13 211:22 157:7,16 158:15 seen 76:2 80:4 100:19 166:3,22 170:13 25:18,19 26:1,3 sitcom 147:1 120:6 210:6 176:9,11 182:12,17 27:11 28:5,6,17,17 160:13 272:15 \$*ja.;.;* score 139:3 site 285:6 segments 92:20 184:11 185:3 187:5 29:11 30:2,10 31:14 sitting 209:8 select 144:6 190:17 198:6 220:15 31:21 32:4,12 45:14 se 57:15 situation 147:19 seat 149:9 self-publisher 160:5 220:22 221:5,10,17 45:20 48:18 49:15 162:10 182:10 second 63:21 64:3 sell 13:18 29:6 58:9 221:22 222:2,12,22 55:8 57:11,17 67:7

195:11,13,14 212:14 217:4,7 218:2,12 274:8,21 285:18 situations 140:20 162:21 163:6 189:14 205:22 209:1 214:13 214:17.21 277:10 six 14:8 132:21 254:22 275:4 **Sixteen 118:11** size 23:11 81:4 136:19 skipping 185:15 186:13 **SLEDGE 1:23 21:12** 21:20 22:2,6,15,22 33:8 42:3,8 60:3,8 70:11 71:3,10 76:9 76:16 77:16 78:15,19 79:11 80:7 81:2,13 81:18 82:12,17,20 96:16 98:16 100:10 107:14,19 108:2 119:8 121:22 122:3 124:7 125:13,18 126:1,5,7 129:9,13 129:18 138:5,8 140:7 141:12 142:18 143:3 143:10,18 144:11,19 145:6,18 148:13 152:1,9 154:20 155:14 156:3.8 157:10.15 158:1.12 158:18 159:3 160:19 161:1,15 164:8,15 166:6,9 167:17,22 170:17 171:12,18 172:1,4 176:21 177:3 179:7 180:3,15 189:4 191:9 195:18 196:1 196:20 197:2 203:1 204:18 205:1 208:5 209:14,17 224:18,21 225:14,18 242:13,16 243:9,12,16,22 244:11 254:2,18,21 258:16 259:7,16 268:13,19 269:2,22 270:12 271:13.20 279:13 281:12,15 282:10,14 286:5,8,13 286:16,19 289:18 290:2,5,10,20 291:1 slight 226:2 slightly 208:6 small 26:6 32:17.19 53:9,21 106:13,14 134:17 146:14 smaller 155:22 Smith 2:5 7:3,12 21:12 21:13,22 22:4,7 23:1 33:6 60:3,4 70:9 76:11,18,21 82:22

83:9 86:9 98:13 119:6 122:3.5.8 124:8,10 125:13,14 125:21 snip-it 146:21 166:17 174:3 184:6 sold 11:8 15:20 42:20 69:14 88:9 94:8,15 97:19,22 104:3 166:22 184:11 solely 48:1 some 8:20 14:9,22 35:5 54:5 74:18 75:20,21 91:4 92:2 94:13.14 95:20 96:2 106:10 122:20 132:16 143:15 148:6 151:7 163:4,7,21 171:16 178:2 195:9 199:20 220:7 227:4 232:9,11 235:11 237:7 238:10 248:6 250:22 254:16 254:22 255:1 258:8 261:14 272:9 somebody 56:11 62:19 62:20 115:16 119:11 145:9 160:15 169:12 174:16 176:8 178:21 somebody's 69:17 91:3 somehow 218:14 someone 45:2,13 118:13 199:12 202:8 202:17 209:22 210:7 229:17 230:3 232:3 someplace 105:20 something 14:21 37:12 41:17 56:11 109:8 143:4,8,9 150:8,11 150:16,17 164:12 168:4.8 169:22 173:12 176:7 182:19 204:8 217:21 229:2 232:2 257:1 258:13 sometimes 48:10.17 49:13 64:15.18 233:8 274:13 somewhat 12:2 46:15 48:10 52:7 63:9 262:9 somewhere 105:17,22 133:15 song 18:1 57:13,13 63:2 123:4,4 138:19 138:20,22 139:10,18 140:11 141:4 146:17 146:18 147:4,11 206:4 211:19 216:14 218:13 221:14,15 237:9 238:2 245:1 248:11 255:11

songs 11:8 26:21 107:7

272:8 273:12 songwriter 26:14 154:4 155:5 156:1 159:12 162:5 songwriters 43:4 Sonny 228:5 229:7,15 229:18 230:4 232:4 233:20 235:2 Sonny's 234:14 soon 245:17 246:1 sorry 21:22 22:4 31:7 39:7 54:22 55:6 64:2 86:16.18 90:16 94:2 94:22 101:14 107:20 107:22 114:17 137:8 137:9 138:6 172:9 195:20 244:17 249:4 253:1 278:8 sort 17:9 23:10,14,15 25:10,16 27:11 43:21 59:16 92:2 148:8 159:9 163:17 217:11 284:4 287:18 sought 111:21 sound 1:6 10:17 11:12 11:19 12:4,10,20 13:14 15:9,18 17:7 18:15 24:16,20 25:7 40:14,21 41:4 42:20 49:3 50:12,17 54:7 55:14 56:5,7 57:17 58:11 64:10 65:8 72:3,12 73:2 88:9 97:2,11,14,21 101:16 135:5,16 137:15 138:16 140:22 141:2 141:5,8 142:7,8,14 142:22 146:5,6 147:15,17 150:1,16 150:20,21 153:4,9 154:5 156:17 159:14 159:21 163:1 166:18 166:21 174:3 178:14 182:8,11,16 184:6,10 187:6,16 188:16 190:14,18 198:6 204:6 205:14,18 206:7,22 207:7,13 208:1,16,18 209:9,11 210:8 215:18,22 216:8,12 217:1,6,20 218:6 219:12 221:2 222:4,16 227:5 228:15 235:4,12 239:19,20 240:17,20 244:15,19 246:14,19 247:7 249:22 257:20 259:4 260:11 266:18 267:2,13 273:19 274:18,22 275:5,10 275:11 276:14,17,20

277:21 278:3,11 279:5 289:21 sounded 150:12 SoundExchange 2:2,10 7:3,8 30:6,6 105:18 106:1 130:1,6 220:9 223:19 252:14 sounds 36:5 150:8,16 SoundScan 10:22 sour 177:5 source 53:10 68:20 70:16,17 92:8,11 123:17 sources 52:14 54:9 65:22 66:10,11,14 68:1,11 71:4 72:17 92:12 93:3,5,12,15 93:16,17 122:17 sources.O 124:4 South 2:6 3:1 space 52:11 91:1,7,10 97:20 99:19,22 228:20 span 254:14 speak 99:19 112:13 182:15 185:7 188:11 220:19 228:4 282:20 speaking 13:21 14:13 17:16 24:13 114:14 123:20 213:7 268:5 specific 61:15 66:8 79:12 80:8 90:20 93:11 122:11 132:11 157:19 160:13 162:10 178:7 180:10 180:21 181:12 209:3 217:1,17,19 specifically 8:18 90:14 144:21 151:17 165:16 174:19 177:8 180:20 181:5,10 189:1,11 190:3 198:3 201:1 204:1 212:7 specifics 173:8 speculate 232:15 233:21 244:3 250:2 spell 7:13 234:5 spend 44:4 47:18 72:7 77:21,22 78:1,13 79:4 82:5 84:8 123:6 123:18 spending 78:16 82:2 112:1 spends 49:5 78:11 92:6 spent 26:1 28:14 29:11 78:7 80:1 85:11,14 86:1,4,6 111:6 114:13 split 159:18,20 160:1 splits 155:16 156:1

160:18,20 161:18

spoke 257:6 260:1 sporadically 231:7 sport 196:6,17 spots 283:7 staff 228:14,22 229:17 232:3 265:22 staffing 88:18 stamp 6:16,17,18,19,20 6:22 221:5,16 222:7 222:17 223:7 250:13 250:21 251:3,13 252:14 253:3 280:8 280:12 stampede 110:6 **STAN 1:24** stand 7:9 49:18 130:7 270:18 standard 137:5,10,13 178:6 225:22 263:17 263:20 264:4,7 287:22 288:10,18 289:1,5,9 standing 251:5 standpoint 77:15 stands 101:2 230:16 start 69:1 164:9 166:13 177:5 started 52:10 starting 30:11 55:7 60:14 90:7 160:10 164:12 165:19 182:1 184:2 201:10,13 starts 201:20 state 94:5 96:21 153:13 215:3 stated 117:11 225:20 259:12 270:13 274:15 statement 6:10 11:16 21:9,11,15,17 22:5 22:20 30:10,13 32:8 32:14-39:4 40:10 41:14 42:13 46:1,12 48:6,10 49:1,12 51:6 53:14 55:9 56:17 61:5,8,12 66:9,11,15 66:19 67:9 71:15 80:22 83:16 85:3 87:16,18 88:16 94:1 96:21 98:10 100:17 101:10,11 105:12 126:16 137:7 157:8 157:17,19,22 159:6 165:15 166:5,11 167:3 179:5 180:13 181:2 191:17 198:20 199:2,17 200:21 201:3,22 202:16 205:11 215:4 219:3 228:10,18 232:10 245:12 266:6,12,12

subsidizing 116:13,15 switching 140:9 160:14 161:21 289:7 66:18 73:13 94:14,14 166:14 169:2 186:19 99:6,20 100:8,9 117:9 sworn 7:9 130:7 statements 49:20 61:15 190:3 213:11 216:4 substance 144:14 SX 100:18 101:11 180:7 189:15 190:8 147:7 217:11 271:9 277:3 199:19 205:10 221:16 222:7,18 198:2 205:5 272:10 287:13 talks 204:1 substantial 29:5 58:14 223:7,17 250:13,21 states 35:16 50:6 Stone 222:5 235:13 251:3,13 252:3 280:9 target 163:10,13 72:18 96:22 113:1 136:15 185:18 187:3 236:14 280:12 284:11 taste 16:5 187:14 188:2,15 stop 81:14 277:6 substantially 275:17 svnc 53:16 55:17 57:5 tastes 193:14 255:14 256:18 stopped 70:8 75:1 substitute 188:18 208:15 209:10 216:1 105:2 135:9 147:20 taxes 101:3 261:22 story 277:8 153:15 165:9 200:4 Taylor 4:1 122:2 290:7 substitutes 201:4 stating 179:1 straight 135:3 Strauss 252:22 253:7,9 215:21 200:17 204:3 214:9 290:8 station 74:11 team 283:3 stations 2:15,15 267:11 262:18 substitution 208:21 224:4 231:2 245:22 technical 106:19 107:3 stream 14:1 15:2 72:5 successful 16:3,8,9,11 247:1,9,10,17,22 268:11 271:1 272:6 248:10,10,20 249:20 technological 58:19 272:14 95:13 96:1 16:14 26:14 187:18 technologies 52:12 255:10 261:15 statistic 81:11 streamed 73:19 74:9 273:4.7 91:1 97:18 sufficient 198:1 199:16 262:16 275:15,18 statistics 59:14 78:5 technology 68:6 74:3 276:1,3,13 277:5,9 streaming 73:22 91:11 **SUGARMAN 4:8 Stature 135:20** 119:2 suggest 145:14 235:21 277:18 278:6,17 statutory 74:22 115:8 98:6 107:6 267:19 television 74:11 123:7 236:1 248:18 265:21 synch 201:14 steer 86:11 streams 52:21 53:1 synchronization 53:22 131:3,8,15 132:15 58:17 59:9 68:1,6,14 suggested 109:3 230:1 Steinthal 3:18 6:6 56:2 57:10,16 58:2 133:3 134:2 135:5,17 142:16 143:6 144:8 95:16 100:2,4 232:19 66:13 104:16 162:7 139:5 142:12 147:1 144:13 145:2 151:16 street 2:6,23 3:1 4:2,16 suggesting 112:10 163:12 165:21 219:11,17 245:21 154:11 155:8 156:4.5 5:2 69:14 suggests 246:12 246:16 250:6 260:21 170:12 177:10,13 Suite 2:6,12 3:7 4:2,16 157:6.12 158:13.20 stretch 226:4 265:16 182:8 201:21 234:8 261:1 263:16 160:11.21 161:11 stricken 169:7 172:21 5:9 summarize 8:3,15 sync/master 201:7 250:6 253:18 256:3 164:9,11,17 165:11 181:19 183:2 191:3 260:20 263:15 synonymous 84:11 166:8,12 167:20 strike 21:9 60:1 156:5 11:20 266:15 107:10 157:7 165:12 166:10 summarizes 85:5 168:3,20 170:19 System 3:10 televisions 132:5 166:10 167:18 170:9 summary 22:13 264:16 171:2,6,15,22 172:3 172:7 173:3,17 174:1 Summer 264:15 systems 99:15 tell 14:11 16:7,21 19:11 171:7 172:5,12,14 176:16 180:4 184:3 supervised 200:16 S-E-S-S-I-O-N 180:1 23:7 24:9 25:2 27:6 174:5 175:14,19 176:2,20 177:1 180:9 supervision 76:5 S.E 1:14 27:19 29:10 30:7 186:6 187:2,12 supervisor 133:18,22 105:8 106:12 107:3 180:16,19 181:9,17 188:14,21 189:3 T 134:1,10 200:6,8,15 110:20 117:19 121:4 182:2,4,20 183:7,10 197:5 200:20 201:9 table 43:16,19 44:2,9 121:6,9,11,13,15 201:18 202:22 205:4 211:5 183:18 184:1 186:1 strong 144:20 158:6 supplanted 274:4 44:14 45:4,14 46:18 122:15 130:12 131:4 189:7,20 191:1,5,7 135:13 149:15 162:9 47:10,18,20 48:3 199:18,22 200:19 struck 171:14 supplies 89:11 192:10 193:21 143:8,17 205:8 208:12 209:18 structure 12:15 13:6 supply 275:11 take 23:14 26:11 52:11 233:21 265:1 280:18 support 83:14 85:3 31:20 32:11,14 33:3 220:16 221:11 222:1 222:13 223:1 224:16 103:7 167:8 184:13 87:22 114:12 85:12,12 95:15,18 288:11 supports 80:2 116:2 118:14 164:14 telling 161:18 209:7 288:15 226:9 235:1 242:11 183:9 208:9 221:15 tells 82:7 268:5 Studies 228:6 Supremes 280:11 281:8 244:13 246:4,8,11 227:13 241:15 248:2 Temptations 6:13 studio 210:21 211:10 sure 41:5,10,18 42:10 250:19 251:11 252:1 249:16 262:14 264:9 235:14 236:4 **studios** 18:13 51:1,14 55:19 104:2 252:12,20 253:1,6,12 study 92:2 148:19 106:18 113:12 115:2 272:14 285:14 ten 16:13 107:16 253:15,21 254:20 taken 117:14 164:13 255:5 258:7,20 214:9,14,18,22 127:21 155:4 161:17 takes 37:2 85:9,9 tend 14:5 16:13 275:16 subject 149:6 160:17 210:9 212:3 229:4 259:10 260:5 268:9 taking 117:10 253:16 Tenille 140:1 161:14 169:10 233:3 284:7 269:6 270:1,2,19 surprising 183:9 258:15 283:7 tennis 196:5,7,12,18 172:18 173:1 180:14 271:14,15 272:2,3 183:14 188:11 surveys 59:17 talent 12:7 17:5 18:11 tens 19:6 20:6 28:5 279:16 280:5 281:10 survive 267:19 talk 21:16 42:19 51:4 29:17 199:6 282:16 286:12 202:14 210:10 231:14 250:14 251:4 Terbush 226:22 227:1 Susquehanna 4:21 156:15 157:19 Steinthal's 177:6,18 232:16,20 264:12 267:22 275:20 sustained 71:11 124:7 160:10 169:17 step 268:14 205:10 274:10 275:5 Terbush's 226:20 Stern 255:19,20 256:18 submarket 276:14 125:20 143:19 submit 174:6 175:8 152:11 209:17 talked 86:7 101:5 235:22 265:6,7 260:10 261:8 term 17:1 40:1 47:20 Steve 140:2 186:2 270:13 272:1 209:4 52:20 63:8 103:14 talking 17:19 26:19 submitted 245:15 swapping 146:12 206:2 Stevie 6:14 222:17 106:19 108:20 28:20 40:18,20 47:13 254:3 swears 193:21 237:9,20 238:2 239:1 53:12 63:11,14 99:1 136:16 141:17 subpublishing 160:6 sweeps 177:6,19 239:3 240:12 101:22 140:11,21 terminology 135:3 still 9:18 29:5 50:13 subscribe 117:11 Sweet 216:13 144:17 154:13 terms 11:21 12:18 51:18 56:20 61:8 subsequent 182:19 swinging 196:13,13

177:21 179:1.4 286:11 123:7 135:22 138:18 13:11 19:15 20:16 Thanks 261:18 35:14,15,19 39:20 theatrical 234:7.8 180:16 181:9 183:7 times 29:16 37:10 231:12 232:6 236:13 183:10.11.19 189:13 100:11 119:7.21 236:14 238:2 240:4 47:11 50:7 59:20 their 12:17 13:6 20:21 245:5 251:15 255:12 189:15 190:7 191:14 134:7 138:1,3 208:4 60:10 69:18 75:6 25:12 26:13 30:15 260:18 261:5 262:16 193:5,13 195:16 212:6 217:22 276:3 77:13 79:21 82:2 31:15,20,22 33:1,2 38:3 39:12 43:4 47:4 196:5 200:12 202:18 time-to 111:16 240:16 264:14 266:3 274:18 92:5 109:4 113:9 tracks 273:13 285:21 152:18 158:19 159:5 47:5,7 66:2 69:9 70:2 206:3,11 229:11 time-to-time 46:16 48:17,21 61:18 62:6 trade 26:10 79:21 73:21 75:6 79:4 232:10 243:7 244:4 173:9 203:11 225:11 62:14 64:12 111:10 traded 79:2 253:2 258:7 259:11 228:9 231:17 233:12 81:20 82:5 91:5 traditional 26:16 51:16 95:19,22 97:2 103:7 265:8,20 268:2,6,11 111:18 262:1 271:1 276:1,12 269:15,16 270:2 timing 21:10 trails 46:2 282:6 285:2 114:3,6 116:15 127:2 title 131:1 136:10,11 training 121:4,9,15 terrestrial 41:12 42:1 140:1 142:4 144:5 271:8,15 144:20 146:1 152:7 153:22 thinking 145:11 titles 147:10 territories 35:17 territory 37:4 136:14 156:16 157:4,21 third 27:2,3 28:2 31:9 today 36:8 51:17 53:3 transaction 45:10 203:16 224:2 227:22 testified 7:10 34:17 174:15 194:17 202:7 31:16 96:20 170:6 53:5,9 54:3 56:21 118:1 130:8 142:20 202:9,13 203:13,20 205:4 264:11 265:5 58:22 59:3,8,10 61:9 247:18 278:17 279:10 161:7 167:4 170:1 204:15 213:20 231:2 Thirteenth 2:6 68:10,13,17,20 69:3 Thomas 2:4 4:25 6:6 transactions 182:9 185:5 203:7 208:4 234:20 250:3 273:21 70:7,8 73:17 75:1 209:19 237:3.17 286:11 130:4,13 87:19 90:21 91:3 205:17 249:21 100:3 106:11 110:18 272:18 277:14 themselves 189:3 213:3 though 45:9 76:13 transcript 54:15 274:16 91:16 92:16 93:16 113:17 123:2 202:11 travel 26:8 89:5 278:12 testify 144:15 158:21 theoretical 209:12 106:12 205:22 212:1 209:7 211:22 258:9 Tremaine 4:15 tremendous 12:18 167:5 168:7.9 170:3 theoretically 206:2 231:5 242:1 260:7 290:18 today's 14:16 58:14,18 112:9 171:3 172:19 174:8 207:15 thought 62:11 117:15 trend 118:21 174:10.21 193:20 theory 117:12 117:16 143:3 172:9 59:11 67:21 72:17 trending 22:18 testifying 145:11 they'd 156:16 182:19 88:10 151:19 160:16 173:7 tribunal 195:9 thick 224:9 thoughts 70:13 **TODD** 2:22 4:5 tried 26:3 279:9 thing 137:5,12 142:19 thousand 206:11 together 24:21 26:4 177:15 182:5 told 117:15 118:13 tries 278:4 210:19 testimony 11:15 14:8 172:2 178:5 213:21 thousands 132:3 199:7 119:4,5 219:19,21 true 36:10 41:1 45:12 18:5,17 29:10 34:19 279:17 287:22 thread 159:9 260:2,3 57:1,18 58:13 61:5,8 46:16 50:4 54:11 288:10 Tom 108:1,10 287:1 61:15,22 68:8,16 56:20 67:1,6 75:22 things 18:14 26:10 threat 149:1 89:17 90:18 103:20 three 21:4 34:5,14 39:7 tomorrow 53:3 67:13 83:21 86:21 88:17 27:17 40:5 89:14 53:4 119:7 169:16 73:13 95:11 98:11 116:10,21 124:22 98:14 108:13 113:17 106:22 114:5 119:2 206:21 207:4 215:2,5 200:20 202:3,22 99:14 100:8 120:3 118:4 119:22 120:19 119:19 139:9 151:17 208:4 121:12 290:19,21 215:10,11 216:21 120:22 122:13 153:13 177:5,7 178:20 190:9 193:4 218:7 126:12 129:14 threshold 47:16 291:6 through 6:17,17,19,20 tone 72:5,20,20 truncated 147:2 130:17 133:17 145:8 241:14 283:15 tones 52:5 85:22 86:8 truth 193:21 36:7 52:2,4,5 54:22 288:19 145:13,17 149:12 think 13:13 15:14 69:9,17 72:3 73:20 87:7,10 88:12 91:10 try 91:14,18 163:13 153:13 154:15 165:6 91:10 98:5 122:12 196:19 202:19 204:2 16:18 25:20 28:1 84:3,16 86:20 88:15 169:4,6 171:1,7,20 217:10 274:5 277:17 107:8,8 116:7 147:7 tools 72:10 172:17 173:10,11 30:20 31:3,4 32:6 top 19:2 24:1 25:14,21 147:11 150:3 156:17 282:21 175:17 189:1 190:20 48:14 52:6,8,12,20 156:17 166:5 189:8 28:2 31:10 86:18 trying 21:17 45:11 51:2 195:8 202:19 205:11 53:7,7 57:8 61:20 192:22 221:6 225:6 126:16 191:6 226:17 60:6 70:15 145:15 65:14 69:10,12,18,21 215:17,20 219:7 230:6 236:16 248:7 165:16 169:22 171:4 69:21 70:2,3,3 77:13 248:14 250:22 251:3 220:2 267:16,21 252:4 253:17,22 248:16 249:1,6 174:20 183:22 270:11 271:7,19 77:17,21 82:22 90:18 254:9 269:9 280:9 total 80:16 81:4,9 82:3 203:20 218:10 92:7 93:20 94:21 273:1,11 277:16 95:1 98:13,22 101:13 281:4 83:18 84:14 126:18 287:13 289:4 290:13 102:18 103:1,4,5 throughout 168:6 127:16 128:4,9,10,21 turn 11:15 13:9 16:15 text 248:12 261:17 24:8 25:14 40:10 thank 33:10 96:16 111:8 113:22 116:1 thumb 16:1 128:21 181:3 43:1 48:22 53:18 116:14 117:7 119:5 tidbits 283:20 tour 87:22 88:3 102:4 107:11 122:6 time 8:20 9:9 34:4,16 touring 69:15 54:12 60:13 61:11 126:4 129:4,13,15 120:6 121:20 122:19 35:2,6,14 36:6 45:7.9 tours 88:1 86:13 90:6 92:14 138:8 141:12 164:6 125:7 132:1 133:10 93:22 96:10 100:16 181:15 182:18 134:18 139:11 144:8 65:9 111:17 114:20 toward 283:14 100:17 187:22 147:7 152:18 153:1 towards 29:3 52:9,20 183:21 190:22 144:14 145:16 226:11 233:10 238:4 163:15 204:15 74:7 249:7 262:21 196:20 197:2 204:19 148:15 152:7 155:12 159:10,16 160:9 245:7 255:17 266:7 220:19 224:7 240:17 Tower 3:7 205:1 226:8 246:10 273:10 284:10 246:13 247:10 to-time 45:10 270:16 286:3,4 162:11 164:12 turning 96:19 277:13 track 62:9,19,20 66:13 290:10.12 165:15 170:15 175:6 254:15 271:5 280:20 turns 147:22 75:10 106:4,9 119:20 175:21 176:12 283:14,18 284:16 thanking 26:13

148:19 153:8 169:1 192:3 194:3,11.20 225:12 230:21 232:3 TV 123:8 136:22 137:2 261:11 UMG's 85:18 94:6 236:1 239:16,19 184:21 209:10 210:8 195:13 196:6 197:17 155:19 162:3 163:13 199:18 209:2 217:17 205:14 206:1 210:11 96:22 240:10,17 241:19,21 214:17 263:21 uses 57:8 137:16 147:5 227:14 236:10,14 242:3,3,8 243:14 212:7 215:6,8,14 unclear 61:21 223:2 227:2 230:22 **uncommon 247:15** 163:5 188:18 201:20 245:4 249:13 261:5 247:16 255:10 256:2 204:5 206:22 207:7 280:7 286:3 256:11 257:4 259:2 234:5,6 255:10 249:19 262:16 273:14 under 44:1 49:2 50:11 262:3,7,10 263:14 263:15 289:6 viability 57:12 69:6 using 60:11 63:8 123:4 275:12,17 283:6,12 55:13 63:22 74:22 264:13 267:4,13 138:19,19 146:17,18 vice 7:21 9:17,21 10:4 283:19 284:18 285:5 76:5,12 100:22 268:8 269:9,13,18,18 152:15 207:16 10:5 34:20 35:17 102:15 109:11 115:7 270:4,22 271:6 285:17,21 272:12,20 273:6 270:22 276:20 36:2 131:2 227:1 TV/film 284:11 115:7,8 172:2,3,11 281:1,2 282:18 twelve 16:13 131:12 183:20 184:3 185:9 288:17 video 46:6,14 47:15 284:15,21 285:19 usually 14:2 58:7 60:22 48:9 74:9,10,17,20 twice 110:2,10 111:5 186:7 188:15 193:21 Universal's 267:20 62:21 95:4 96:14 78:8,11,13 131:8 two 11:6,17,20 12:13 201:14 226:1,4 239:12 265:5 272:15 unless 86:6 146:9 147:8 141:22 146:14,20 132:17 234:9,14 13:10 17:9 20:4 30:5 192:22 150:1 151:5 152:18 283:8 30:16,18 34:8 37:10 underlying 218:15 50:16 106:22 112:4,7 235:5,7 256:17 unlike 46:3 266:14 152:21 284:1 videos 24:19 47:19,21 unnamed 259:22 usurped 279:1 73:3 78:2 90:2 95:16 115:4 132:14,15,15 underscored 231:18 understand 41:5,10 unsigned 64:13 U.K 35:10 37:18 38:1 view 163:4 202:4 205:3 134:11,15 167:21 42:4 51:2 55:19 62:4 unsurprising 40:12 **U.S** 36:13 49:21 79:4,6 234:18 174:21 177:21 until 107:18 108:6 79:10 80:1,19 85:18 viewed 175:1 186:17,19 188:1 80:14 96:14 98:18 189:6 193:4 199:9 113:12 115:3 116:5 129:21 155:20 179:8 viewer 147:7 200:8,14 201:11 116:12 121:17 197:1 198:19 204:22 viewers 148:5 211:3 229:5 250:12 127:20 161:4 173:7 225:17 291:3 views 136:2 200:22 valuation 112:2 251:12 261:17 270:6 195:17 204:15 unusual 42:9 Village 139:22 274:12 value 115:20 163:7,21 279:18 280:15 215:16 244:7 275:22 **upper** 55:4 vinyl 94:13 281:16 282:18 276:18 278:8 urban 69:13 202:2 virtue 117:10 Van 3:13 two-thirds 31:3 understanding 40:5 urge 202:4 vis-à-vis 56:4 42:7 114:19,20 115:5 variables 135:19 voir 76:20 165:13 TX 3:2 urged 219:15 type 41:1,15 47:6 50:20 143:16 144:4 146:3 use 15:14 53:16 55:18 275:20 Volume 1:12 variety 52:1 58:12,17 volumes 150:2 51:4 55:13,16 65:7 147:18 152:13 57:4,13 95:21 104:14 volunteered 180:20 71:2 78:2 92:1 136:7 277:16 105:3 107:1 108:20 59:10 65:22 66:10 **VP** 8:9 68:15 86:2 92:9 146:19 151:1 160:4 understood 35:9,13,19 115:9,10 119:12,12 97:20 114:13 173:10 194:8,10 42:10 62:17 63:17 119:15 131:14 135:6 w various 113:15 114:5 108:13 114:9 171:11 typed 239:12 135:10.16 136:6 W 4:25 types 11:20 23:18 57:1 189:9 139:2 140:12 141:5,7 132:14 133:18 162:2 Wait 241:4 224:4 231:4 57:3,7,18,20 59:2 undertake 115:19 142:13,14 144:2,22 waiting 108:6 146:2,4,16 147:2,3,9 vary 12:13 160:3 Unfortunately 111:14 60:15,17 65:3,4 waive 164:1 241:13 vast 71:19 72:1,6 71:15 73:6,14 92:20 unique 111:8,20 147:17 148:20 225:21 231:9 247:13 151:14 152:6,7 153:6 vastly 12:3,15 170:7 278:22 153:21 186:18 walk 73:20 139:13 typically 287:5,8,10,14 153:14,18 157:3,5 185:19 unit 15:22 want 14:21 30:4 53:17 Vegas 16:6 287:16 288:2,6 United 35:16 37:14 165:9,20 166:19,21 verge 154:13 63:3,4 72:13,16 170:11 178:15 184:7 289:11,20 50:6 136:15 versa 35:17 95:14 109:8 127:21 units 81:19,22 96:7 184:10 185:3 186:10 T&E 27:17 verses 24:3 143:2 150:8 152:10 universal 7:19,22 8:10 188:4 190:17 201:7 U version 138:19 147:2 201:14 212:8 214:2,9 164:9,10 171:14 8:21 9:4,10,14 10:11 UK 35:4 206:4 218:19 266:17 172:5 173:1 181:11 10:19 21:3 25:17 215:14,19 219:9,10 266:18 187:19 199:18 ultimately 15:18 25:8 34:11 36:3,6,22 40:7 219:12,16,16 224:4 36:16 42:20 52:13 versions 187:20 216:15 203:12 212:8 213:1 49:5,17 58:6 65:16 228:12,15 229:1,16 88:9 105:21 169:1 230:5,17,22 231:3,7 versus 28:17 41:4,17 217:1 220:7 228:7 67:14 68:20 78:10 184:21 206:10 91:14,18 92:4 97:14 231:7 232:8 234:12 50:18 58:22 101:11 255:17 265:20.21 268:4 279:17 289:3 218:17 237:12 235:12,18 237:8 175:8 186:7,11 268:4 110:22 130:21 wanted 42:10 91:22 **UMB** 90:9 245:14 246:14,21 very 13:15 16:10 26:6 131:15 133:6 134:14 32:9,14,15,21 53:21 114:18 150:11 umbrella 109:11 247:11,19 248:13 135:15 138:1,14,16 140:22 141:2,5 142:7 65:9 67:14 69:2 96:4 UME 280:21,22 282:20 250:1 253:19 262:8 157:14 181:13 202:12 257:14 266:2 UME's 283:3 142:9 147:14,16 264:5 265:20 274:11 106:14 111:15.20 137:7,15 144:22 269:11 **UMG** 7:20 10:8,18 148:1 151:15 153:4 275:16 276:4,11 277:9 278:16 279:4 147:9 152:21,21 wants 44:19 123:4 11:1,9,11 18:21 153:10 162:22 163:8 29:10 36:16 38:7 163:22 202:8 205:12 284:4 288:16 167:7 177:7,15,15 163:2 193:1 216:17 217:19 218:13 178:6 184:12 186:18 73:7,13 87:6 118:10 205:18 206:6 207:17 used 17:2 50:21,21 256:20 273:18 187:22 188:2 190:2 123:13,16 132:20 208:16 220:9 224:3,8 51:5 119:20 136:7,8

Warner 79:1 199:1.13.15 201:3 14:17 44:20 47:4,9 139:20 220:8 228:16 142:11,19 143:12 Washington 1:2,14 2:7 144:13,19 146:7,19 241:15 278:22 202:5,16,19 203:3 writers 18:1 26:13 205:7 208:7 219:2 32:17 44:11 64:9,13 2:12,17,24 3:14 4:3 148:14 157:18 279:18 written 11:16 14:8 whatnot 283:9,21 225:20 233:15,19 4:17 5:2 162:12 170:20 wasn't 114:17 117:20 18:17 19:18 20:12 whatsoever 196:9 234:3,13,16,20 171:15 172:1 173:11 243:11,15,20 244:2,7 21:6 22:20 71:15 141:13 145:9 210:7 while 12:1 223:14 173:17,19 174:5 whistles 269:20 258:8 259:18 266:6 83:20 98:9 120:18 175:10,21 176:2 253:11 266:11 268:16,17,20 126:11,15 133:16 wav 20:20 23:10 27:7 179:5 183:10 186:3 white 59:8 96:5 269:5 270:8,17 145:8,17 157:8 165:6 whole 12:21 15:15 31:1,3,9,16 50:16 190:15 191:12 211:2 271:10.17 279:15 165:15 215:3 277:15 216:11 217:15,17 24:22 28:15 37:16 55:8 58:19 81:8 290:1,14 wrong 107:21 144:11 103:8 113:15 117:3 228:4 231:18 232:12 39:3.4 47:10 52:22 149:22 159:18,19 233:8,21 241:18 54:8 57:12 68:5 wmalone@millervan... 159:11 146:17 171:20 172:2 3:15 wrote 108:3 196:8 207:11 229:19 244:21 245:9 247:15 233:2,5 241:9 246:5 247:20 259:10 186:2 196:5 Wolfe 261:9,10 273:22 264:20 268:9 270:12 **wholly 151:5** woman 255:19 257:12 X 78:11 81:10 ways 52:2 58:12 68:11 276:9 278:10 282:9 widens 215:21 wonder 6:14 11:5 25:2 222:17 237:10,20 XLVI 1:12 97:20 102:19 111:7 well-known 238:1 Wilev 5:1 webcaster 90:3 95:16 264:18 WILLIAM 1:23 3:12 238:2 239:3 Y Wonders 239:1 240:12 119:17 164:19 went 96:3 150:7 267:12 4:9 Y 78:13 willing 56:11,13 257:19 **WOOD 5:1** 186:21 188:17 268:8.10 270:4.21 Yahoo 3:17 267:10,11 willr@ktru.org 3:4 word 40:5 91:13 190:11.16.21 were 19:21 22:19 26:1 31:13 34:4,7,13 36:1 Wilshire 3:6 119:20 185:4,14 268:7 269:8,8,12 webcasters 73:22 186:11 206:3 249:16 270:4,21 271:2,4 164:22 214:11 219:9 47:13 73:12.18 77:9 Wind 264:15 272:13,19 273:9 87:5 95:10 98:22 Winwood 140:2 words 227:15 219:15 work 8:15 12:9 14:15 285:8 webcasting 1:9 65:13 99:13 100:7 109:3 wish 215:13 year 10:22 16:7 20:2 65:18 66:14 67:12 112:3,12,15 117:4,14 Wisniewski 1:24 46:9 50:18 99:9 20:12 26:14,15 38:4 68:4,9 69:22 70:8,20 117:21,22 122:9 101:21 102:4 126:9 131:11 134:5 135:10 39:21 78:1 79:19 142:11 147:19 154:6 70:22 71:2,8 73:9,12 125:2 134:16 136:10 126:14,21 127:7,10 84:17 85:15 86:2 156:18 159:14 160:2 74:22 89:19 90:11 136:11 145:11 160:9 127:14,19 128:6,12 101:22 112:8 133:11 91:5,15,19 92:6 161:18 189:16 128:15 129:1,4,7,10 177:16 186:16 190:16 205:6 214:3 133:13 136:17 93:19 95:8,10 96:8 191:16 192:2 200:15 168:18 170:20 171:4 137:22 138:2 150:2 97:8 98:10,11 99:13 206:11 210:1 211:4 171:10,19 181:4,15 218:16 219:22 235:6 163:13,14 205:14 288:19 99:21 100:7 104:18 214:13,17,17,21 182:2,18 183:5,8,15 206:12 267:12,19 223:18 224:7 225:6 worked 133:18 134:9 105:1,10,16 106:2,13 183:21 193:8,16 270:6 272:20 195:1,16 243:5 246:2 134:16 217:9 106:20 118:14 119:5 232:21 241:18 years 10:1 21:4.16 working 199:12 204:10 123:16 124:2 125:10 251:19 254:9 257:18 252:18,21 253:5,10 22:19 38:4 53:4 59:1 165:3,8 169:6,15 260:11 262:22 266:8 withdraw 124:8 145:2 256:3 73:19 80:2 118:7.11 works 15:3 17:18 40:15 170:4 172:18 174:19 270:10,20,21 271:4 148:15 118:19 120:4.9 Witherspoon 212:18 41:22 49:7 132:7 178:18 181:20 182:6 273:4,7 277:3 280:1 213:8,18 132:21,21 145:20 151:10,11 164:4 185:3,20 186:21 281:22 285:11,13 177:8 204:11 215:7 244:6 254:22 255:1 withhold 162:16 188:4,12 190:4,9,17 289:12 270:7 weren't 85:10 99:21 withstanding 144:20 215:12 216:2,7 227:2 219:13 267:10,11 York 4:6,6,10,10 5:20 241:9 269:17 112:10 272:18 witness 6:2 7:7,9 42:6 5:20 world 14:16 29:4,4 62:13 63:17 76:19 Wednesday 1:15 289:10 Young 251:5 260:19 77:19 78:18,21 79:15 37:3,15,19 51:22 we'll 78:11 107:16 week 37:11 80:13 81:7,16,21 57:16 58:14 59:11 261:5,20 weigh 135:18 163:9 129:19 136:22 148:5 67:21 68:2,3 72:18 weight 195:10 197:7,11 195:19 196:2,21 82:16 86:11 98:17 7. 102:2 119:11 126:13 80:19 97:18 98:4 197:3 204:20 225:14 197:18 198:8,14 **Zombie 134:21** 126:20 127:5,9,12,18 131:20 178:16 225:18 241:12 208:22 128:5,11,13,20 129:3 worldwide 49:17.22 268:14 290:18 291:3 Weil 3:19 4:1,5,9 5:17 \$ we're 26:18,19 27:1 129:5,15,16 130:5,7 79:6 80:19 136:14 WEINSCHEL 5:16 \$17,500 249:3,10 138:6 140:17 144:15 233:13 234:1 welcome 194:15 33:20 53:20 62:8 **\$2,000** 239:11,19 145:16 154:18 155:1 worth 14:20 178:10 well 12:1 13:17 15:10 63:8,10,10 73:10 \$2500 238:7 240:8 155:15 158:21 wouldn't 87:20 96:6 78:12 100:1 102:2 15:16 36:20 60:4 **\$75,000** 257:16 260:2 65:12,19 66:4,8 71:5 103:22 126:17 140:2 165:22 169:12 110:7,14 164:11 260:15 144:8,17 148:5 149:6 174:11 175:4.5 207:3,21 208:7 81:13 91:8 92:15 176:14 180:6 181:20 243:22 273:17 278:2 95:14 109:8 113:21 149:8 151:20 154:12 # 278:15,20 279:4 115:7 119:19 120:10 154:13 160:14 181:22 183:4,6,13 #1000 3:14 121:6,13 122:16 188:1 189:14,17,22 wound 187:8 213:11 217:11 240:22 246:6 260:14 191:18 192:7 193:2 Wright 4:15 124:2 128:20,21 0 129:13 132:5 139:8 we've 106:2 127:21 195:12 197:15,22 writer 13:22 14:4,14

006819 6:20 280:9 110878 6:17 250:22 20005 2:7 4:3.17 255:1 276:15 30RR 30:7 101:12 60 54:13,22 55:4,6 01/2005 79:18 110880 6:17 250:22 20006 2:24 5:2 146:21 211:18 2003 36:1 102:8 033855 6:22 280:13 1111443 6:19 252:3 30,000 106:7 240:4 223:16 224:11 20036 2:12 111320 6:18 251:14 241:16 242:12,14 20036-4306 3:14 303 5:12 253:3 2004 254:15 31.71 11:2 60s 150:9 1 23:19 24:1 83:13 111322 263:20 264:2 601 2:6 84:18 109:4 128:16 111331 6:18 251:14 2005 10:22 19:21 20:10 310 3:8 **61** 54:22 60:13 250:12 20:14 24:7 29:12 310-8184 4:11 168:12,13 169:17 253:3 253:17,22 255:8 111454 6:19 252:4 30:11 31:8,14 79:19 310-8238 4:7 170:6 172:3,6,11 310-8550 5:21 6100 3:1 173:18,20,22 175:7 11324 6:18 251:14 80:1 84:17 102:3,7,8 176:16,19 177:9 114 272:16 2005-1 1:7 312 6:11 **615** 3:7 62 250:20 251:10 318 80:17 180:5,5 184:4 185:16 1155 3:13 **2006** 1:16 33:17 54:12 33 6:4 86:18 260:17,17 189:6 197:6,9,14 **12** 11:4 55:1,8 133:12 55:12 56:18 61:6 **63** 251:12 262:15 330 2:12 219:3,4 245:11 12,000 133:14 137:21 86:7 87:1 280:8 332 6:18 251:14 635 2:17 1/2005 84:16 205:13 201 3:20 10 11:4 86:20 96:13 12/2005 79:19 84:16 202 2:8,13,19,25 4:4 33847 285:16 639-6060 2:8 348-2935 3:3 **64** 251:12 252:19 253:2 97:10 126:22 127:7 12:35 179:9 5:3 253:2,11 262:15 188:14 199:14 **1200** 2:6 202.508.6656 4:17 4 211:18 263:19 264:1 122 6:4 202.513.2049 2:18 263:14 4 22:9 29:19,20 48:22 **65** 252:2 253:17,22 10K 79:2 80:22 126 86:14,17 246:7 202.785.0600 3:15 10Q 80:22 128 246:7 20540 1:14 49:20 51:5 53:14 **650** 3:21 90:8 147:3 197:20 **66** 90:7 279:19 280:6 10,000 138:3 206:13 13 60:14 **21** 60:15 199:5 266:12 273:2 212 4:7,11 5:21 281:5,11,13 100 160:5 162:13 130 6:6 **67** 90:8 279:19 280:9 275:4 276:22 1300 4:2 **213** 6:13 4/17 238:12,18 281:6,11,13 285:15 10016 4:6 1330 2:11 220 6:11 4/23 238:12 239:9 6774 84:3 10153 4:10 5:20 14 87:22 **221** 6:12,13 4:29 291:5 6778 84:3 105528 6:14 222:18 **15** 55:7 87:22 96:13 222 6:14,15 444-7700 5:12 6814 284:11 224 6:11,13,14 105531 238:4 240:8 **15,000** 133:13,14 682-7024 4:4 450 4:16 105533 222:18 137:22 205:13 225 6:12 24 90:7 280:8 105541 239:17 1500 4:16 5 7 16 118:7,19 132:21 240-3514 2:25 1060129 245:20 5 16:18 22:11 61:11 7 6:4 24:1 25:14,21 106105 6:15 223:8 **1627** 2:23 242 6:15 63:20 64:4 198:16 83:15,20 85:8 188:15 250 6:16 224:11 **164** 6:6 1700 249:16 201:1,10 215:3 191:4,5 192:1 197:22 106126 223:8 245:10 251 6:17,18 273:10 274:11 106126-129 6:15 1776 5:2 252 6:19 199:14 201:10 5th 4:6 203:22 277:13 106127 245:7 18 86:20 254 6:16,17,18,19 50 120:4,9,11 160:8 7/8 71:14 106128 245:8 246:4 1990 9:10,12 34:2 259 6:12 50s 216:18 713 3:3 106129 223:8 **273-9807** 3:8 1990s 34:22 50/50 155:16 719-4913 5:3 279 6:20,22 106135 223:8 1998 35:20 513-2049 2:19 7464 5:8 1999 30:11 243:10 282 6:20,22 106135-141 6:15 75 6:10 138:3 533 6:14 106141 223:9 248:3,4,6 19990 9:7 **286** 6:7 54 6:9 54:15 86:15 7500 206:13 29 1:16 30:9 31:6,7 106208 222:8 2 32:8 53:18 101:11 55 75:18 76:8,10 82:15 767 4:10 5:19 106211 235:20 2 43:2 45:22 82:14 83:13,19 84:2,15,19 77005 3:2 102:6 106213 222:8 85:17,21 87:3 264:9 84:14 109:4 128:7 29RR 30:6 100:18 **10628** 6:13 8 172:13,21 173:18 102:9 103:11 56 221:1 223:15 224:17 107250 6:12 221:17 224:19 226:11 8 22:9 25:14,21 88:15 175:7 176:16 180:6 107259 221:17 186:7,14 187:3 189:7 232:13 233:18 201:18 107310 6:10 221:5 3 14:7 28:10 33:17 567 4:6 **80** 51:17 59:12 160:7 198:9 253:3 289:4 **107312** 221:6 2:00 179:8 40:11 42:13 46:2 57 221:18 223:15 80s 9:2 108 6:5 224:17,19 231:11,14 802-3100 3:21 11 29:20 126:15 245:16 2:02 179:10 54:11 55:12 56:18 233:16,18 80303 5:11 2:18 196:22 61:6 86:7 87:1 128:1 11/3/06 6:9 58 222:3 223:16 224:17 **815** 283:15 11:00 96:14 2:20 180:2 165:19 166:13 172:22 184:2 187:13 224:19 235:9 828-0126 2:13 11:11 107:17 2:27 197:1 11:25 107:18 2:39 204:21 198:18 **59** 223:16 224:17,19 83 6:10 **85** 51:17 59:12 3WK 4:14 237:6 11:43 129:20 2:44 204:22 20 79:18 147:1.4 3/6/98 245:22 11:52 129:21 9 6 163:12.14 245:15 3:07 225:16 110622 6:16 250:14 6 16:18 18:16 19:2 67:5 9 22:11 28:2 29:13 20th 256:5.17 3:11 225:17 **110632** 6:16 250:13 187:12 188:1 199:4 54:21,22 87:2 88:15 30 21:15,22 22:1 30:13 **110865** 6:17 251:3 2000 254:15 199:10 274:11 94:2,4 96:21 153:12 20001 2:17 31:12 102:10 146:21 110870 6:17 251:4

Page 317

	 	r	
158:3 187:22 199:9 9:30 1:19 291:3,7 9:34 7:2			
900 4:2			7 J
90212 3:8 9100 3:6 94065 3:21			
98 224:5			
		٠	A 777
			77
1			
			Walter Transfer
			and all processing and an analysis of the second analysis of the second and an analysis of the second analysis of the second and an analysis of the second and an analysis of the second a
			Control Contro
			and the second s
			Processor Paracheses
			To the control of the
<u>}</u>			verginamente de participa de la constante de l
			L

::

Transcript of:

Date: November 29, 2006 Volume: 46

Case: Digital Performance Rights

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. Phone: 202-234-4433

Fax: 202-387-7330

Email: info@nealrgross.com Internet: www.nealrgross.com